## ON THE ZEROS AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF MINIMIZERS TO THE GINZBURG-LANDAU FUNCTIONAL WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENT

## Ding Shijin

(Department of Mathematics, Suzhou University, Suzhou 215006, China)

Liu Zuhan

(Department of Mathematics, Normal College, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, China) (Received Jan. 14, 1995; revised Oct. 19, 1995)

Abstract In this paper a partial answer to the fourth open problem of Bethuel-Brezis-Hélein [1] is given. When the boundary datum has topological degree  $\pm 1$ , the asymptotic behavior of minimizers of the Ginzburg-Landau functional with variable coefficient  $\frac{1}{x_1}$  is given. The singular point is located.

Key Words Ginzburg-Landau functional; asymptotics; vortices.

Classification 35J55, 35Q40.

## 1. Introduction

Recently, Bethuel-Brezis-Hélein [1-3] have studied the asymptotic behavior for the minimizers  $u_{\varepsilon}$  of the following Ginzburg-Landau functional in  $H_g^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) \equiv \{v \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2), u \mid_{\partial\Omega} = g\},$ 

$$E_{\varepsilon}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u|^2)^2 \right] \qquad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a simply connected, star-shaped and bounded smooth domain in  $R^2$ ,  $g: \partial \Omega \to S^1$  is a smooth map,  $\varepsilon$  is a small parameter. They proved that there is a subsequence  $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$  such that

$$u_{\varepsilon_n} \to u_* \text{ in } C^{1+\alpha}_{\text{loc}}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{a_1, \cdots, a_{|d|}\}) \text{ and in } C^k_{\text{loc}}(\Omega), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

where  $d = \deg(g, \partial\Omega)$  denotes the winding number,  $u_* : \Omega \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_{|d|}\} \to S^1$  is a smooth harmonic map,  $a_1, \dots, a_{|d|}$  are the limit positions of the zeros of  $u_{\varepsilon_n}$  (zeros of  $u_{\varepsilon_n}$  are called vortices which correspond to the normal points in superconductor) which

minimize the so-called renormalized energy W(b) (see [1]). This problem is related to the phase transition in superconductivity (see [4]).

In their proofs, a key estimate

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} (1 - |u_{\varepsilon}|^2)^2 \le C \tag{1.2}$$

is derived from the global Pohozaev identity. From (1.2), for  $\varepsilon$  small enough, one can obtain the uniform upper bound on the number of zeros of  $u_{\varepsilon}$ . Then the precise lower and upper bounds on the energy  $E_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})$  lead to a priori estimate for  $u_{\varepsilon}$  in  $H^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \setminus \{a_1, \dots a_{|d|}\})$ . Finally, they obtained the convergence of  $u_{\varepsilon_n}$ , subsequence of minimizers, in various norms.

In [5], based on a local version of (1.2), M. Struwe got a similar result to [1] without the restriction of star-shapedness on  $\Omega$ . There are also some other generations (see [6–10]).

In this paper, we discuss open Problem 4 in [1]. That is,

$$E_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{x_1} \left[ |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (1 - |u_{\varepsilon}|^2)^2 \right]$$
 (1.3)

where  $\Omega = \{(x_1, x_2) \in R^2 | (x_1 - 1)^2 + x_2^2 < R^2, 0 < R < 1\}$ ,  $u_{\varepsilon} \in H_g^1(\Omega, R^2)$ , g is as above. We intend to study the behaviour of minimizers  $u_{\varepsilon_n}$  as  $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ . This problem is related to the model of superconducting thin films having variable thickness (see [11]). In contrast with [1], we call our problem Ginzburg-Landau model with variable coefficient.

In our case, some arguments in [1] or [5] do not work. As a try, we only consider a special situation, i.e.,  $\deg(g,\partial\Omega)=\pm 1$ . By a different way, we prove that  $u_{\varepsilon}$  has unique zero (in Section 3). To get a uniform estimate, we use Lemma 4.4 to prove that  $|u_{\varepsilon}| \geq \frac{1}{2}$  in  $\overline{\Omega} \backslash B(x_{\varepsilon}, 2\varepsilon^{\beta_1})$ ,  $0 < \beta_1 < 1/2$ ,  $x_{\varepsilon}$  is the unique zero of  $u_{\varepsilon}$ . This is much different from [1] in which they prove  $|u_{\varepsilon}| \geq \frac{1}{2}$  in  $\overline{\Omega} \backslash B(x_{\varepsilon}, \lambda_0 \varepsilon)$ . Next, we prove that  $x_{\varepsilon} \to a = (1 + R, 0)$  and for any sequence  $u_{\varepsilon_n}$ , there is a subsequence, still denoted by  $u_{\varepsilon_n}$ , such that  $u_{\varepsilon_n} \to u_*$  in  $C^k(K)$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\forall K \subset\subset \Omega$ , where  $u_*$  is a harmonic map from  $\Omega \to S^1$ . The Euler equation of (1.3) is

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta u_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{x_{1}} u_{\varepsilon x_{1}} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} u_{\varepsilon} (1 - |u_{\varepsilon}|^{2}) & \text{in } \Omega \\
u_{\varepsilon} \mid_{\partial \Omega} = g
\end{cases}$$
(1.4)

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we shall discuss the case  $\deg(g, \partial\Omega) = 0$  which is the base of the case  $|\deg(g, \partial\Omega)| = 1$ ; In Section 3, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the zero of  $u_{\varepsilon}$ ; In Section 4, through a series of a priori estimates, we establish the asymptotic behavior of  $u_{\varepsilon}$ , i.e., Theorem 4.1, our main result.