Ann. of Appl. Math. **34**:2(2018), 178-182

## THE BOUNDS ABOUT THE WHEEL-WHEEL RAMSEY NUMBERS\*

Lili Shen<sup>†</sup>, Xianzhang Wu

(College of Math. and Computer Science, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350116, Fujian, PR China)

## Abstract

In this paper, we determine the bounds about Ramsey number  $R(W_m, W_n)$ , where  $W_i$  is a graph obtained from a cycle  $C_i$  and an additional vertex by joining it to every vertex of the cycle  $C_i$ . We prove that  $3m+1 \leq R(W_m, W_n) \leq$ 8m-3 for odd  $n, m \geq n \geq 3, m \geq 5$ , and  $2m+1 \leq R(W_m, W_n) \leq 7m-2$  for even n and  $m \geq n + 502$ . Especially, if m is sufficiently large and n = 3, we have  $R(W_m, W_3) = 3m + 1$ .

Keywords Ramsey number; wheel; bounds2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 05C55

## 1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, all graphs considered are undirected, finite and contain neither loops nor multiple edges. For given graphs G, H, the Ramsey number, denoted by R(G, H), is defined to be the smallest integer N such that in any edgecoloring of complete graph  $K_N$  by colors red and blue, there exists either a red Gor a blue H. A wheel  $W_m$  is a graph obtained from  $C_m$  and an additional vertex by joining it to every vertex of  $C_m$ . For a graph H and a vertex  $x \in H$ , define  $N_H(x)$  as the subgraph induced by all vertices adjacent to x in H, and c(H), g(H)denote the lengths of a longest and shortest cycle of H. A graph H is called weakly pancyclic if it contains cycles of every length between g(H) and c(H). Let  $\chi(H)$ be the chromatic number of H, that is, the smallest number needed to color the vertices of H so that no pair of adjacent vertices have the same color, and  $\sigma(H)$  be the size of the smallest color class among all proper  $\chi(H)$ -colorings of H.

There is a famous lower bound of R(G, H) observed by Burr [3] as follows

$$R(G, H) \ge (\chi(H) - 1)(|G| - 1) + \sigma(H).$$

If R(G, H) is equal to this bound, we say that G is H-good.

<sup>\*</sup>Manuscript received July 17, 2017; Revised October 23, 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: sllbeilin112@163.com

For Ramsey numbers about wheels, Surahmat et al. [10] proved that  $F_n$  is  $W_3$ good for  $n \ge 3$  where  $F_n$  consists of n triangles sharing exactly one common vertex. Hendry calculated  $R(W_3, W_4) = 17$  in [7] and  $R(W_4, W_4) = 15$  in [8]. Faudree and Mckay [6] proved the value of  $R(W_m, W_5)$  for m = 3, 4, 5, and  $R(W_5, W_3) = 19$ . Yanbo Zhang et al. [12] obtained the exact value of  $R(F_n, W_m)$  for odd  $m \ge 3$ ,  $n \ge (5m + 3)/4$  and the exact value of  $R(T_n, W_m)$  for every ES-tree  $T_n$  odd  $m \ge 3$ ,  $n \ge m - 2$ . Also [11] proved that

$$R(W_m, W_4) = \begin{cases} 2m+3 & \text{for odd } m \ge 133, \\ 2m+2 & \text{for even } m \ge 134. \end{cases}$$

Motivated by the above works, in this paper, we shall consider the upper bound of the wheel-wheel Ramsey number  $R(W_m, W_n)$ . The main results are as follows.

**Theorem 1** (i) If n is odd,  $m \ge n \ge 3$  and  $m \ge 5$ , then

$$3m + 1 \le R(W_m, W_n) \le 8m - 3.$$

(ii) If n is even,  $m \ge n + 502$ , then

$$2m+1 \le R(W_m, W_n) \le 7m-2$$

## 2 The Preliminary Lemmas

In order to establish the main results, we introduce some useful lemmas at first.

**Lemma 1**<sup>[4]</sup> Every nonbipartite graph G of order n with  $\delta(G) \ge (n+2)/3$  is weakly pancyclic with g(G) = 3 or 4.

**Lemma 2**<sup>[1]</sup> Let G be a graph with  $\delta(G) \ge 2$ . Then  $c(G) \ge \delta(G) + 1$ . Moreover, if  $\delta(G) \ge |G|/2$ , then G has a Hamilton cycle.

**Lemma 3**<sup>[2]</sup>  $R(W_m, C_3) = 2m + 1$  for  $m \ge 5$ .

Lemma  $\mathbf{4}^{[5]}$   $R(C_m, W_n) = 3m - 2$  for odd  $n, m \ge n \ge 3$  and  $(m, n) \ne (3, 3)$ .

**Lemma 5**<sup>[13]</sup>  $R(C_m, W_n) = 2m - 1$  for even *n* and  $m \ge n + 502$ .

**Lemma 6**<sup>[9]</sup> For all  $p \ge 3$ ,  $q \ge 1$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , there exist c > 0,  $\eta > 0$  such that if n is large and  $E(K_{p(n-1)+1}) = E(R) \cup E(B)$  is a 2-coloring, then one of the following statements holds:

(i) R contains  $K_{p+1}(1, 1, t, \dots, t)$  for  $t = \lceil clogn \rceil$ ;

(ii) B contains every q-degenerate,  $(\gamma, \eta)$ -splittable graph G of order n.

We recall that a graph G is called q-degenerate if each of its subgraphs contains a vertex of degree at most q, that is,  $q = \max \{\min\{d(u), u \in V(G')\}, G' \in \mathscr{G}\}$  where  $\mathscr{G}$  is the set of all subgraphs of G. For given real numbers  $\gamma, \eta > 0$ , we say that the graph G of order n is  $(\gamma, \eta)$ -splittable if there exists a set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  with  $|S| < n^{1-\gamma}$  such that the order of any component of G - S is at most  $\eta n$ .