## A New Method for Computing the Expected Hitting Time between Arbitrary Different Configurations of the Multiple–Urn Ehrenfest Model

Sai Song<sup>1</sup> and Qiang Yao<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Advanced Theory and Application in Statistics and Data Science-MOE, School of Statistics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China;
 <sup>2</sup> NYU–ECNU Institute of Mathematical Sciences at NYU Shanghai, Shanghai 200062, China

Received September 7, 2019; Accepted December 22, 2021; Published online August 31, 2022.

**Abstract.** We study a multiple–urn version of the Ehrenfest model. In this setting, we denote the *n* urns by Urn 1 to Urn *n*, where  $n \ge 2$ . Initially, *M* balls are randomly placed in the *n* urns. At each subsequent step, a ball is selected and put into the other n-1 urns with equal probability. The expected hitting time leading to a change of the *M* balls' status is computed using the method of stopping times. As a corollary, we obtain the expected hitting time of moving all the *M* balls from Urn 1 to Urn 2.

**AMS subject classifications**: 60C05, 60J10

Key words: Ehrenfest urn model, Markov chain, random walk, hitting time.

## 1 Introduction

We extend the classical two-urn Ehrenfest model to the multiple-urn case. Label the n urns by Urn 1 to Urn n, where  $n \ge 2$ . At the beginning, M balls are arbitrarily placed in the n urns. Then at each time, one ball is chosen at random, taken from the current urn it resides in, and placed in one of the other n-1 urns with equal probability. This model can be treated as a symmetric simple random walk on the graph  $G_M = (V_M, E_M)$ , where  $V_M = \{1, \dots, n\}^M$ , and E contains edges connecting two vertices in  $V_M$  if exactly one of their components differs. Here the subscript "M" is to stress that the number of balls is M. Therefore,  $G_M$  is a transitive graph (that is, for any  $e, e' \in E_M$ , there is an automorphism of the graph that takes e to e') with  $n^M$  vertices, and each vertex has common degree (n-1)M. Strictly speaking, if we let  $X_t = (X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(M)})$  be the state at time  $t = 0, 1, \dots$ , where  $X_t^{(i)}$  is the number of the urn in which the *i*th ball resides at

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email addresses: abc\_sophia123@126.com (Song S), qyao@sfs.ecnu.edu.cn (Yao Q)

Song S and Yao Q / J. Math. Study, 55 (2022), pp. 254-270

time *t*, then { $X_t$ :  $t = 0, 1, \dots$ } is a time homogeneous Markov chain on  $V_M$  with transition probability

$$p_{(x_1,\dots,x_M),(y_1,\dots,y_M)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(n-1)M'} & \text{if there exists } i \text{ s.t. } x_i \neq y_i, \text{ and } x_j = y_j \text{ for } j \neq i; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

For  $x_1, \dots, x_M \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , denote by

$$T_{(x_1,\dots,x_M)} = \inf\{t \ge 0: X_t = (x_1,\dots,x_M)\}$$

the first time that  $\{X_t\}$  hits state  $(x_1, \dots, x_M)$ . Our main result is described in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** For any two different configurations  $(a_1, \dots, a_M), (b_1, \dots, b_M) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^M$ , denote  $L = \sum_{i=1}^M \mathbf{1}_{\{a_i = b_i\}}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}(T_{(b_1,\dots,b_M)} \mid X_0 = (a_1,\dots,a_M)) = \sum_{k=L}^{M-1} \frac{(n-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{M-1}{k}} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\binom{M}{i}}{(n-1)^{i-1}}$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot\}}$  denotes the indicator function, and  $\binom{n}{m} := \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} \quad (0 \le m \le n)$  denotes the combinatorial number.

Note that when L = M - 1, the righthand side of Theorem 1.1 becomes

$$(n-1)^{M} \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \frac{\binom{M}{i}}{(n-1)^{i}},$$

which equals to  $n^M - 1$ . This is a well known result in Markov chains.

As a special case, we obtain the following corollary, which provides the expected hitting time of moving all balls from Urn 1 to Urn 2.

Corollary 1.2. We have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left.T_{\underbrace{(2,2,\cdots,2)}{M}}\right| X_0 = \underbrace{(1,1,\cdots,1)}_{M}\right) = \frac{(n-1)M}{n} \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{n^k}{k}.$$

**Remark 1.1.** (1) Chen *et al.* [3] proved Corollary 1.2 for the special case n=3 by using the method of electric networks. They conjectured that the result for general multiple–urn case should be of the form as stated in Corollary 1.2.

(2) Corollary 1.2 is a special case of Theorem 1.1 by letting L=0. This is not a straightforward result. A key step is to establish the equality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \frac{(n-1)^k}{M\binom{M-1}{k}} \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\binom{M}{i}}{(n-1)^i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{n^k}{k}.$$
(1.2)