

Note

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF THE CONVERGENCE FOR THE GENERALIZED BERNSTEIN-BÉZIER POLYNOMIALS*

CHANG GENG-ZHE (常庚哲)

(China University of Science and Technology, Hefei, China)

In [3], the author defines

$$B_{n,\alpha}(g; x) = g(0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \left[g\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - g\left(\frac{k-1}{n}\right) \right] f_{n,k}^\alpha(x) \quad (1)$$

for $\alpha > 0$ and calls (1) the generalized Bernstein-Bézier polynomial of the function $g(x)$ defined in $[0, 1]$. Polynomials involved in (1)

$$f_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{i=k}^n J_{n,i}(x), \quad k=0, 1, \dots, n \quad (2)$$

are called α -th Bézier basis functions^[1-3], where

$$J_{n,i}(x) = \binom{n}{i} x^i (1-x)^{n-i}, \quad i=0, 1, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

When $\alpha=1$, (1) reduces to the classical Bernstein polynomial for $g(x)$. It is proven in [3] that the following theorem is valid.

Convergence Theorem. For any $\alpha > 0$ and $g \in C[0, 1]$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n,\alpha}(g; x) = g(x) \quad \text{uniformly in } [0, 1]. \quad (4)$$

The outline of the proof for the theorem is as follows. We point out there that $B_{n,\alpha}$ is a positive linear operator and then show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n,\alpha}(x^i; x) = x^i, \quad i=0, 1, 2,$$

uniformly in $[0, 1]$. The convergence theorem follows as soon as the Korovkin theorem is applied. It is clear that $B_{n,\alpha}(1; x) = 1$. As we can see in [3], the crucial step is to verify $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n,\alpha}(x; x) = x$ uniformly in $[0, 1]$, a fact which is equivalent to the following

Main Theorem. For $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}^\alpha(x) = x \quad \text{uniformly in } [0, 1]. \quad (5)$$

In [3], (5) is proven by using the Tchebychev inequality so called in the probability theory. A purely analytical proof presented in this paper, in which some new results of the Bézier basis functions are involved, seems to be desirable and to have independent interest.

Two known identities of the Bézier basis functions

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}(x) = x \quad (6)$$

and

$$f_{n,k}(x) + f_{n,n+1-k}(1-x) = 1, \quad k=0, 1, \dots, n \quad (7)$$

will be useful in the sequel. The proofs for (6) and (7) could be found in [2] and [1] respectively. Consider the case of $\alpha=2$ at first. By (6) and (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq x - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}^2(x) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}(x) [1 - f_{n,k}(x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}(x) f_{n,n+1-k}(1-x). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Setting

$$h_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}(x) f_{n,n+1-k}(1-x),$$

this function can also be formulated by

$$h_n(x) = [f_{n,n}(1-x), \dots, f_{n,1}(1-x)] F_n(x), \quad (9)$$

where

$$F_n(x) = [f_{n,1}(x), f_{n,2}(x), \dots, f_{n,n}(x)]^t.$$

Put $l_n = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^t$ and

$$B(x) = xI + (1-x)E, \quad (10)$$

where I denotes the $n \times n$ identity matrix and

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In [2] we have shown that

$$[B(x)]^k l_n = \begin{bmatrix} l_{n-k} \\ F_k(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad k \leq n, \quad (11)$$

similarly

$$l_n^t [B(1-x)]^k = [f_{k,k}(1-x), \dots, f_{k,1}(1-x), l_{n-k}^t] \quad (12)$$

for $k \leq n$. Since $B(x)$ and $B(1-x)$ both are polynomials of E , they are commutative in multiplication and we have from (9) by (11) and (12)

$$h_n(x) = l_n^t [B(1-x)B(x)]^n l_n. \quad (14)$$

Since $\frac{d}{dx} [B(1-x)B(x)]^n = n(1-2x) [B(1-x)B(x)]^{n-1} (I-E)^2$,

we have by (11), (12) and (2) that

$$h_n'(x) = n(1-2x) \sum_{k=1}^n J_{n-1,n-k}(1-x) J_{n-1,k-1}(x). \quad (15)$$

We conclude from (15) that $h_n(x)$ has maximum at $x=1/2$, thus

$$0 \leq h_n(x) \leq h_n(1/2) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1. \quad (16)$$

From (14) we get

$$h_n(1/2) = l_n^t [B(1/2)]^{2n} l_n = (1/4)^n l_n^t (I+E)^{2n} l_n = (1/4)^n l_n^t \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} E^k l_n.$$

Since $E^k = 0$ for $k \geq n$, we have

$$h_n(1/2) = (1/4)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{k} l_n^k E^k l_n = (1/4)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{k} (n-k) = (1/4)^n \binom{2n}{n} n/2.$$

It is known that^[4]

$$\binom{2n}{n} / 4^n < 1/\sqrt{2n+1},$$

thus we have $\lim h_n(1/2)/n = 0$ and then by (16)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(x)/n = 0 \text{ uniformly in } [0, 1]. \quad (17)$$

Hence we have proved the main theorem for $\alpha=2$. Assume that we just establish the theorem for $\alpha=p$, where p is a positive integer. Since $0 \leq f_{n,k}(x) \leq 1$ in $[0, 1]$ for $k=0, 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}^p(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}^{p+1}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}^p(x) [1 - f_{n,k}(x)] \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}(x) [1 - f_{n,k}(x)] = h_n(x), \end{aligned}$$

we see that the theorem is proved to be valid for $p+1$. Combining (6), we have thus proved the theorem valid for any positive integer α by induction. Let α be real number ≥ 1 . From inequalities

$$\sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}^{[\alpha]+1}(x) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}^\alpha(x) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}^{[\alpha]}(x),$$

where $[\alpha]$ denotes the largest integer $\leq \alpha$, we know that the theorem holds for each real number $\alpha \geq 1$.

It remains to consider the case in which $0 < \alpha < 1$. Using (6) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}^\alpha(x) - x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [f_{n,k}^\alpha(x) - f_{n,k}(x)] \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}^\alpha(x) [1 - f_{n,k}(x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}^\alpha(x) f_{n,n+1-k}(1-x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n [f_{n,k}(x) f_{n,n+1-k}(1-x)]^\alpha \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{n,k}(x) f_{n,n+1-k}(1-x) \right]^\alpha = [h_n(x)/n]^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality comes from the fact that the power mean of order α

$$M_\alpha(a) = [(a_1^\alpha + a_2^\alpha + \dots + a_n^\alpha)/n]^{1/\alpha}$$

of n positive numbers $(a) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is a nondecreasing function of α ^[5]. By (17) we complete the proof of the main theorem.

To prove the convergence theorem, we have to verify

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{n,\alpha}(x^2; x) = x^2 \text{ uniformly in } [0, 1],$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n k f_{n,k}^\alpha(x) = x^2/2 \text{ uniformly in } [0, 1]. \quad (18)$$

Based upon the main theorem it is easy to prove (18). We omit the proof here as it can be found in [3].

Acknowledgement. The author would like to give sincere thanks to Li Ping and Li Hong-jun for their helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] P. Bézier, Numerical Control—Mathematics and Applications, John Wiley and Sons, London, 1972.
- [2] Chang Geng-zhe, Matrix formulations of Bézier technique, Computer-aided design, Vol. 14, No. 6, 1982, 345—350.
- [3] Chang Geng-zhe, Generalized Bernstein-Bézier polynomials, *Journal of Computational Mathematics*, 1:4 (1983), 322—327.
- [4] G. Klambauer, Problems and Propositions in Analysis, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1979.
- [5] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition, 1952.