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#### Abstract

In this paper, we determine the bounds about Ramsey number $R\left(W_{m}, W_{n}\right)$, where $W_{i}$ is a graph obtained from a cycle $C_{i}$ and an additional vertex by joining it to every vertex of the cycle $C_{i}$. We prove that $3 m+1 \leq R\left(W_{m}, W_{n}\right) \leq$ $8 m-3$ for odd $n, m \geq n \geq 3, m \geq 5$, and $2 m+1 \leq R\left(W_{m}, W_{n}\right) \leq 7 m-2$ for even $n$ and $m \geq n+502$. Especially, if $m$ is sufficiently large and $n=3$, we have $R\left(W_{m}, W_{3}\right)=3 m+1$.
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## 1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, all graphs considered are undirected, finite and contain neither loops nor multiple edges. For given graphs $G, H$, the Ramsey number, denoted by $R(G, H)$, is defined to be the smallest integer $N$ such that in any edgecoloring of complete graph $K_{N}$ by colors red and blue, there exists either a red $G$ or a blue $H$. A wheel $W_{m}$ is a graph obtained from $C_{m}$ and an additional vertex by joining it to every vertex of $C_{m}$. For a graph $H$ and a vertex $x \in H$, define $N_{H}(x)$ as the subgraph induced by all vertices adjacent to $x$ in $H$, and $c(H), g(H)$ denote the lengths of a longest and shortest cycle of $H$. A graph $H$ is called weakly pancyclic if it contains cycles of every length between $g(H)$ and $c(H)$. Let $\chi(H)$ be the chromatic number of $H$, that is, the smallest number needed to color the vertices of $H$ so that no pair of adjacent vertices have the same color, and $\sigma(H)$ be the size of the smallest color class among all proper $\chi(H)$-colorings of $H$.

There is a famous lower bound of $R(G, H)$ observed by Burr [3] as follows

$$
R(G, H) \geq(\chi(H)-1)(|G|-1)+\sigma(H) .
$$

If $R(G, H)$ is equal to this bound, we say that $G$ is $H$-good.

[^0]For Ramsey numbers about wheels, Surahmat et al. [10] proved that $F_{n}$ is $W_{3}-$ good for $n \geq 3$ where $F_{n}$ consists of $n$ triangles sharing exactly one common vertex. Hendry calculated $R\left(W_{3}, W_{4}\right)=17$ in [7] and $R\left(W_{4}, W_{4}\right)=15$ in [8]. Faudree and Mckay [6] proved the value of $R\left(W_{m}, W_{5}\right)$ for $m=3,4,5$, and $R\left(W_{5}, W_{3}\right)=19$. Yanbo Zhang et al. [12] obtained the exact value of $R\left(F_{n}, W_{m}\right)$ for odd $m \geq 3$, $n \geq(5 m+3) / 4$ and the exact value of $R\left(T_{n}, W_{m}\right)$ for every ES-tree $T_{n}$ odd $m \geq 3$, $n \geq m-2$. Also [11] proved that

$$
R\left(W_{m}, W_{4}\right)= \begin{cases}2 m+3 & \text { for odd } m \geq 133 \\ 2 m+2 & \text { for even } m \geq 134\end{cases}
$$

Motivated by the above works, in this paper, we shall consider the upper bound of the wheel-wheel Ramsey number $R\left(W_{m}, W_{n}\right)$. The main results are as follows.

Theorem 1 (i) If $n$ is odd, $m \geq n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 5$, then

$$
3 m+1 \leq R\left(W_{m}, W_{n}\right) \leq 8 m-3 .
$$

(ii) If $n$ is even, $m \geq n+502$, then

$$
2 m+1 \leq R\left(W_{m}, W_{n}\right) \leq 7 m-2 .
$$

## 2 The Preliminary Lemmas

In order to establish the main results, we introduce some useful lemmas at first.
Lemma $1^{[4]}$ Every nonbipartite graph $G$ of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq(n+2) / 3$ is weakly pancyclic with $g(G)=3$ or 4 .

Lemma $2^{[1]}$ Let $G$ be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Then $c(G) \geq \delta(G)+1$. Moreover, if $\delta(G) \geq|G| / 2$, then $G$ has a Hamilton cycle.

Lemma $3^{[2]} \quad R\left(W_{m}, C_{3}\right)=2 m+1$ for $m \geq 5$.
Lemma $4^{[5]} R\left(C_{m}, W_{n}\right)=3 m-2$ for odd $n, m \geq n \geq 3$ and $(m, n) \neq(3,3)$.
Lemma $5^{[13]} \quad R\left(C_{m}, W_{n}\right)=2 m-1$ for even $n$ and $m \geq n+502$.
Lemma $6^{[9]}$ For all $p \geq 3, q \geq 1,0<\gamma<1$, there exist $c>0, \eta>0$ such that if $n$ is large and $E\left(K_{p(n-1)+1}\right)=E(R) \cup E(B)$ is a 2-coloring, then one of the following statements holds:
(i) $R$ contains $K_{p+1}(1,1, t, \cdots, t)$ for $t=\lceil c \operatorname{logn}\rceil$;
(ii) $B$ contains every $q$-degenerate, $(\gamma, \eta)$-splittable graph $G$ of order $n$.

We recall that a graph $G$ is called $q$-degenerate if each of its subgraphs contains a vertex of degree at most $q$, that is, $q=\max \left\{\min \left\{d(u), u \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right\}, G^{\prime} \in \mathscr{G}\right\}$ where $\mathscr{G}$ is the set of all subgraphs of $G$. For given real numbers $\gamma, \eta>0$, we say that the graph $G$ of order $n$ is $(\gamma, \eta)$-splittable if there exists a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S|<n^{1-\gamma}$ such that the order of any component of $G-S$ is at most $\eta n$.
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