

# THE ERROR BOUND OF THE FINITE ELEMENT METHOD FOR A TWO-DIMENSIONAL SINGULAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM\*

ZHOU SHU-ZI (周叔子)

(Hunan University)

## 1. Introduction

The finite element method for one-dimensional singular boundary value problems have been studied by several authors (for instance, see [4], [10], [8], [11]). The finite element method for a two-dimensional singular boundary value problem is proposed in [12]. Recently [9], [16], [1], [15] and [3] have given the relevant theoretical studies. In [9], the error of order  $O(h^k)$  has been proved for the Lagrange elements of degree  $k$  provided that the solution of the boundary value problem is in  $C^{k+1}(\bar{\Omega})$ . [16] has proved the convergence of the linear finite element method provided only that the solution of the boundary value problem belongs to a weighted Sobolev space. For problem (1.1) in the present paper, [1] has proved that the error is of order  $O(h)$  for a variant linear element including a logarithmic term. For the ordinary linear element, [15] and [3] have also obtained the error of order  $O(h)$ . In this paper we extend the result of [15] and [3] to the elements of high degree.

We consider the following model problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Omega: & Lu \equiv -\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r\beta_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\beta_2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)\right] = f, \\ \Gamma_1: & u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open domain with  $r > 0$  in  $(r, z)$ -plane,  $\Gamma_1 = \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma_0$ ,  $\Gamma_0 = \partial\Omega \cap \{(r, z): r=0\}$ .

In order to formulate the weak form of problem (1.1) we introduce some weighted Sobolev spaces. The similar spaces have been studied in [2], [5], [13] and [14].

## 2. Weighted Sobolev Spaces $V_1^m$

Define  $V^0(\Omega) = \{v: v \text{ is measurable in } \Omega, \|v\|_{V^0(\Omega)} < \infty\}$ ,  
 $V_1^m(\Omega) = \{v \in V^0(\Omega): \|v\|_{V_1^m(\Omega)} < \infty\}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

where

$$\|v\|_{V^0(\Omega)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} v^2 r \, dr \, dz \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\|v\|_{V_1^m(\Omega)} = \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha v\|_{V^0(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

\* Received September 30, 1982.

$$\|v\|_{V_1^m(\Omega)} = \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha v\|_{V^0(\Omega)}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left\| r^{j-m} \frac{\partial^j v}{\partial r^j} \right\|_{V^0(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad m=2, 3, \dots.$$

Sometimes we use  $V^0, V_1^m$  instead of  $V^0(\Omega), V_1^m(\Omega)$ .

Using the arguments similar to those in [13], [14] and [5] we can prove the following propositions.

**Proposition 2.1.** The spaces  $V^0, V_1^m$  are Banach spaces.

**Proposition 2.2.** If  $\Omega$  has a locally Lipschitz boundary then  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  is dense in  $V_1^m(\Omega)$ .

Now we may as usual define the trace on the boundary of  $\Omega$  for the elements of  $V_1^m(\Omega)$ . Then we may introduce the following spaces corresponding to problem (1.1):

$$V_{1,0}^1(\Omega) = \{v \in V_1^1(\Omega); v=0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1\}.$$

From now on we assume that  $\Omega$  has a locally Lipschitz boundary, that  $f \in V^0(\Omega)$ , and that  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  are bounded, measurable in  $\Omega$  and there exists a positive constant  $\beta_0$  such that  $\beta_1 \geq \beta_0, \beta_2 \geq \beta_0$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** (Ref. [6]) *There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] r dr dz \geq C \|v\|_{V_{1,0}^1(\Omega)}^2, \quad \forall v \in V_{1,0}^1(\Omega).$$

The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of theorem 2.2 in [5].

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $v \in V_1^m, m \geq 2$ , then*

$$\frac{\partial^j v}{\partial r^j} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m-1.$$

It is easy to prove that  $V_1^2(\Omega) \subset C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ . (Ref. [15]).

### 3. The Weak Form of the Problem and the Discrete Problem

Define the bilinear form  $B_1(u, v)$  and the linear functional  $F(v)$  as follows:

$$B_1(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \beta_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \beta_2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right) r dr dz, \quad \forall u, v \in V_1^1(\Omega),$$

$$F(v) = \int_{\Omega} f v r dr dz, \quad \forall v \in V_1^1(\Omega).$$

The weak form of problem (1.1) is

**Problem (3.1).** Find  $u \in V_{1,0}^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$B_1(u, v) = F(v), \quad \forall v \in V_{1,0}^1(\Omega).$$

By lemma 2.3 we know that  $B_1(u, v)$  is coercive on  $V_{1,0}^1(\Omega) \times V_{1,0}^1(\Omega)$ . So we may easily prove the following theorem using the Lax-Milgram theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Problem (3.1) has a unique solution.*

From now on we assume that  $\Omega$  is a polygon.

Let  $T_h = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$  be a normal triangulation of  $\Omega$  (Ref. [6]). Denote by  $h_i$  and  $\theta_i$  respectively the size of the maximal edge and the minimal inner angle of  $C_i$ . Let  $h = \max h_i, \theta = \min \theta_i$ . Define the finite element spaces  $V_1^{m,h}$  of degree  $m$  as follows:

$$V_1^{1,h} = \{v_h \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}); v_h \text{ is a linear function on } C_i, i=1, \dots, n; v_h=0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1\},$$

$$V_1^{m,h} = \{v_h \in C^{m-1}(\bar{\Omega}); v_h \text{ is a polynomial of degree } m \text{ on } C_i,$$

$$i=1, \dots, n; v_h=0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1\}, m=2, 3, \dots.$$

The discrete problem corresponding problem (3.1) and the finite element spaces of degree  $m$  is

*Problem (3.1')*. Find  $u_h \in V_1^{m,h}$  such that

$$B_1(u_h, v_h) = F(v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_1^{m,h}.$$

Similarly to theorem 3.1 we have

**Theorem 3.2.** *Problem (3.1') has a unique solution.*

### 4. The Error Bound of the Finite Element Solutions

Assume for the triangulations  $T_h$  that

$$\theta \geq \theta_0 > 0, \quad \theta_0 \text{ independent of } h. \tag{4.1}$$

Given any triangle  $C \in T_h$ . Denote still by  $h$  its maximal edge. Given  $m_0 = (m+1) \cdot (m+2)/2$  nodes  $P_k$  ( $k=1, \dots, m_0$ ) as usual. Denote by  $\varphi_k$  the basis functions for Lagrange interpolation corresponding the nodes  $P_k, k=1, \dots, m_0$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** (Ref. [7]) *There exists a constant  $C_0$  such that*

$$|\partial^\alpha \varphi_j| \leq C_0 h^{-|\alpha|} \quad \text{if } |\alpha| \leq m. \tag{4.2}$$

By simple calculation we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are non-negative integers with  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \leq m$ , that  $(r_j, z_j)$  are the coordinates of the nodes  $P_j$ . Then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_0} \varphi_j(r, z) (r_j - r)^{\alpha_1} (z_j - z)^{\alpha_2} = 0.$$

The following lemma is an extension of a result in [3] (see also [15]).

**Lemma 4.3.** *Suppose that  $v \in V_1^{m+1}(C)$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , and  $v_I$  is the Lagrange interpolation of degree  $m$  for  $v$  on  $C$  corresponding to the nodes  $P_k, k=1, \dots, m_0$ . Then*

$$\|v - v_I\|_{V_1^i(C)} \leq M h^{m+1-i} |v|_{V_1^{m+1}(C)}, \quad i=0, 1, \tag{4.3}$$

where  $M$  independent of  $C, v, V_1^0(C) = V^0(C)$ , and

$$|v|_{V_1^{m+1}(C)} = \left( \sum_{|\alpha|=m+1} \|\partial^\alpha v\|_{V^0(C)}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the conclusion for  $v \in C^\infty(C)$ . Given any point  $P \in C$ . Using the Taylor's formula with integral remainder we have

$$v(P_j) - v(P) = d_j v(P) + \dots + \frac{1}{m!} d_j^m v(P) + \frac{1}{m!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^m d_j^{m+1} v(M_j) dt, \tag{4.4}$$

$$j=1, \dots, m_0.$$

where

$$d_j = (r_j - r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + (z_j - z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

$$d_j^n = d_j \cdot d_j^{n-1}, \quad n=2, 3, \dots,$$

$$M_j = P_j t + P(1-t).$$

It follows from the properties of the basis functions, lemma 4.2 and (4.4) that

$$v_I(P) - v(P) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} \varphi_j(P) [v(P_j) - v(P)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_j \int_0^1 (1-t)^m \varphi_j(P) d_j^{m+1} v(M_j) dt. \tag{4.5}$$

Differentiating (4.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v_I}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} &= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_j \int_0^1 (1-t)^m \left[ \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial r} d_j^{m+1} - (m+1) \varphi_j d_j^m \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right] v(M_j) dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{m!} \sum_j \int_0^1 (1-t)^m \varphi_j d_j^{m+1} \left[ \frac{\partial v(M_j)}{\partial r} (1-t) \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts the integrals in the second sum, noting lemma 4.2 and that

$$\frac{d}{dt} [d_j^m v(M_j)] = d_j^{m+1} v(M_j),$$

we have 
$$\frac{\partial v_I}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_j \int_0^1 (1-t)^m \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial r} d_j^{m+1} v(M_j) dt.$$

Then it follows from lemma 4.1 that

$$\left| \frac{\partial v_I}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right| \leq M_0^* h^{-1} \sum_j \int_0^1 (1-t)^m |d_j^{m+1} v(M_j)| dt$$

and it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_O \left| \frac{\partial v_I}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right|^2 r dr dz &\leq M_1^* h^{-2} \sum_j \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 (1-t)^m |d_j^{m+1} v(M_j)| dt \right)^2 r dr dz \\ &= M_1^* h^{-2} \sum_j \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^1 (1-t)^{-1/4} (1-t)^{m+1/4} |d_j^{m+1} v(M_j)| dt \right)^2 r dr dz \\ &\leq M_2^* h^{-2} \sum_j \int_0^1 (1-t)^{2m+1/2} dt \int_O |d_j^{m+1} v(M_j)|^2 r dr dz \\ &= M_2^* h^{-2} \sum_j \int_0^1 (1-t)^{2m+1/2} dt \int_O \left| \left[ (r_j - r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (z_j - z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right]^{m+1} v(M_j) \right|^2 r dr dz, \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where  $M_0^*$ ,  $M_1^*$  and  $M_2^*$  are some constants. Carry out variable transformation in the last integrals in (4.6) as follows:

$$\xi = r_j t + r(1-t), \quad \eta = z_j t + z(1-t).$$

Then  $M_j = (\xi, \eta)$ , and the triangle  $O$  reduces to a similar triangle  $O_{j,t}$  with the similarity transformation center  $P_j$ . Obviously  $O_{j,t} \subset O$ . Hence the right side of (4.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &M_2^* h^{-2} \sum_j \int_0^1 (1-t)^{2m+1/2} dt \int_{O_{j,t}} \left| \left[ (r_j - \xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + (z_j - \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right]^{m+1} v(M_j) \right|^2 \frac{(\xi - r_j t)}{(1-t)^3} d\xi d\eta \\ &= M_2^* h^{-2} \sum_j \int_0^1 (1-t)^{2m-5/2} dt \int_{O_{j,t}} (\xi - r_j t) \left| \left[ (r_j - \xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + (z_j - \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right]^{m+1} v(M_j) \right|^2 d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq M_3^* h^{2m} \sum_j \int_0^1 (1-t)^{4m-1/2} dt \int_{O_{j,t}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m+1} |\partial^\alpha v|^2 \xi d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq M_4^* h^{2m} \int_O \sum_{|\alpha|=m+1} |\partial^\alpha v|^2 \xi d\xi d\eta \\ &= M_4^* h^{2m} |v|_{V^{m+1}(O)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is proved that

$$\int_O \left| \frac{\partial v_I}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right|^2 r dr dz \leq M_4^* h^{2m} |v|_{V^{m+1}(O)}^2.$$

Similarly we have

$$\int_C \left| \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \right|^2 r dr dz \leq M_5^* h^{2m} |v|_{V_T^{m+1}(C)}^2,$$

and starting with (4.5) we derive

$$\int_C |v_I - v|^2 r dr dz \leq M_6^* h^{2m+2} |v|_{V_T^{m+1}(C)}^2.$$

Now (4.3) has been proved.

According to this lemma it is easy to prove the main result of this paper by using a well-known argument.

**Theorem 4.4.** Assume that  $u$  and  $u_h$  are respectively the solution of problem (3.1) and (3.1'),  $u \in V_1^{m+1}(\Omega)$ . Then

$$\|u - u_h\|_{V_1} = O(h^m).$$

### References

- [1] A. Bendali, Approximation of a degenerated elliptic boundary value problem by a finite element method, *RAIRO Anal. Numér.*, 15(1981), 87—99.
- [2] K. C. Chang, L. S. Jiang, The free boundary problem of the stationary water cone, *Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Pekin.*, 1(1978), 1—25.
- [3] Q. M. Chen, The convergence and superconvergence of the finite element for the axisymmetric problem. (to appear in *Hunan Math. Annals*)
- [4] M. Crouzeix, J. M. Thomas, Elements finis et problèmes elliptiques dégénérés, *RAIRO Anal. Numér.*, 7(1973), 77—104.
- [5] C. W. Cryer, The solution of the axisymmetric elastic-plastic torsion of a shaft using variational inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 76(1980), 535—570.
- [6] K. Feng, Differencing scheme based on variational principle, *Appl. Comp. Math.* (Chinese), 4(1965), 238—262.
- [7] K. Feng, Finite element method (III), *Practice and Theory of Math.* (Chinese), 2(1975), 51—73.
- [8] D. Jespersion, Ritz-Galerkin methods for singular boundary value problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 15(1978), 813—834.
- [9] E. X. Jiang, The error bound of the finite element method for the axisymmetric solid in elastic mechanics, *Fudan J. (Natur. Sci.)*, 19(1980), 87—96.
- [10] R. D. Russell, L. F. Shampine, Numerical methods for singular boundary value problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 12(1975), 13—36.
- [11] R. Schreiber, S. C. Eisenstat, Finite element methods for spherically symmetric elliptic equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 18(1981), 546—558.
- [12] E. Wilson, Structural analysis of axisymmetric solid, *AIAA J.*, 3(1965), 2269—2274.
- [13] S. Z. Zhou, Functional spaces  $W_{p,1}^m$ , *J. Hunan Univ.*, 1(1980), 1—9.
- [14] S. Z. Zhou, Functional spaces  $W_{p,2}^m$ , *J. Hunan Univ.*, 4(1980), 1—12.
- [15] S. Z. Zhou, Linear finite element method for a two-dimensional singular boundary value problem, MRO TSR \*2380(1982), Univ. of Wisconsin-Madison; *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* (to appear)
- [16] S. Z. Zhou, B. B. Tang, The convergence of the semi-analytical finite element method, *J. Hunan Univ.*, 2(1979), 1—9; 1(1981), 51—59.