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#### Abstract

A simple method is proposed for constructing fourth-degree cubature formulae over general product regions with no symmetric assumptions. The cubature formulae that are constructed contain at most $n^{2}+7 n+3$ nodes and they are likely the first kind of fourth-degree cubature formulae with roughly $n^{2}$ nodes for nonsymmetric integrations. Moreover, two special cases are given to reduce the number of nodes further. A theoretical upper bound for minimal number of cubature nodes is also obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

We are interested in the integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(f)=\int_{\Omega} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

over the product region

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the non-negative weight function $\rho(x)$ in the product form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x})=\rho_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \rho_{n}\left(x_{n}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are finite or infinite numbers. For a general smooth function $f(\boldsymbol{x})$, such an integration is often numerically approximated by the following weighted sum
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(f)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} w^{(j)} f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(j)}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\boldsymbol{x}^{(j)}=\left(x_{1}^{(j)}, x_{2}^{(j)}, \cdots, x_{n}^{(j)}\right) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are $N$ distinct cubature nodes for $j=$ $1,2, \cdots, N$, and $w^{(j)} \in \mathbb{R}$ are cubature weights. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}$ the space of the polynomials in $n$ variables of degree no more than $m . Q(f)$ in (1.4) is said to be of degree $m$ with respect to $I(f)$, if $Q(f)=I(f)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}$ and $Q(g) \neq I(g)$ for at least one $g \in \mathcal{P}_{m+1}^{n}$.

From the numerical points of view, people are interested in the cubature formula with a minimal number of nodes. Denote by $N_{\text {min }}^{G}(m, n)$ the minimal number of nodes of cubature formulae of degree $m$ over general $n$-dimensional regions. Then one has the following general lower bound (see [3, Th. 9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\min }^{G}(m, n) \geq \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{P}_{[m / 2]}^{n}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of $x$. This lower bound is not very sharp for $n \geq 2$ and can be improved for odd degrees as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\min }^{G}(2 k+1, n) \geq \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{P}_{k}^{n}+\frac{\sigma_{l}}{l}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, uniformly for any integer $l$ satisfying $2 \leq l \leq n$, the constant

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{l}:= & \operatorname{dim}\left\{\left(f_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}), \cdots, f_{l}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{k+1}^{l}: \sum_{i=1}^{l} x_{i} f_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}^{n}\right\} \\
& -\operatorname{dim}\left\{\left(f_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}), \cdots, f_{l}(\boldsymbol{x})\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{k+1}^{l}: \sum_{i=1}^{l} x_{i} f_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathcal{Z}_{k+1}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{k+1}:=\left\{f(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}^{n}: g(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathcal{P}_{k}^{n} \Rightarrow I(f g)=0\right\}
$$

See [2, 6, 7]. For centrally symmetric regions, one can get a better lower bound

$$
N_{\min }^{C S}(2 k+1, n) \geq 2 \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{Q}_{k}^{n}- \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } k \text { is even and } 0 \text { is a node },  \tag{1.7}\\ 0, & \text { others },\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{2 k}^{n}$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{P}_{2 k+1}^{n}$ generated by even polynomials and $\mathcal{Q}_{2 k+1}^{n}$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{P}_{2 k+1}^{n}$ generated by odd polynomials (see [7]), or explicitly (see [5])

$$
N_{\min }^{C S}(2 k+1, n) \geq \begin{cases}\binom{n+k}{n}+\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} 2^{s-n}\binom{s+k}{s}, & \text { if } k \text { is odd }  \tag{1.8}\\ \binom{n+k}{n}+\sum_{s=1}^{n-1}\left(1-2^{s-n}\right)\binom{s+k-1}{s}, & \text { if } k \text { is even. }\end{cases}
$$
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