

ON THE EXISTENCE OF FUNCTIONS WITH PRESCRIBED BEST L_1 APPROXIMATIONS*

SHI YING-GUANG (史应光)¹⁾

(Computing Center, Academia Sinica, Beijing, China)

Abstract

This paper gives a partial answer to a problem of Rivlin^[1] in L_1 approximation.

1. Introduction

In this paper we prove the following ($X \equiv [-1, 1]$)

Theorem. Let V_1 and V_2 be Chebyshev subspaces of $C(X)$ with dimensions m and n ($m < n$), respectively. Let $V_1 \subset V_2$ and $v_j \in V_j$ ($j=1, 2$).

(a) If the function $v = v_2 - v_1$ changes sign at least m times in X , then there exists an $f \in C(X)$ such that v_j is a best L_1 approximation to f from V_j ($j=1, 2$);

(b) If there exists an $f \in C(X)$ such that v_j is a best L_1 approximation to f from V_j ($j=1, 2$), then v has at least m zeros in $(-1, 1)$.

This theorem provides a partial answer to a problem of Rivlin^[1] in L_1 approximation. However, in the case $m = n - 1$ if $v \neq 0$ has at least m zeros in $(-1, 1)$, then none of them can be a double zero and v , in fact, changes sign at least m times. Thus, we can give the complete answer in this particular case, which is a generalization of the result^[2] by the author, and we have

Corollary. Let V_1 and V_2 be Chebyshev subspaces of $C(X)$ with dimensions $n-1$ and n ($n > 1$), respectively. Let $V_1 \subset V_2$ and $v_j \in V_j$ ($j=1, 2$). Then there exists an $f \in C(X)$ such that v_j is a best L_1 approximation to f from V_j ($j=1, 2$) if and only if the function $v = v_2 - v_1$ changes sign at least $n-1$ times in X or is identically zero.

Before proving the theorem we introduce some notation:

$$Z_+(g) = \{x \in X : g(x) > 0\},$$

$$Z_-(g) = \{x \in X : g(x) < 0\},$$

$$Z(g) = \{x \in X : g(x) = 0\},$$

$$M(E) = \text{the Lebesgue measure of the set } E.$$

2. Proof of Part (a) of the Theorem

Let v change sign at points x^k , $k=1, 2, \dots, l$ ($l \geq m$),

* Received December 17, 1982.

1) This work was supported by a grant to Professor C. B. Dunham from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

$$-1 = x^0 < x^1 < \dots < x^l < x^{l+1} = 1.$$

By Lemma 2 in [3] there exist points

$$x^k = x_0^k < x_1^k < \dots < x_n^k < x_{n+1}^k = x^{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, l,$$

such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \int_{x_i^k}^{x_{i+1}^k} u dx = 0, \quad \forall u \in V_2, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, l. \tag{1}$$

Write $n_j = \left[\frac{1}{2}(n+1-j) \right]$ (the integral part of $\frac{1}{2}(n+1-j)$), $j = 1, 2$ and denote

$$G_j^k = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n_j} [x_{2i+j-1}^k, x_{2i+j}^k], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, l, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

$$G_j = \bigcup_{k=0}^{[1/2]} G_j^{2k}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

$$G_j^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^{[\frac{1}{2}(l-1)]} G_j^{2k+1}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

$$H_i^k = (x_i^k - h, x_i^k + h) \cap (G_2 \cup G_2^*), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, l,$$

$$H = \bigcup_{k=0}^l \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i^k \cap G_2,$$

$$H^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^l \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i^k \cap G_2^*,$$

where $0 < h < \frac{1}{2} \min_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 \leq k \leq l}} (x_{i+1}^k - x_i^k)$ will be defined later. With this notation (1) becomes

$$\int_{G_1^k} u dx = \int_{G_1^k} u dx, \quad \forall u \in V_2, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, l.$$

Whence

$$\int_{G_1} u dx = \int_{G_1} u dx, \quad \int_{G_1^*} u dx = \int_{G_1^*} u dx, \quad \forall u \in V_2. \tag{2}$$

Now put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} v_2(x), & x \in G_1 \cup G_1^*, \\ v_1(x), & x \in (G_2 \cup G_2^*) \setminus (H \cup H^*), \\ \text{a continuous function on } H_i^k \text{ lying strictly between } v_1 \text{ and } v_2 \\ \text{almost everywhere on } \bar{H}_i^k, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, l. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $f \in O(X)$. Now take $x^* < x^1$ such that $v(x^*) \neq 0$ and let $s = \text{sgn } v(x^*)$. Thus

$$\text{sgn}(f(x) - v_1(x)) = \begin{cases} s, & x \in G_1 \cup H, \\ -s, & x \in G_1^* \cup H^*, \\ 0, & x \in (G_2 \cup G_2^*) \setminus (H \cup H^*) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{sgn}(f(x) - v_2(x)) = \begin{cases} -s, & x \in G_2, \\ s, & x \in G_2^*, \\ 0, & x \in G_1 \cup G_1^* \end{cases}$$

are valid almost everywhere.

Since by (2) for any $u \in V_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X u \operatorname{sgn}(f - v_2) dx \right| &= \left| \int_{G_2} u dx - \int_{G_2^*} u dx \right| = \left| \int_{G_2} u dx - \int_{G_2^*} u dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{G_2 \cup G_2^*} |u| dx = \int_{Z(f-v_2)} |u| dx, \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 4-2 in [4] v_2 is a best approximation to f from V_2 .

On the other hand, for any $u \in V_1$ satisfying $\|u\|_\infty = \max_{x \in X} |u(x)| = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X u \operatorname{sgn}(f - v_1) dx \right| &= \left| \int_{G_1} u dx - \int_{G_1^*} u dx + \int_H u dx - \int_{H^*} u dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{G_2} u dx - \int_{G_2^*} u dx + \int_H u dx - \int_{H^*} u dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{G_2} u dx - \int_{G_2^*} u dx \right| + \int_{H \cup H^*} |u| dx. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$c = \inf_{u \in V_1, \|u\|_\infty = 1} \left(\int_{G_2 \cup G_2^*} |u| dx - \left| \int_{G_2} u dx - \int_{G_2^*} u dx \right| \right) > 0. \tag{3}$$

Whence taking $h \leq c/2n(l+1)$, it follows that

$$\int_{H \cup H^*} |u| dx \leq M(H \cup H^*) \leq \frac{1}{2} c$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X u \operatorname{sgn}(f - v_1) dx \right| &\leq \int_{G_2 \cup G_2^*} |u| dx + \int_{H \cup H^*} |u| dx - c \\ &= \int_{(G_2 \cup G_2^*) \setminus (H \cup H^*)} |u| dx + 2 \int_{H \cup H^*} |u| dx - c \\ &\leq \int_{(G_2 \cup G_2^*) \setminus (H \cup H^*)} |u| dx = \int_{Z(f-v_1)} |u| dx. \end{aligned}$$

This means that v_1 is a best approximation to f from V_1 , because the restriction of $\|u\|_\infty = 1$ may be removed.

The remainder of the proof is devoted to showing (3). In fact, if $c = 0$, we can find a $u \in V_1$ such that $\|u\|_\infty = 1$ and

$$\int_{G_2 \cup G_2^*} |u| dx = \left| \int_{G_2} u dx - \int_{G_2^*} u dx \right|.$$

It implies that

$$\operatorname{sgn} u(x) = \begin{cases} s^*, & x \in G_2, \\ -s^*, & x \in G_2^* \end{cases}$$

is true almost everywhere, where $s^* = 1$ or -1 . Hence u has at least $l \geq m$ zeros in X , a contradiction.

3. Proof of Part (b) of the Theorem

We need the following

Lemma. *If $f \in C(X)$ satisfies that v_j is a best approximation to f from V_j ($j =$*

1, 2), then there exists a $g \in O(X)$ satisfying that v_j is a best approximation to g from $V_j (j=1, 2)$ and

$$\min\{v_1(x), v_2(x)\} \leq g(x) \leq \max\{v_1(x), v_2(x)\}. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Put

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \min\{v_1(x), v_2(x)\}, & f(x) < \min\{v_1(x), v_2(x)\}, \\ \max\{v_1(x), v_2(x)\}, & f(x) > \max\{v_1(x), v_2(x)\}, \\ f(x), & \text{for the other } x. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $g \in O(X)$ and satisfies (4).

On the other hand, since by (4) $g(x) > (<) v_j(x)$ implies that $v_{3-j}(x) \geq (<) g(x) > (<) v_j(x)$ and $f(x) > (<) v_j(x) (j=1, 2)$, we have

$$\operatorname{sgn}(g(x) - v_j(x)) = \operatorname{sgn}(f(x) - v_j(x)), \quad \forall x \in X \setminus Z(g - v_j), \quad j=1, 2.$$

Thus for any $u \in V_j (j=1, 2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X u \operatorname{sgn}(g - v_j) dx \right| &= \left| \int_{X \setminus Z(g - v_j)} u \operatorname{sgn}(g - v_j) dx \right| = \left| \int_{X \setminus Z(g - v_j)} u \operatorname{sgn}(f - v_j) dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{X \setminus Z(g - v_j)} u \operatorname{sgn}(f - v_j) dx + \int_{Z(g - v_j) \setminus Z(f - v_j)} u \operatorname{sgn}(f - v_j) dx \right| \\ &\quad + \int_{Z(g - v_j) \setminus Z(f - v_j)} |u| dx \\ &= \left| \int_{X \setminus Z(f - v_j)} u \operatorname{sgn}(f - v_j) dx \right| + \int_{Z(g - v_j) \setminus Z(f - v_j)} |u| dx \\ &\leq \int_{Z(f - v_j)} |u| dx + \int_{Z(g - v_j) \setminus Z(f - v_j)} |u| dx = \int_{Z(g - v_j)} |u| dx, \end{aligned}$$

which means that v_j is a best approximation to g from $V_j (j=1, 2)$.

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Now assume that there exists an $f \in O(X)$ such that v_j is a best approximation to f from $V_j (j=1, 2)$, but the condition of the theorem is not satisfied, i. e. v has at most $m-1$ zeros in $(-1, 1)$. By the lemma we can without loss of generality suppose f satisfies (4).

It is not hard to see that

$$v(x) \begin{cases} > 0, & x \in Z_+(f - v_1) \cup Z_-(f - v_2), \\ < 0, & x \in Z_-(f - v_1) \cup Z_+(f - v_2). \end{cases}$$

Since v has at most $m-1$ zeros in $(-1, 1)$, we can find a $u \in V_1$ such that

$$\operatorname{sgn} u(x) = \operatorname{sgn} v(x), \quad \forall x \in X \setminus Z(v).$$

Whence by Theorem 4-2 in [4]

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{Z(f - v_j)} |u| dx &\geq \sum_{j=1}^2 \left| \int_X u \operatorname{sgn}(f - v_j) dx \right| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{X \setminus Z(f - v_j)} |u| dx \geq \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{Z(f - v_{3-j})} |u| dx = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{Z(f - v_j)} |u| dx. \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$(-1)^{j-1} \int_X u \operatorname{sgn}(f - v_j) dx = \int_{Z(f - v_j)} |u| dx, \quad j=1, 2$$

and

$$\int_{X \setminus Z(f-v_j)} |u| dx = \int_{Z(f-v_j)} |u| dx, \quad j=1, 2,$$

which implies that

$$Z(f-v_1) \cup Z(f-v_2) = X.$$

Thus

$$\overline{Z_-(f-v_1) \cup Z_-(f-v_2)} \cap \overline{Z_+(f-v_1) \cup Z_+(f-v_2)} \subset Z(v)$$

and there also exists a $u^* \in V_1$ such that

$$u^*(x) \begin{cases} > 0, & x \in Z_+(f-v_1) \cup Z_+(f-v_2), \\ < 0, & x \in Z_-(f-v_1) \cup Z_-(f-v_2). \end{cases}$$

The similar arguments as above give that

$$\int_X u^* \operatorname{sgn}(f-v_j) dx = \int_{Z(f-v_j)} |u^*| dx, \quad j=1, 2.$$

Hence, noting that $\operatorname{sgn} u^*(x) = \operatorname{sgn} u(x)$ on $Z(f-v_2) \setminus Z(v) = Z_+(f-v_1) \cup Z_-(f-v_1)$, we have

$$\int_X (u^* - u) \operatorname{sgn}(f-v_2) dx = \int_{Z(f-v_2)} (|u^*| + |u|) dx > \int_{Z(f-v_2)} |u^* - u| dx,$$

a contradiction.

References

- [1] T. J. Rivlin, New and Unsolved Problems, No. 14: Best algebraic approximation, "Abstract Spaces and Approximation", Vol. 10, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel/Stuttgart, 1969, p. 421.
- [2] Y. G. Shi, On a problem of Rivlin in L_1 approximation, *J. Approximation Theory*, 37 (1983), 103—107.
- [3] O. A. Micchelli, Best L^1 approximation by weak Chebyshev systems and the uniqueness of interpolating perfect splines, *J. Approximation Theory*, 19 (1977), 1—14.
- [4] J. R. Rice, "The Approximation of Functions", Vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. /Palo Alto/ London, 1964, p. 104.