## SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF MULTIPLE EIGENVALUES (II)\*\*\*

SUN JI-GUANG (孙继广)

(Computing Center, Academia Sinica, Beijing, China)

## Abstract

This paper discusses the sensitivity of semisimple multiple eigenvalues and corresponding invariant subspaces of a complex (or real)  $n \times n$  matrix analytically dependent on several parameters. Some results of this paper may be useful for investigating robust multiple eigenvalue assignment in control system design.

## § 1. Introduction

This paper, as a continuation of [7], discusses the sensitivity of semisimple multiple eigenvalues and corresponding invariant subspaces of a complex (or real)  $n \times n$  matrix analytically dependent on several parameters. An eigenvalue of a matrix is called semisimple if the maximal degree of the elementary divisors of this eigenvalue is one.

In addition to the notation explained in [7] we use  $\mathbb{C}^{m\times n}$  for the set of complex  $m\times n$  matrices,  $\mathbb{C}^n=\mathbb{C}^{n\times 1}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}=\mathbb{C}^1$  and

$$\mathbb{C}_r^{m\times n} = \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times n} : \operatorname{rank}(A) = r\}.$$

Let  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)^T \in C^N$ . Suppose that  $A(p) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is an analytic function in some neighbourhood  $\mathcal{B}(p^*)$  of the point  $p^* \in \mathbb{C}^N$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that the point  $p^*$  is the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . We consider in this paper the eigenproblem

$$A(p)x(p) = \lambda(p)x(p), \quad \lambda(p) \in \mathbb{C}, \ x(p) \in \mathbb{C}^*, \ p \in \mathcal{B}(0). \tag{1.1}$$

First of all we investigate an example.

Example 1.1.

$$A(p) = \begin{bmatrix} 1+2p_1+2p_2 & p_2 \\ 2p_1 & 1+4p_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad p = (p_1, p_2)^T \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$
 (1.2)

Obviously, the matrix A(p) is an analytic function of  $p \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , A(0) has a multiple eigenvalue 1 and the eigenvalues of A(p) are

$$\lambda_1(p) = 1 + p_1 + 3p_2 + \sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}, \quad \lambda_2(p) = 1 + p_1 + 3p_2 - \sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}.$$
 (1.3)

Observe that by the theory of analytic function of one complex variable the function  $\sqrt{z}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  is defined as

$$\sqrt{z} = |z|^{1/2} e^{(i/2) \arg z}$$
,  $\arg z \in (-\pi, \pi]$ ;

consequently, if we set

<sup>\*</sup> Received August 11, 1986.

<sup>1)</sup> The Project Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

$$\Delta_1 = \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right], \quad \Delta_2 = \left(-\pi, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right] \cup \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right], \tag{1.4}$$

then

$$\frac{\sqrt{z^2}}{z} = \frac{e^{\frac{i}{2}\arg(z^2)}}{e^{i\arg z}} = \begin{cases} 1, \arg z \in \Delta_1, \\ -1, \arg z \in \Delta_2. \end{cases}$$
 (1.5)

Utilizing (1.4) and (1.5) we get

$$\left(\frac{\partial \lambda_1(p)}{\partial p_1}\right)_{p=0, \text{ arg } p_1 \in A_1} = \left(\frac{\partial \lambda_2(p)}{\partial p_1}\right)_{p=0, \text{ arg } p_1 \in A_2} = 2, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \lambda_1(p)}{\partial p_1}\right)_{p=0, \arg p_1 \in A_1} = \left(\frac{\partial \lambda_2(p)}{\partial p_1}\right)_{p=0, \arg p_1 \in A_1} = 0, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \lambda_1(p)}{\partial p_2}\right)_{p=0, \text{ arg } p_1 \in \Delta_1} = \left(\frac{\partial \lambda_2(p)}{\partial p_2}\right)_{p=0, \text{ arg } p_1 \in \Delta_1} = 4 \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial \lambda_1(p)}{\partial p_2}\right)_{p=0, \text{ arg } p_1 \in A_1} = \left(\frac{\partial \lambda_2(p)}{\partial p_2}\right)_{p=0, \text{ arg } p_1 \in A_1} = 2. \tag{1.9}$$

Here we define

$$\left(\frac{\partial \lambda_s(p)}{\partial p_1}\right)_{p=0, \text{ arg } p_1 \in d_s} = \lim_{\substack{|p_1| \to 0 \\ p_2 \equiv \text{const. 6.4s}}} \frac{\lambda_s(p_1, 0) - \lambda_s(0, 0)}{p_1}, \quad s, \ t = 1, \ 2;$$

the partial derivatives  $\left(\frac{\partial \lambda_s(p)}{\partial p_2}\right)_{p=0$ , arg  $p_s \in A_t$  (s, t=1, 2) are defined similarly.

The relations (1.6)—(1.9) show that the functions  $\lambda_1(p)$  and  $\lambda_2(p)$  are not derivable at p=0. Besides, it is worth-while to point out that the functions  $\lambda_1(p)$  and  $\lambda_2(p)$  are continuous at p=0 but not in any neighbourhood of the branch point p=0.

Now we set

$$\hat{A}(p_1) = (A(p))_{p=(p_1,0)^{p}}, \quad \tilde{A}(p_2) = (A(p))_{p=(0,p_2)^{p}},$$

in which A(p) is described in (1.2). It is easy to see that  $\hat{A}(0)$  and  $\tilde{A}(0)$  have multiple eigenvalue 1, the eigenvalues of  $\hat{A}(p_1)$  are

$$\hat{\lambda}_1(p_1) = 1 + 2p_1, \quad \hat{\lambda}_2(p_1) = 1,$$
 (1.10)

and the eigenvalues of  $\widetilde{A}(p_2)$  are

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1(p_2) = 1 + 2p_2, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_2(p_2) = 1 + 4p_2.$$
 (1.11)

Comparing (1.10), (1.11) with (1.3) we find that

$$(\lambda_{1}(p))_{g=(p_{1},0)^{T}} = \begin{cases} \hat{\lambda}_{1}(p_{1}), \text{ arg } p_{1} \in \Delta_{1}, \\ \hat{\lambda}_{2}(p_{1}), \text{ arg } p_{1} \in \Delta_{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$(\lambda_{2}(p))_{g=(p_{1},0)^{T}} = \begin{cases} \hat{\lambda}_{2}(p_{1}), \text{ arg } p_{1} \in \Delta_{1}, \\ \hat{\lambda}_{1}(p_{1}), \text{ arg } p_{1} \in \Delta_{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$(\lambda_{1}(p))_{g=(0,p_{2})^{T}} = \begin{cases} \tilde{\lambda}_{2}(p_{2}), \text{ arg } p_{2} \in \Delta_{1}, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{1}(p_{2}), \text{ arg } p_{2} \in \Delta_{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$(\lambda_{2}(p))_{g=(0,p_{2})^{T}} = \begin{cases} \tilde{\lambda}_{1}(p_{2}), \text{ arg } p_{2} \in \Delta_{1}, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{2}(p_{2}), \text{ arg } p_{2} \in \Delta_{1}, \end{cases}$$

$$(\lambda_{2}(p))_{g=(0,p_{2})^{T}} = \begin{cases} \tilde{\lambda}_{1}(p_{2}), \text{ arg } p_{2} \in \Delta_{1}, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_{2}(p_{2}), \text{ arg } p_{2} \in \Delta_{2}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are defined by (1.4).

We note that the following facts are important: the functions  $\hat{\lambda}_1(p_1)$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_2(p_1)$