GLOBAL APPROXIMATELY CONTROLLABILITY AND FINITE DIMENSIONAL EXACT CONTROLLABILITY FOR PARABOLIC EQUATION*

Sun Bo and Zhao Yi

(Mathematic Department of Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275, China) (Received Sep. 10, 2001; revised Nov. 27, 2001)

Abstract We study the globally approximate controllability and finite-dimensional exact controllability of parabolic equation where the control acts on a mobile subset of Ω , or, a curve in $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$.

Key WordsParabolic Equation; Controllability.2000 MR Subject Classification35K, 93B.Chinese Library Classification0175.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded, open, connected set in \mathbb{R}^n with boundary $\partial\Omega$. Consider the following homogeneous Dirichlet problem for the parabolic equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(x,t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - a(x,t)u$$
in $Q = (0,T) \times \Omega$,
$$u|_{\Sigma} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Sigma = \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,$$
(1.1a)

under the condition of uniform ellipticity, namely,

$$\mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x,t) \xi_i \xi_j \quad \forall \xi_i \in R \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \text{in} \quad Q, \ \mu > 0, \tag{1.1b}$$

where $a_{ij} = a_{ji}, a_{ij} \in L^{\infty}(Q), i, j = 1, ..., n$. To guarantee the solvability and unique continuation, some other assumptions are needed [1-2]:

$$u_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \|\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2, a\|_{q,r,Q} \le \mu, \quad \frac{1}{r} + \frac{n}{2q} = 1 - k,$$
 (1.2a)

^{*}Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and Guangdong Province Science Foundation of China

$$q \in \left[\frac{n}{2(1-k)}, \infty\right], \ r \in \left[\frac{1}{1-k}, \infty\right], \ 0 < k < 1, \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 2,$$

$$q \in \left[1, \infty\right], \ r \in \left[\frac{1}{1-k}, \frac{2}{1-2k}\right], \ 0 < k < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1,$$

(1.2b)

where $||z||_{q,r,Q} = \left(\int_0^T \left(\int_\Omega |z|^q dx\right)^{\frac{r}{q}} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{r}};$

$$\frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial t} \in L^1(0,T;L^\infty(\Omega)), \ b_i, a \in L^\infty(Q),$$
(1.3)

$$u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega), \ \partial \Omega \in C^2, \ \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}, b_i, a \in L^\infty(Q).$$
 (1.4)

The conditions (1.2) ensure the existence and uniqueness of a solution to (1.1) from the space $C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap H_0^{1,0}(Q)$ (see Ladyzenskaja [1]), which satisfies the energy estimate:

$$||u||_{C([0,T];L^{2}(\Omega))} + ||u||_{H^{1,0}(Q)} \le c||u_{0}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}.$$
(1.5)

Here c depends on T and the parameters in (1.1b), (1.2). Under the assumptions (1.4) this solution lies in $H_0^{2,1}(Q)$. The assumptions (1.3) allow one to use the backward uniqueness result.

The reference [2] gives the following unique continuation results:

Proposition 1.1 Let $n \leq 3$. Given $T > \epsilon > 0$, there exists a measurable curve $(\epsilon, T) \ni t \to \hat{x}(t) \in \overline{\Omega}$ such that every solution $u \in H_0^{2,1}(Q)$ to (1.1), (1.3), (1.4) which vanishes along $\hat{x}(\cdot)$ and vanishes in Q.

Proposition 1.2 Given $T > \epsilon > 0$, there exists a set-valued map $(\epsilon, T) \ni t \to S(t) \subset \Omega$, mes $\{S(t)\} > 0$ such that every solution $u \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap H_0^{1,0}(Q)$ to (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) which satisfies the equality $\int_{S(t)} u dx = 0$ on (ϵ, T) vanishes in Q.

Furthermore, [2] studies the approximate controllability of the following control system:

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} (a_{ij}(x,T-t)\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_{j}}) \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} (b_{i}(x,T-t)\varphi) - a(x,T-t)\varphi + B(T-t)v(t) \quad \text{in } Q, \quad (1.6) \\
\varphi = 0 \quad \text{in } \Sigma, \ \varphi|_{t=0} = 0,$$

where $B(\cdot)$ is a linear operator defined on a linear manifold $V \subseteq L^2(0,T)$ by one of the following formulas:

$$B(T-t)v(t) = v(t) \times \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } x \in S(T-t), \\ 0, \text{ if } x \notin S(T-t), \end{cases} S(t) \subset \Omega \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad [0,T], \quad (1.7)$$

or

$$B(T-t)v(t) = v(t)\delta(x - \hat{x}(T-t)), \quad \hat{x}(t) \in \bar{\Omega} \quad \text{a.e. in} \quad [0,T],$$
 (1.8)