

BOUNDS ON CONDITION NUMBER OF A MATRIX*

HUANG HONG-CI (黄鸿慈)

(Computing Center, Academia Sinica, Beijing, China)

Abstract

For each vector norm $\|x\|$, a matrix A has its operator norm $\|A\| = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}$ and a condition number $P(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$. Let U be the set of the whole of norms defined on C^n . It is shown that for a nonsingular matrix $A \in C^{n \times n}$, there is no finite upper bound of $P(A)$ while $\|\cdot\|$ varies on U if $A \neq \alpha I$; on the other hand, it is shown that $\inf_{\|\cdot\| \in U} \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \rho(A) \rho(A^{-1})$ and in which case this infimum can or cannot be attained, where $\rho(A)$ denotes the spectral radius of A .

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm defined on the linear space C^n . Then a matrix $A \in C^{n \times n}$, treated as a linear operator on C^n , has a norm $\|A\| = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}$ correspondingly. We denote by $P(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$ the condition number of a nonsingular matrix A . This is a basic concept in numerical algebra and is important in some other fields of numerical analysis. Under certain circumstances, one takes the product of spectral radius $\rho(A) \rho(A^{-1})$, namely the ratio $|\lambda_1|/|\lambda_n|$ where λ_1 and λ_n are the largest and smallest eigenvalues of A by norm, to characterize the condition of A . $P(A)$ depends on the selected norm $\|\cdot\|$ while $\rho(A) \rho(A^{-1})$ is determined only by the matrix itself. Now we reveal their relationship.

Denote by U the set of the whole of norms defined on C^n . We begin with the upper bound of $P(A)$ while $\|\cdot\|$ varies on U . Obviously, when $A = \alpha I$, where I is the identity matrix and α is a nonzero scalar, $P(A) = 1$ for any norm. Otherwise, we have

Theorem 1. Let $A \in C^{n \times n}$, be nonsingular and $A \neq \alpha I$. Then there is no finite upper bound of $P(A)$ while $\|\cdot\|$ varies on U .

Proof. Let $\|\cdot\|_\infty = \max |x_i|$, it is known that the corresponding norm of the matrix is

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_j \sum_i |a_{ij}|. \quad (1)$$

Now we divide the matrices involved in the condition of the theorem into two cases: (1) at least one nonzero element on the off-diagonal, (2) a diagonal form with $a_{ii} \neq a_{jj}$ for some $i \neq j$ due to $A \neq \alpha I$.

In case (1), supposing $a_{ij} \neq 0$, we take

$$Q_s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & s & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{row } i),$$

a matrix different from the identity matrix only by the element $[Q_s]_{ii} = s \neq 0$. With

notice of the nonsingularity of Q_s , we can define a norm $\|\cdot\|_{a(s)}$ with a parameter s such as

$$\|x\|_{a(s)} = \|Q_s x\|_\infty$$

and then correspondingly,

$$\|A\|_{a(s)} = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_{a(s)}}{\|x\|_{a(s)}} = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Q_s Ax\|_\infty}{\|Q_s x\|_\infty} = \max_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|Q_s A Q_s^{-1} y\|_\infty}{\|y\|_\infty} = \|Q_s A Q_s^{-1}\|_\infty.$$

Through calculation and from (1) we can obtain

$$\|A\|_{a(s)} \geq |sa_{ij}|. \tag{2}$$

From (2), it can be seen that no finite upper bound of $\|A\|_{a(s)}$ exists while $|s|$ tends to infinity.

In case (2), supposing $a_{ii} \neq a_{jj}$, we take

$$T_s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \text{(row } i) \\ \text{(row } j), \end{matrix}$$

where s is a positive real number. We define

$$\|x\|_{t(s)} = \|T_s x\|_\infty$$

with a parameter s . Through calculation we have

$$\|A\|_{t(s)} = \|T_s A T_s^{-1}\|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{s} |a_{ii} - a_{jj}|. \tag{3}$$

When $s \rightarrow 0$, there is no finite upper bound of $\|A\|_{t(s)}$. With notice of $\|A^{-1}\| \geq \rho(A^{-1}) > 0$ we conclude that $P(A)$ has no finite upper bound in both cases.

For any norm it is known that $\|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \geq \rho(A) \rho(A^{-1})$. Now we go further to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $A \in O^{n \times n}$, and be nonsingular. Then

$$\inf_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \rho(A) \rho(A^{-1}). \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let Q be the matrix transforming A into Jordan canonical form, namely,

$$Q^{-1} A Q = J = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & & & \\ & J_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & J_m \end{bmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$J_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & \\ & \lambda_i & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}, \tag{5a}$$

and is of order n_i , $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i = n$.

At the same time we have

$$Q^{-1}A^{-1}Q = J^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} J_1^{-1} & & & \\ & J_2^{-1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & J_m^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where J_i^{-1} , due to the form (5a) of J_i , is also an upper triangular form with diagonal elements λ_i^{-1} ,

$$J_i^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i^{-1} & \times & \times & \dots & \times \\ & \lambda_i^{-1} & \times & \dots & \times \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \lambda_i^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{6a}$$

Let D_s be a diagonal matrix as follows:

$$D_s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & s & & & \\ & & s^2 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & s^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

where s is a positive real number. Then we define

$$\|x\|_{s(s)} = \|D_s^{-1}Q^{-1}x\|_{\infty}.$$

Correspondingly we have

$$\|A\|_{s(s)} = \|D_s^{-1}Q^{-1}AQD_s\| = \|D_s^{-1}JD_s\|_{\infty} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{s(s)} = \|D_s^{-1}J^{-1}D_s\|_{\infty}. \tag{9}$$

From the Jordan canonical form (5), (5a) and the diagonal form (7) of D_s , we obtain

$$D_s^{-1}JD_s = \begin{bmatrix} J_1(s) & & & \\ & J_2(s) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & J_m(s) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{8a}$$

where

$$J_j(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_j & s & & \\ & \lambda_j & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & s \\ & & & \lambda_j \end{bmatrix}. \tag{8b}$$

On the other hand, from (6) and (6a) we obtain

$$D_s^{-1}J^{-1}D_s = \begin{bmatrix} J_1^{-1}(s) & & & \\ & J_2^{-1}(s) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & J_m^{-1}(s) \end{bmatrix}, \tag{9a}$$

where

$$J_i^{-1}(\epsilon) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i^{-1} & O(\epsilon) & O(\epsilon^2) & \dots & O(\epsilon^{n_i-1}) \\ & \lambda_i^{-1} & O(\epsilon) & \dots & O(\epsilon^{n_i-2}) \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \lambda_i^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \tag{9b}$$

Combining (8)–(8b) and (9)–(9b), we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|A\|_{\alpha(\epsilon)} \|A^{-1}\|_{\alpha(\epsilon)} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\max |\lambda_i| + \epsilon}{\min |\lambda_i| + O(\epsilon)} = \rho(A)\rho(A^{-1}).$$

With notice of $\|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \geq \rho(A)\rho(A^{-1})$ we obtain (4).

For the nonsingular Hermitian matrix, it is known that $\|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \rho(A)\rho(A^{-1})$ with Euclidean norm. It means in this case that the infimum of $P(A)$ can be attained. Now we show whether or not the infimum of $P(A)$ can be attained in general case.

Theorem 3a. Let $A \in O^{n \times n}$, be nonsingular and has no Jordan block corresponding to the largest and smallest eigenvalues by norm, namely, there exists a matrix Q such that

$$Q^{-1}AQ = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \lambda_1 & & & \\ & & & J_2 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & J_{m-1} & \\ & & & & & & \lambda_m & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & \lambda_m \end{bmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_{m-1}| > |\lambda_m| > 0$. Then we have

$$\inf_{\|\cdot\| \in U} \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \rho(A)\rho(A^{-1}). \tag{11}$$

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 2, it can be seen that in the case of Jordan form (10) there exists a real number $\epsilon_0 > 0$ for the norm $\|x\|_{\alpha(\epsilon)} = \|D_\epsilon^{-1}Q^{-1}x\|_\infty$, as $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$

$$\|A\|_{\alpha(\epsilon)} = |\lambda_1| = \rho(A)$$

and

$$\|A^{-1}\|_{\alpha(\epsilon)} = 1/|\lambda_m| = \rho(A^{-1})$$

hold. So we complete the proof.

Except the case of Theorem 3a, there is no any norm $\|\cdot\|$ which can make $P(A)$ attain its infimum.

Theorem 3b. Let $A \in O^{n \times n}$, be nonsingular and has Jordan block corresponding to its largest or smallest eigenvalue by norm. Then there is no any norm $\|\cdot\| \in U$ which can make $\|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \rho(A)\rho(A^{-1})$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that no norm can make $\|A\| = |\lambda_1|$ if there is a Jordan block corresponding to λ_1 . In this case, there exist nonzero vectors q_1, q_2 such that

$$Aq_1 = \lambda_1 q_1,$$

$$Aq_2 = q_1 + \lambda_1 q_2.$$

Making use of these two equalities iteratively, we get

$$A^k q_2 = k\lambda_1^{k-1} q_1 + \lambda_1^k q_2.$$

Assume that a norm $\|\cdot\| \in U$ makes $\|A\| = |\lambda_1|$. It follows that for any positive integer k ,

$$k|\lambda_1|^{k-1}\|q_1\| - |\lambda_1|^k\|q_2\| \leq \|A^k q_2\| \leq \|A^k\|\|q_2\| \leq |\lambda_1|^k\|q_2\|$$

and then

$$k \leq 2|\lambda_1|\|q_2\|/\|q_1\|.$$

The inequality means that the constant $2|\lambda_1|\|q_2\|/\|q_1\|$ can be bigger than any positive integer. This falsity shows the impossibility of $\|A\| = |\lambda_1|$.

The results of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 of this paper have been announced in a letter form in *KEXUE TONGBO*, Vol. 26, No. 7, p.668, 1981. The work of Theorem 3a and 3b was stimulated by the good questions of Prof. Wu Wen-da, to whom the author wishes to express his sincere thanks.