

# Error Analysis of the Nonconforming $P_1$ Finite Element Method to the Sequential Regularization Formulation for Unsteady Navier-Stokes Equations

Yanming Lai<sup>1</sup>, Kewei Liang<sup>2</sup>, Ping Lin<sup>3</sup>, Xiliang Lu<sup>4,\*</sup> and Qimeng Quan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei 430072, China

<sup>2</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310058, China

<sup>3</sup> Division of Mathematics, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, UK

<sup>4</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics and Hubei Key Laboratory of Computational Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

Received 9 May 2023; Accepted (in revised version) 6 August 2023

---

**Abstract.** In this paper we investigate the nonconforming  $P_1$  finite element approximation to the sequential regularization method for unsteady Navier-Stokes equations. We provide error estimates for a full discretization scheme. Typically, conforming  $P_1$  finite element methods lead to error bounds that depend inversely on the penalty parameter  $\epsilon$ . We obtain an  $\epsilon$ -uniform error bound by utilizing the nonconforming  $P_1$  finite element method in this paper. Numerical examples are given to verify theoretical results.

**AMS subject classifications:** 65M60, 76D05

**Key words:** Navier-Stokes equations, error estimates, finite element method, stabilization method.

---

\*Corresponding author.

*Emails:* laiyanming@whu.edu.cn (Y. Lai), matlkw@zju.edu.cn (K. Liang), p.lin@dundee.ac.uk (P. Lin), xllv.math@whu.edu.cn (X. Lu), quanqm@whu.edu.cn (Q. Quan)

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded convex polygon domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\Gamma$  its boundary. We consider the unsteady Navier-Stokes equations for a viscous incompressible fluid in  $\Omega \times [0, T]$ :

$$\mathbf{u}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}_{ext}, \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (1.1b)$$

$$\mathbf{u}|_{\Gamma} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}). \quad (1.1c)$$

Here  $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is the velocity of the fluid,  $p$  the pressure acting on the fluid,  $\mathbf{f}_{ext}$  the external force,  $\mathbf{u}_0$  the initial velocity and  $\nu$  the dynamic viscosity. The Eqs. (1.1a)-(1.1c) can be written as the equivalent system below:

$$\mathbf{u}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + B(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}_{ext},$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{u}|_{\Gamma} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}),$$

where

$$B(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}.$$

Eqs. (1.1a)-(1.1c) present a long-recognized difficulty for numerical solution due to the coupling of  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $p$  by the incompressible equation, where the pressure  $p$  does not explicitly appear. This results in an index-2 differential algebraic system (cf. [5, 11]) and may cause temporal instability in maintaining the algebraic constraint (or the incompressible equation in the Navier-Stokes context). Hence, direct discretization is not recommended. To overcome this difficulty, several methods have been proposed, such as the projection method (cf. [8, 15]), penalty method (cf. [4, 14]), iterative penalty method for steady problems (cf. [7]), Baumgarte stabilization (cf. [3]), and sequential regularization method (SRM) [11]. The SRM is based on methods for solving differential algebraic equations (cf. [1, 2]) and can be understood as a combination of the penalty method and Baumgarte stabilization (see [13]). It reads as follows: given  $p_0(\mathbf{x}, t)$  the initial guess, for  $s = 1, 2, \dots$ , solve

$$(\mathbf{u}_s)_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}_s + B(\mathbf{u}_s, \mathbf{u}_s) + \nabla p_s = \mathbf{f}_{ext}, \quad (1.2a)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\alpha_1 (\mathbf{u}_s)_t + \alpha_2 \mathbf{u}_s) = \epsilon(p_{s-1} - p_s), \quad (1.2b)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_s|_{\Gamma} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{u}_s(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad (1.2c)$$

where  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are nonnegative constants and  $\epsilon$  a small penalty parameter. It has been showed that  $u - u_s$  and  $p - p_s = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^s)$ . In other words, unlike the penalty