

# NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE REACTION-DIFFUSION EQUATION<sup>\*1)</sup>

GUO BEN-YU (郭本瑜)

(Shanghai University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China)

In this paper, we consider the numerical solution for the equation

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \nu(x, t, U) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right) - F(x, t, U) = 0.$$

A finite difference scheme and the basic error equality are given. Then the error estimations are proved for the periodic problem with  $\nu(x, t) \geq 0$ , the first and second boundary value problems with  $\nu(x, t) \geq \nu_0 > 0$ , and for  $\nu(U) \geq \nu_0 > 0$ . Under some conditions such estimations imply the stabilities and convergences of the schemes.

## § 1. Introduction

In one-dimensional space, the reaction-diffusion equation is the following

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + M(x, t, U) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \nu(x, t, U) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right) - F(x, t, U) = 0.$$

Much work has been done to solve this equation (see [1]). On the other hand some authors worked at error estimations. But there are still some unsolved problems:

(i) In [2], the stability is taken as the boundedness of the solution. But in fact the boundedness of the solution of a non-linear scheme is not uniform with the stability. Besides it is supposed that

$$\nu(x, t, U) \geq \frac{K}{2} |M(x, t, U)|, \quad K > 0.$$

So the following important case is excluded:

$$M(x, t, U) = U, \quad \nu = \text{positive constant.}$$

(ii) In [3], the author considered the following case:

$$M(x, t, U) = U^p, \quad p \geq 1,$$

but only for the periodic problem with  $\nu(x, t, U) = \text{positive constant}$ .

(iii) Recently the author<sup>[4]</sup> studied the numerical solution of Burger's equation and used the same technique for the reaction-diffusion equation, but only for some special cases (see [5]).

This paper is concerned with a general problem, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \nu(x, t, U) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right) - F(x, t, U) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

\* Received July 18, 1983. The Chinese version was received December 15, 1980.

1) This work is done on the basis of the proposition of Professor A. R. Mitchell when the author visited Dundee University in May, 1980.



where  $\nu(x, t, U) \geq 0$ .

The technique used is to estimate the index of generalized stability (see [6-8]).

In section 2, we give some notations and lemmas. In section 3, a scheme is constructed and the basic error equality is proved. In section 4, we give a strict error estimation for the periodic problem with  $\nu(x, t) \geq 0$ . In sections 5 and 6, we prove strict error estimations with the first or second boundary value conditions. In section 7, we consider the case  $\nu(x, t, U) = \nu(U) > 0$ .

### § 2. Notations and Lemmas

Let  $h$  and  $\tau$  be the mesh spacing of variables  $x$  and  $t$  respectively. The mesh point is  $(jh, k\tau)$ .

$$u_x(jh, k\tau) = \frac{1}{h} [u(jh+h, k\tau) - u(jh, k\tau)],$$

$$u_x(jh, k\tau) = \frac{1}{h} [u(jh, k\tau) - u(jh-h, k\tau)],$$

$$u_x(jh, k\tau) = \frac{1}{2h} [u(jh+h, k\tau) - u(jh-h, k\tau)],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^{(\nu(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau)))} u(jh, k\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} [\nu(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau)) u_x(jh, k\tau)]_x \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [\nu(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau)) u_x(jh, k\tau)]_x, \end{aligned}$$

$$u_t(jh, k\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} [u(jh, k\tau + \tau) - u(jh, k\tau)].$$

We define

$$(u(k\tau), v(k\tau)) = h \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} u(jh, k\tau) v(jh, k\tau),$$

$$\|u(k\tau)\|^2 = (u(k\tau), u(k\tau)),$$

$$|u(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))}^2 = \frac{h}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \nu(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau)) [u_x^2(jh, k\tau) + u_x^2(jh, k\tau)].$$

If  $\nu(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau)) \equiv 1$ , then  $|u(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))}^2$  is denoted by  $|u(k\tau)|_1^2$  for simplicity.

**Lemma 1.**

$$2(u(k\tau), u_t(k\tau)) = \|u(k\tau)\|_1^2 - \tau \|u_t(k\tau)\|^2.$$

**Lemma 2.**

$$(u(k\tau), \Delta_h^{(\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau)))} u(k\tau)) + |u(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))}^2 = D_1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \frac{1}{2} u_x(Nh, k\tau) [\nu(Nh, k\tau, u(Nh, k\tau)) u(Nh-h, k\tau) \\ &\quad + \nu(Nh-h, k\tau, u(Nh-h, k\tau)) u(Nh, k\tau)] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} u_x(0, k\tau) [\nu(h, k\tau, u(h, k\tau)) u(0, k\tau) \\ &\quad + \nu(0, k\tau, u(0, k\tau)) u(h, k\tau)]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From Abel's formula we have

$$(\eta_0(k\tau), \xi(k\tau)) + (\xi_x(k\tau), \eta(k\tau))$$



$$-\eta(Nh, k\tau)\xi(Nh-h, k\tau) - \eta(h, k\tau)\xi(0, k\tau) \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\xi_0(k\tau), \eta(k\tau)) + (\eta_x(k\tau), \xi(k\tau)) \\ & = \eta(Nh-h, k\tau)\xi(Nh, k\tau) - \eta(0, k)\xi(h, k\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $\eta = \nu(x, t, w)v_x, \xi = u$ , in (2.1),  
 $\eta = \nu(x, t, w)v_0, \xi = u$ , in (2.2).

By putting the two results together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (u(k\tau), \Delta_h^{\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))}v(k\tau)) + \frac{1}{2}(\nu(k\tau, w(k\tau)), u_0(k\tau)v_0(k\tau) + u_x(k\tau)v_x(k\tau)) \\ & = \frac{1}{2}v_x(Nh, k\tau)[\nu(Nh, k\tau, w(Nh, k\tau))u(Nh-h, k\tau) \\ & \quad + \nu(Nh-h, k\tau, w(Nh-h, k\tau))u(Nh, k\tau)] \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2}v_0(0, k\tau)[\nu(h, k\tau, w(h, k\tau))u(0, k\tau) \\ & \quad + \nu(0, k\tau, w(0, k\tau))u(h, k\tau)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Taking  $u = v = w$ , we get the conclusion.

**Lemma 3.**

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(u(k\tau), \Delta_h^{\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))}u_t(k\tau)) + [ |u(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))}^2 ]_t - \tau |u_t(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))}^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2}([\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))]_t, u_x^2(k\tau + \tau) + u_x^2(k\tau + \tau)) = D_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 = & u_{xt}(Nh, k\tau)[\nu(Nh, k\tau, u(Nh, k\tau))u(Nh-h, k\tau) \\ & + \nu(Nh-h, k\tau, u(Nh-h, k\tau))u(Nh, k\tau)] \\ & - u_{xt}(0, k\tau)[\nu(h, k\tau, u(h, k\tau))u(0, k\tau) \\ & + \nu(0, k\tau, u(0, k\tau))u(h, k\tau)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* By putting  $v = u_t, w = u$  in (2.3), we get

$$2(u(k\tau), \Delta_h^{\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))}u_t(k\tau)) + (\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau)), u_0(k\tau)u_{xt}(k\tau) + u_x(k\tau)u_{xt}(k\tau)) = D_2.$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau)), u_0(k\tau)u_{xt}(k\tau)) = \frac{1}{2}[(\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau)), u_x^2(k\tau))]_t \\ & \quad - \frac{\tau}{2}(\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau)), u_{xt}^2(k\tau)) - \frac{1}{2}[(\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))]_t, u_x^2(k\tau + \tau)), \text{ etc.,} \end{aligned}$$

the conclusion follows.

**Lemma 4.**

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(u_t(k\tau), \Delta_h^{\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))}u(k\tau)) + [ |u(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))}^2 ]_t - \tau |u_t(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))}^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2}([\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau))]_t, u_x^2(k\tau + \tau) + u_x^2(k\tau + \tau)) = D_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_3 = & u_x(Nh, k\tau)[\nu(Nh, k\tau, u(Nh, k\tau))u_t(Nh-h, k\tau) \\ & + \nu(Nh-h, k\tau, u(Nh-h, k\tau))u_t(Nh, k\tau)] \\ & - u_x(0, k\tau)[\nu(h, k\tau, u(h, k\tau))u_t(0, k\tau) + \nu(0, k\tau, u(0, k\tau))u_t(h, k\tau)]. \end{aligned}$$



**Lemma 5.**

$$\|u(k\tau)v(k\tau)\|^2 \leq h^{-1}\|u(k\tau)\|^2\|v(k\tau)\|^2,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} h|u(jh, k\tau)|^r \leq h^{1-\frac{r}{2}}\|u(k\tau)\|^r, \quad r \geq 2.$$

**Lemma 6<sup>[5]</sup>.** *If  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $h$  is suitably small, then there exists a positive constant  $\alpha_0$  such that*

$$u^2(0, k\tau) + u^2(h, k\tau) + u^2(Nh-h, k\tau) + u^2(Nh, k\tau)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon|u(k\tau)|_1^2 + \alpha_0\left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|u(k\tau)\|^2.$$

**Lemma 7.** *If the following conditions are satisfied*

- (i)  $\rho, A$  and  $\beta_i$  are nonnegative constants,  $1 \leq i \leq I$ ;
- (ii)  $a, b_i, c$  and  $d$  are constants,  $1 \leq i \leq I$ ;
- (iii)  $\eta(k\tau), \tilde{A}(\eta(k\tau)), \tilde{c}(\eta(k\tau)), V(\eta(k\tau))$  are such mesh functions that  $\eta(k\tau) \geq 0$ , and

$$\tilde{A}(\eta(k\tau)) \leq A, \quad \text{for } \eta(k\tau) \leq h^a,$$

$$\tilde{c}(\eta(k\tau)) \leq 0, \quad \text{for } \eta(k\tau) \leq h^c,$$

$$V(\eta(k\tau)) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } \eta(k\tau) \leq h^d;$$

(iv)  $\eta(0) \leq \rho$  and

$$\eta(k\tau) \leq \rho + \tau \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \tilde{A}(\eta(j\tau))\eta(j\tau) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^I h^{-b_i\eta^{\beta_i}(j\tau)}\right) + \tilde{c}(\eta(j\tau))V(\eta(j\tau)) \right\};$$

(v)  $\rho e^{Ak\tau(I+1)} \leq \min(h^a, \min_{1 \leq i \leq I} h^{\frac{a_i}{\beta_i}}, h^c, h^d)$ , then

$$\eta(k\tau) \leq \rho e^{Ak\tau(I+1)}.$$

Lemma 7 is a special case of Lemma 1 in [7].

### § 3. Difference Scheme and Basic Error Equality

We define the following difference operator

$$J(u(jh, k\tau), v(jh, k\tau)) = \frac{1}{3}v(jh, k\tau)u_{\bar{a}}(jh, k\tau)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{3}[v(jh, k\tau)u(jh, k\tau)]_{\bar{a}}. \tag{3.1}$$

We have (see [4])

$$(J(u(k\tau), v(k\tau)), w(k\tau)) + (J(w(k\tau), v(k\tau)), u(k\tau))$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}\{u(Nh, k\tau)v(Nh, k\tau)w(Nh-h, k\tau)$$

$$+ u(Nh-h, k\tau)v(Nh-h, k\tau)w(Nh, k\tau)$$

$$+ v(Nh, k\tau)w(Nh, k\tau)u(Nh-h, k\tau)$$

$$+ v(Nh-h, k\tau)w(Nh-h, k\tau)u(Nh, k\tau)$$

$$- u(h, k\tau)v(h, k\tau)w(0, k\tau) - u(0, k\tau)v(0, k\tau)w(h, k\tau)$$

$$- v(h, k\tau)w(h, k\tau)u(0, k\tau) - v(0, k\tau)w(0, k\tau)u(h, k\tau)\}. \tag{3.2}$$



The difference scheme for solving (1.1) is the following

$$L_h(u(jh, k\tau)) - u_t(jh, k\tau) + J(u(jh, k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(jh, k\tau), u(jh, k\tau)) - \Delta_h^{\nu(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau))} [u(jh, k\tau) + \sigma\tau u_t(jh, k\tau)] - F(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau)) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ . If  $\sigma = \delta = 0$ , (3.3) is an explicit scheme. Otherwise it is an implicit scheme.

Assume that  $u(jh, k\tau)$  and the right term of the scheme have respectively the errors  $\tilde{u}(jh, k\tau)$  and  $\tilde{f}(jh, k\tau)$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{u}_t(jh, k\tau) + J(\tilde{u}(jh, k\tau) + \delta\tau \tilde{u}_t(jh, k\tau), u(jh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(jh, k\tau)) \\ & + J(u(jh, k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(jh, k\tau), \tilde{u}(jh, k\tau)) \\ & - \Delta_h^{\nu(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(jh, k\tau))} [\tilde{u}(jh, k\tau) + \sigma\tau \tilde{u}_t(jh, k\tau)] \\ & + \Delta_h^{\nu(jh, k\tau)} [u(jh, k\tau) + \sigma\tau u_t(jh, k\tau)] \\ & + \tilde{F}(jh, k\tau) + \tilde{f}(jh, k\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(jh, k\tau) &= F(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(jh, k\tau)) - F(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau)), \\ \tilde{\nu}(jh, k\tau) &= \nu(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(jh, k\tau)) - \nu(jh, k\tau, u(jh, k\tau)). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the scalar product of (3.4) with  $2\tilde{u}(jh, k\tau)$ , we have from Lemmas 1, 2 and 3

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_1^2 - \tau \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|_1^2 + 2(\tilde{u}(k\tau), J(\tilde{u}(k\tau) + \delta\tau \tilde{u}_t(k\tau), u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))) \\ & + 2(\tilde{u}(k\tau), J(u(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(k\tau), \tilde{u}(k\tau))) + 2|\tilde{u}(k\tau)_{1,\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}|^2 \\ & + \sigma\tau [ |u(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2 - \sigma\tau^2 |u_t(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2 ] \\ & + \sigma\tau B_0(k\tau) + B_1(k\tau) + \sigma\tau B_2(k\tau) \\ & = 2(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \Delta_h^{\nu(k\tau)} [u(k\tau) + \sigma\tau u_t(k\tau)] + \tilde{F}(k\tau) + \tilde{f}(k\tau)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_0(k\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} (\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))|_1, \tilde{u}_x^2(k\tau + \tau) + \tilde{u}_x^2(k\tau + \tau)), \\ B_1(k\tau) &= -\tilde{u}_x(Nh, k\tau) [\nu(Nh, k\tau, u(Nh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau))\tilde{u}(Nh - h, k\tau) \\ & + \nu(Nh - h, k\tau, u(Nh - h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh - h, k\tau))\tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau)] \\ & + \tilde{u}_x(0, k\tau) [\nu(h, k\tau, u(h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(h, k\tau))\tilde{u}(0, k\tau) \\ & + \nu(0, k\tau, u(0, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(0, k\tau))\tilde{u}(h, k\tau)], \\ B_2(k\tau) &= -\tilde{u}_{xt}(Nh, k\tau) [\nu(Nh, k\tau, u(Nh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau))\tilde{u}(Nh - h, k\tau) \\ & + \nu(Nh - h, k\tau, u(Nh - h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh - h, k\tau))\tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau)] \\ & + \tilde{u}_{xt}(0, k\tau) [\nu(h, k\tau, u(h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(h, k\tau))\tilde{u}(0, k\tau) \\ & + \nu(0, k\tau, u(0, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(0, k\tau))\tilde{u}(h, k\tau)]. \end{aligned}$$

We take the scalar product of (3.4) with  $m\tau \tilde{u}_t(jh, k\tau)$ , where  $m$  is a positive number chosen below, then

$$\begin{aligned} & m\tau \|\tilde{u}_t(h\tau)\|_1^2 + m\tau (\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), J(\tilde{u}(k\tau) + \delta\tau \tilde{u}_t(k\tau), u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))) \\ & + m\tau (\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), J(u(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(k\tau), \tilde{u}(k\tau))) + m\sigma\tau^2 |\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{m\tau}{2} [|\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2]_t - \frac{m\tau^2}{2} |\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2 \\
& + \frac{m\tau}{2} (B_0(k\tau) + B_3(k\tau) + \sigma\tau B_4(k\tau)) \\
& = m\tau (\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), \Delta_h^{(\sigma\tau)} [u(k\tau) + \sigma\tau u_t(k\tau)] + \tilde{F}(k\tau) + \tilde{f}(k\tau)), \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3(k\tau) = & -\tilde{u}_x(Nh, k\tau) [\nu(Nh, k\tau, u(Nh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau))\tilde{u}_t(Nh+h, k\tau) \\
& + \nu(Nh-h, k\tau, u(Nh-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh-h, k\tau))\tilde{u}_t(Nh, k\tau)] \\
& + \tilde{u}_x(0, k\tau) [\nu(h, k\tau, u(h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(h, k\tau))\tilde{u}_t(0, k\tau) \\
& + \nu(0, k\tau, u(0, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(0, k\tau))\tilde{u}_t(h, k\tau)],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4(k\tau) = & -\tilde{u}_{xt}(Nh, k\tau) [\nu(Nh, k\tau, u(Nh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau))\tilde{u}_t(Nh-h, k\tau) \\
& + \nu(Nh-h, k\tau, u(Nh-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh-h, k\tau))\tilde{u}_t(Nh, k\tau)] \\
& + \tilde{u}_{xt}(0, k\tau) [\nu(h, k\tau, u(h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(h, k\tau))\tilde{u}_t(0, k\tau) \\
& + \nu(0, k\tau, u(0, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(0, k\tau))\tilde{u}_t(h, k\tau)].
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.5) with (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(k\tau)\|_t^2 + \tau(m-1)\|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + 2|\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2 \\
& + \tau\left(\sigma + \frac{m}{2}\right) [|\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2]_t + \tau^2\left(m\sigma - \frac{m}{2} - \sigma\right) |\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2 \\
& + 2(\tilde{u}(k\tau), J(\tilde{u}(k\tau), u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))) + m\delta\tau^2(\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), J(\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))) \\
& + m\tau(\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), J(\tilde{u}(k\tau), u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))) \\
& + 2\delta\tau(\tilde{u}(k\tau), J(\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))) \\
& + \tau\left(\sigma + \frac{m}{2}\right) B_0(k\tau) + B_1(k\tau) + \sigma\tau B_2(k\tau) + \frac{m\tau}{2} B_3(k\tau) + \frac{m\sigma\tau^2}{2} B_4(k\tau) \\
& = \sum_{i=0}^5 A_i(k\tau), \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0(k\tau) & = 2(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \tilde{F}(k\tau)), \\
A_1(k\tau) & = m\tau(\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), \tilde{F}(k\tau)), \\
A_2(k\tau) & = (2\tilde{u}(k\tau) + m\tau\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), \tilde{f}(k\tau)), \\
A_3(k\tau) & = (2\tilde{u}(k\tau) + m\tau\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), \Delta_h^{(\sigma\tau)} [u(k\tau) + \sigma\tau u_t(k\tau)]), \\
A_4(k\tau) & = -m\tau(\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), J(u(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(k\tau), \tilde{u}(k\tau))), \\
A_5(k\tau) & = -2(\tilde{u}(k\tau), J(u(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(k\tau), \tilde{u}(k\tau))).
\end{aligned}$$

According to (3.2), (3.7) identifies the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_t^2 + \tau(m-1)\|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + 2|\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2 \\
& + \tau\left(\sigma + \frac{m}{2}\right) [|\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2]_t + \tau^2\left(m\sigma - \frac{m}{2} - \sigma\right) |\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)|_{1, \nu(k\tau, u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2 \\
& + \tau\left(\frac{m}{2} + \sigma\right) B_0(k\tau) + B_1(k\tau) + \sigma\tau B_2(k\tau) + \frac{m\tau}{2} B_3(k\tau) + \frac{m\sigma\tau^2}{2} B_4(k\tau) \\
& + \sum_{i=0}^3 C_i(k\tau) = \sum_{i=0}^5 A_i(k\tau), \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$



where

$$O_0(k\tau) = \tau(m-2\delta) (\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), J(\tilde{u}(k\tau), u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau))),$$

$$O_1(k\tau) = \frac{1}{3} \tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau) \tilde{u}(Nh-h, k\tau) (u(Nh, k\tau) + u(Nh-h, k\tau) \\ + \tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh-h, k\tau)) - \frac{1}{3} \tilde{u}(0, k\tau) \tilde{u}(h, k\tau) (u(0, k\tau) \\ + u(h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(0, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(h, k\tau)),$$

$$O_2(k\tau) = \frac{m\delta\tau^2}{6} \tilde{u}_t(Nh, k\tau) \tilde{u}_t(Nh-h, k\tau) (u(Nh, k\tau) + u(Nh-h, k\tau) \\ + \tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh-h, k\tau)) - \frac{m\delta\tau^2}{6} \tilde{u}_t(h, k\tau) \tilde{u}_t(0, k\tau) (u(h, k\tau) \\ + u(0, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(0, k\tau)),$$

$$O_3(k\tau) = \frac{m\tau}{6} (\tilde{u}_t(Nh, k\tau) \tilde{u}(Nh-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}_t(Nh-h, k\tau) \tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau)) (u(Nh, k\tau) \\ + u(Nh-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(Nh-h, k\tau)) \\ - \frac{m\tau}{6} (\tilde{u}_t(h, k\tau) \tilde{u}(0, k\tau) + \tilde{u}_t(0, k\tau) \tilde{u}(h, k\tau)) (u(h, k\tau) \\ + u(0, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(0, k\tau)).$$

#### § 4. Error Estimation for Periodic Problem

In this section, we consider the periodic problem with  $\nu(x, t, u) = \nu(x, t) \geq 0$ .

Let  $L$ ,  $M$  and  $N$  denote nonnegative constants throughout which may depend on  $u(jh, k\tau)$ . Suppose that

(1) the boundary condition is periodic, i.e.

$$Nh = 1 + h, \quad u(jh, k\tau) = u(jh+1, k\tau), \quad (4.1)$$

$$(2) \nu \leq \nu_1, \quad \left| \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t} \right| \leq \alpha_1, \quad (4.2)$$

$$(3) \lambda = \tau h^{-2} < \infty, \quad (4.3)$$

$$(4) v[F(x, t, u+v) - F(x, t, u)] \leq M \sum_{i=0}^2 \tilde{M}_i |v|^{i+2}, \quad \tilde{M}_i = 0 \text{ or } 1, \quad (4.4)$$

$$(5) |F(x, t, u+v) - F(x, t, u)| \leq M \sum_{i=0}^2 \tilde{N}_i |v|^{i+1}, \quad \tilde{N}_i = 0 \text{ or } 1. \quad (4.5)$$

From (4.1), we have  $A_3(k\tau) = 0$  and

$$B_l(k\tau) = 0, \quad 1 \leq l \leq 4, \quad (4.6)$$

$$O_l(k\tau) = 0, \quad 1 \leq l \leq 3. \quad (4.7)$$

From (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$|\tau B_0(k\tau)| \leq M \|\tilde{u}(k\tau + \tau)\|^2. \quad (4.8)$$

From Lemma 5

$$|O_0(k\tau)| \leq \begin{cases} \varepsilon \tau \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + \frac{M}{\varepsilon} (m-2\delta)^2 (\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + h \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|^2), & \text{for } \nu_0 > 0, \\ \varepsilon \tau \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + \frac{m}{\varepsilon} (m-2\delta)^2 (\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + h^{-1} \|u(k\tau)\|^2), & \text{for } \nu_0 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$



From (4.4), (4.5) and Lemma 5, we get

$$A_0(k\tau) \leq M \sum_{i=0}^p \tilde{M}_i h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^{i+2}, \tag{4.10}$$

$$|A_1(k\tau)| \leq \varepsilon\tau \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + \frac{M}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=0}^q \tilde{N}_i h^{2-i} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^{2i+2}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$|A_2(k\tau)| \leq \varepsilon\tau \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + \frac{M}{\varepsilon} (\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + \|\tilde{f}(k\tau)\|^2), \tag{4.12}$$

$$|A_4(k\tau)| \leq \varepsilon\tau \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + \frac{M}{\varepsilon} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2. \tag{4.13}$$

Now we are going to estimate  $|A_5(k\tau)|$ . From (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -A_5(k\tau) &= \frac{2}{3} (\tilde{u}^2(k\tau), u_\theta(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_{\theta t}(k\tau)) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{3} (\tilde{u}(k\tau) \tilde{u}_\theta(k\tau), u(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(k\tau)) + \tilde{A}_5(k\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_5(k\tau) &= \frac{1}{3} \{ \tilde{u}(Nh, k\tau) \tilde{u}(Nh-h, k\tau) (u(Nh, k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(Nh, k\tau)) \\ &\quad + u(Nh-h, k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(Nh-h, k\tau) - \tilde{u}(h, k\tau) \tilde{u}(0, k\tau) (u(h, k\tau) \\ &\quad + \delta\tau u_t(h, k\tau) + u(0, k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(0, k\tau)) \}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$(\eta\xi)_\theta = \eta_\theta \xi + \eta \xi_\theta + \frac{h}{2} \eta_\theta \xi_\theta - \frac{h}{2} \eta_{\theta\theta} \xi_\theta.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} -A_5(k\tau) &= \frac{4}{3} (\tilde{u}^2(k\tau), u_\theta(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_{\theta t}(k\tau)) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{3} (\tilde{u}(k\tau) \tilde{u}_\theta(k\tau), u(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(k\tau)) \\ &\quad + \frac{h}{3} (\tilde{u}(k\tau) \tilde{u}_\theta(k\tau), u_\theta(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_{\theta t}(k\tau)) \\ &\quad - \frac{h}{3} (\tilde{u}(k\tau) \tilde{u}_x(k\tau), u_x(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_{tx}(k\tau)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} -A_5(k\tau) &= (\tilde{u}^2(k\tau), u_\theta(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_{\theta t}(k\tau)) \\ &\quad + \frac{h}{6} (\tilde{u}(k\tau) \tilde{u}_\theta(k\tau), u_\theta(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_{\theta t}(k\tau)) \\ &\quad - \frac{h}{6} (\tilde{u}(k\tau) \tilde{u}_x(k\tau), u_x(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_{tx}(k\tau)) + \frac{\tilde{A}_5(k\tau)}{2}. \tag{4.14} \end{aligned}$$

Because of (4.1),  $\tilde{A}_5(k\tau) = 0$ ; then

$$|A_5(k\tau)| \leq M \|u(k\tau)\|^2. \tag{4.15}$$

By substituting (4.6)–(4.15) into (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_t^2 + \tau(m-1-4\varepsilon) \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(k\tau)}^2 \\ &\quad + \tau\left(\sigma + \frac{m}{2}\right) [|\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(k\tau)}^2]_t + \tau^2\left(m\sigma - \frac{m}{2} - \sigma\right) |\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(k\tau)}^2 \\ &\leq \tilde{R}(k\tau) + \|\tilde{f}(k\tau)\|^2, \tag{4.16} \end{aligned}$$



where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(k\tau) &= M (\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + \|\tilde{u}(k\tau + \tau)\|^2 + h^{-1}(m-2\delta)^2(1 - \text{sign } \nu_0) \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^4) \\ &\quad + M \sum_{i=1}^p \tilde{M}_i h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^{i+2} + M \sum_{i=1}^q \tilde{N}_i h^{2-i} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^{2i+2} \\ &\quad + [Mh(m-2\delta)^2 \text{sign } \nu_0 \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 - 1] \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_{1,\nu(k\tau)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we are going to choose  $m$  for three cases.

Case 1.  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . We take

$$m = m_1 = \max\left(1 + 4s + p_0, \frac{2\sigma}{2\sigma - 1}\right), \quad p_0 > 0.$$

Then  $m\sigma - \frac{m}{2} - \sigma \geq 0$ , and (4.16) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_i^2 + p_0\tau \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_{1,\nu(k\tau)}^2 + \tau\left(\sigma + \frac{m}{2}\right) [\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_{1,\nu(k\tau)}^2] \\ \leq \tilde{R}(k\tau) + \|\tilde{f}(k\tau)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

We use the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \nu, p_0) &= \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + 2\tau \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\|\tilde{u}(j\tau)\|_{1,\nu(j\tau)}^2 + p_0\tau \|\tilde{u}_t(j\tau)\|^2), \\ \rho_k(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}) &= \|\tilde{u}(0)\|^2 + \tau \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \|\tilde{f}(j\tau)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then from (4.17)

$$Q(\tilde{u}(k), \nu, p_0) \leq M \rho_k(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}) + M\tau \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \tilde{R}(j\tau). \quad (4.18)$$

Finally we use Lemma 7 with

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \rho_k(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}), \quad \eta(k\tau) = Q(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \nu, p_0), \\ V(\eta(k\tau)) &= \text{sign } \nu_0 \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_{1,\nu(k\tau)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a$  and  $d$  are arbitrary,  $I = p + q + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b_i}{\beta_i} &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p, \tilde{M}_i = 1, \\ \text{arbitrary}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p, \tilde{M}_i = 0, \end{cases} \\ \frac{b_{p+i}}{\beta_{p+i}} &= \begin{cases} \frac{i-2}{i}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq q, \tilde{N}_i = 1, \\ \text{arbitrary}, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq q, \tilde{N}_i = 0, \end{cases} \\ \frac{b_{p+q+1}}{\beta_{p+q+1}} &= \begin{cases} \text{sign } |m-2\delta|, & \text{for } \nu_0 = 0, \\ \text{arbitrary}, & \text{for } \nu_0 > 0, \end{cases} \\ C &= \begin{cases} \text{arbitrary}, & \text{for } \nu_0 = 0, \\ -1, & \text{for } \nu_0 > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there exists a positive constant  $T(\rho_k)$  depending on  $\rho_k$  such that if  $\rho_k(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}) \leq Nh^{2s}$ ,  $k\tau \leq T(\rho_k)$ , then

$$Q(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \nu, p_0) \leq M e^{Lk\tau} \rho_k(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}), \quad (4.19)$$

where

$$s = \begin{cases} s_1, & \text{if all } \tilde{M}_i = 0, i \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.20)$$



$$s_1 = \max\left(\frac{1}{2} \text{sign} |m - 2\delta| (1 - 2 \text{sign } \nu_0), \max_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq q \\ N_i \neq 0}} \left(\frac{i-2}{2i}\right)\right).$$

Especially, if  $\delta > \frac{m_1}{2}$ , we can take  $m = 2\delta$ . Hence if  $p = q = 0$ , then for all  $\rho_k$  and  $k$ , we have (4.19).

Case 2.  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ . We take

$$m = m_2 = 1 + 4\varepsilon + p_0 + 2\lambda\nu_1.$$

Since

$$\tau \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|_{1,\nu(k\tau)}^2 \leq 4\lambda\nu_1 \|u_t(k\tau)\|^2,$$

we have

$$\tau(m - 1 - 4\varepsilon) \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + \tau^2 \left(m\sigma - \frac{m}{2} - \sigma\right) \|u_t(k\tau)\|_{1,\nu(k\tau)}^2 \geq p_0\tau \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2. \quad (4.21)$$

Then we have (4.17)–(4.20) also. Especially, if  $\delta > \frac{m_2}{2}$ ,  $p = q = 0$ , then for all  $\rho_k$  and  $k$ , (4.19) holds.

Case 3.  $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\lambda < \frac{1}{2\nu_1(1-2\sigma)}$ . We take

$$m = m_3 = \frac{1 + 4\varepsilon + p_0 + 4\lambda\nu_1\sigma}{1 + 4\lambda\nu_1\sigma - 2\lambda\nu_1}.$$

Then we have (4.21) too, and (4.19) follows. Especially, if  $\delta > \frac{m_3}{2}$ ,  $p = q = 0$ , then for all  $\rho_k$  and  $k$ , we have (4.19).

**Theorem 1.** *If the following conditions are satisfied*

(1) *conditions (4.1)–(4.5) hold,*

(2)  $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$  *or*  $\lambda < \frac{1}{2\nu_1(1-2\sigma)}$ ,

(3)  $\rho_k(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}) \leq Nh^{2s}$ ,  $k\tau \leq T(\rho_k)$ ,

then

$$Q(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \nu, p_0) \leq Me^{Lk\tau} \rho_k(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}).$$

Especially, if  $p = q = 0$ , and

$$\delta > \begin{cases} \frac{m_1}{2}, & \text{for } \sigma > \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{m_2}{2}, & \text{for } \sigma = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{m_3}{2}, & \text{for } \sigma < \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

then for all  $\rho_k$  and  $k$ , estimation (4.19) holds.

**Theorem 2.** *If the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and scheme (3.3) is consistent with order  $s_0$ ,  $s_0 > s$ , then the scheme is convergent.*

*Proof.* Let  $U(jh, k\tau)$  and  $u(jh, k\tau)$  be the solutions of (1.1) and (3.3) respectively,

$$u(jh, k\tau) = U(jh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(jh, k\tau).$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} L_h[U(jh, k\tau) + \tilde{u}(jh, k\tau)] = 0, \\ L_h[U(jh, k\tau)] = \tilde{f}(jh, k\tau), \end{cases}$$



where

$$\|\tilde{f}(k\tau)\|^2 = O(h^{2s_s}).$$

By the same proof as in Theorem 1, we get

$$Q(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \nu, p_0) \leq \bar{M}e^{Lk\tau} \rho_k(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}),$$

where  $\bar{L}$  and  $\bar{M}$  only depend on  $U$ . So

$$Q(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \nu, p_0) = O(h^{2s_s}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

**Remark 1.** For the convergence, we require  $S_s \leq \frac{1}{2}$  at most. For the special values of  $p, q$  and  $\nu_0$ , we can get better results.

### § 5. The First Boundary Value Problem

This section deals with the first boundary value problem. We suppose that

$$(i) \quad Nh = 1, \tag{5.1}$$

$$(ii) \quad \tilde{u}(1, k\tau) = \tilde{g}_1(k\tau), \quad \tilde{u}(0, k\tau) = \tilde{g}_0(k\tau), \tag{5.2}$$

$$(iii) \quad \nu = \text{positive constant, for simplicity.} \tag{5.3}$$

Clearly (3.8) holds still with  $B_0(k\tau) = A_3(k\tau) = 0$ . (4.9)–(4.12) hold also. We have

$$|A_4(k\tau)| \leq s\tau \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + M(\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + \tilde{g}_1^2 + \tilde{g}_0^2). \tag{5.4}$$

From (4.14), we have

$$|A_5(k\tau)| \leq M\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + \frac{s\nu}{h} [\tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}^2(h, k\tau)] + Mh(\tilde{g}_1^2 + \tilde{g}_0^2). \tag{5.5}$$

We find that

$$B_1(k\tau) = \frac{\nu}{h} [\tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}^2(h, k\tau) - \tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) - \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau)], \tag{5.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\tau B_2(k\tau) = & \frac{\sigma\nu\tau}{h} \{ \tilde{u}(1-h, k\tau)\tilde{u}_t(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{g}_1(k\tau)\tilde{u}_t(1-h, k\tau) \\ & - \tilde{g}_{1t}(k\tau)\tilde{u}(1-h, k\tau) - \tilde{g}_1(k\tau)\tilde{g}_{1t}(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(h, k\tau)\tilde{u}_t(h, k\tau) \\ & + \tilde{g}_0(k\tau)\tilde{u}_t(h, k\tau) - \tilde{g}_{0t}(k\tau)\tilde{u}(h, k\tau) - \tilde{g}_0(k\tau)\tilde{g}_{0t}(k\tau) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\tilde{u}(1-h, k\tau)\tilde{u}_t(1-h, k\tau) = \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau)]_t - \frac{\tau}{2} [\tilde{u}_t^2(1-h, k\tau)], \text{ etc.,}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\tau B_2(k\tau) \geq & \frac{\sigma\nu\tau}{2h} [\tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}^2(h, k\tau)]_t \\ & - \frac{\nu\tau^2}{2h} (\sigma + s) [\tilde{u}_t^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}_t^2(h, k\tau)] \\ & - \frac{\nu s}{h} [\tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}^2(h, k\tau)] \\ & - \frac{M}{sh} (\tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau) + \tau^2\tilde{g}_{1t}(k\tau) + \tau^2\tilde{g}_{0t}(k\tau)). \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

We can estimate  $\frac{m\tau}{2} B_3(k\tau)$  in the same way as noted by (5.8). Similarly we have



$$\frac{\sigma m \tau^2}{2} B_4(k\tau) = \frac{\sigma m \nu \tau^2}{2h} [\tilde{u}_i^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}_i^2(h, k\tau) - \tilde{g}_{1i}^2(k\tau) - \tilde{g}_{0i}^2(k\tau)].$$

Now we are going to estimate  $|O_i(k\tau)|$ ,  $i=1, 2, 3$ . Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} O_1(k\tau) = & \frac{1}{3} \tilde{g}_1(k\tau) \tilde{u}(1-h, k\tau) (\tilde{g}_1(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(1-h, k\tau)) \\ & - \frac{1}{3} \tilde{g}_0(k\tau) \tilde{u}(h, k\tau) (\tilde{g}_0(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(h, k\tau)) \\ & + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{g}_1(k\tau) \tilde{u}(1-h, k\tau) (u(1, k\tau) + u(1-h, k\tau)) \\ & - \frac{1}{3} \tilde{g}_0(k\tau) \tilde{u}(h, k\tau) (u(0, k\tau) + u(h, k\tau)). \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) \tilde{u}(1-h, k\tau) & \leq \frac{\nu \varepsilon}{4h} \tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \frac{h}{\varepsilon \nu} \tilde{g}_1^4(k\tau), \\ \tilde{g}_1(k\tau) \tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) & \leq \frac{\nu \varepsilon}{4h} \tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \frac{h}{\varepsilon \nu} \tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) \tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau), \\ \tilde{g}_1(k\tau) \tilde{u}(1-h, k\tau) & \leq \frac{\nu \varepsilon}{4h} \tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \frac{h}{\nu \varepsilon} \tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau), \text{ etc.} \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |O_1(k\tau)| & \leq \frac{\nu \varepsilon}{h} [\tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}^2(h, k\tau)] \\ & + \frac{M \nu h}{\varepsilon} [\tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) \tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau) \tilde{u}^2(h, k\tau)] \\ & + \frac{M h}{\varepsilon} [\tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_1^4(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^4(k\tau)]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} |O_2(k\tau)| & \leq \frac{\varepsilon \nu \tau^2}{h} [\tilde{u}_i^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}_i^2(h, k\tau)] \\ & + \frac{M \nu h \tau^2}{\varepsilon} [\tilde{g}_{1i}^2(k\tau) \tilde{u}_i^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{g}_{0i}^2(k\tau) \tilde{u}_i^2(h, k\tau)] \\ & + \frac{M h \tau^2}{\varepsilon} [\tilde{g}_{1i}^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_{0i}^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_{1i}^2(k\tau) \tilde{g}_{1i}^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_{0i}^2(k\tau) \tilde{g}_{0i}^2(k\tau)], \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |O_3(k\tau)| & \leq \frac{\varepsilon \nu}{h} [\tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}^2(h, k\tau)] \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon \nu \tau^2}{h} [\tilde{u}_i^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}_i^2(h, k\tau)] \\ & + \frac{M \nu h}{\varepsilon} [\tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) \tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau) \tilde{u}^2(h, k\tau)] \\ & + \frac{M \nu h \tau^2}{\varepsilon} [\tilde{g}_{1i}^2(k\tau) \tilde{u}_i^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{g}_{0i}^2(k\tau) \tilde{u}_i^2(h, k\tau)] \\ & + \frac{M h}{\varepsilon} [\tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_1^4(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^4(k\tau) + \tau^2 \tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) \tilde{g}_{1i}^2(k\tau) \\ & + \tau^2 \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau) \tilde{g}_{0i}^2(k\tau)]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We substitute the above estimations into (3.8); then



$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_i^2 + \tau(m-1-M\varepsilon)\|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + \nu|u(k\tau)|_i^2 + \nu\tau\left(\sigma + \frac{m}{2}\right)[|\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_i^2]_t \\
& + \nu\tau^2\left(m\sigma - \frac{m}{2} - \sigma\right)|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)|_i^2 \\
& + \frac{\nu}{h}\left[1 - M\varepsilon - \frac{Mh}{s}(\tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau) + \tau^2\tilde{g}_{1t}^2(k\tau) + \tau^2\tilde{g}_{0t}^2(k\tau))\right](\tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) \\
& + \tilde{u}^2(h, k\tau)) + \frac{\nu\tau}{2h}\left(\sigma + \frac{m}{2}\right)[\tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}^2(h, k\tau)]_t \\
& + \frac{\nu\tau^2}{2h}\left(\sigma m - \frac{m}{2} - \sigma - M\varepsilon\right)(\tilde{u}_t^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}_t^2(h, k\tau)) \\
& \leq \tilde{R}_1(k\tau) + \tilde{R}_2(k\tau), \tag{5.13}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}_1(k\tau) &= M\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + M\sum_{i=1}^q \tilde{M}_i h^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^{i+2} + M\sum_{i=1}^q N_i h^{2-i}\|u(k\tau)\|^{2i+2} \\
& + (-\nu + Mh(m-2\delta)^2\|u(k\tau)\|^2)|\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_i^2, \\
\tilde{R}_2(k\tau) &= M\|\tilde{f}(k\tau)\|^2 + \frac{M}{h}(\tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau) + \tau^2\tilde{g}_{1t}^2(k\tau) + \tau^2\tilde{g}_{0t}^2(k\tau)) \\
& + Mh(\tilde{g}_1^4(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^4(k\tau) + \tau^2\tilde{g}_{1t}^2(k\tau)\tilde{g}_{1t}^2(k\tau) + \tau^2\tilde{g}_{0t}^2(k\tau)\tilde{g}_{0t}^2(k\tau)).
\end{aligned}$$

We suppose that  $N$  is a suitably small positive constant and

$$\tilde{g}_1^2 \leq Nh, \quad \tilde{g}_0^2 \leq Nh. \tag{5.14}$$

Now we are going to estimate the error. First we consider the case  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ . By

taking

$$m = m_1^* = \max\left(1 + M\varepsilon + p_0, \frac{2\sigma + M\varepsilon + Mh}{2\sigma - 1}\right), \quad p_0 \geq 0,$$

we obtain from (5.13)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_i^2 + p_0\tau\|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + \nu|\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_i^2 + \nu\tau\left(\sigma + \frac{m}{2}\right)[|\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_i^2]_t \\
& + \frac{\nu\tau}{2h}\left(\sigma + \frac{m}{2}\right)[\tilde{u}^2(1-h, k\tau) + \tilde{u}^2(h, k\tau)]_t \leq \tilde{R}_1(k\tau) + \tilde{R}_2(k\tau),
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$Q(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \nu, p_0) \leq \rho_k^{(1)}(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_0) + \tau\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \tilde{R}_1(j\tau), \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_k^{(1)}(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_0) &= \|\tilde{u}(0)\|^2 + \tau\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \|\tilde{f}(j\tau)\|^2 \\
& + \frac{M}{h}\sum_{j=0}^k (\tilde{g}_1^2(j\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(j\tau) + h^2\tilde{g}_1^4(j\tau) + h^2\tilde{g}_0^4(j\tau)).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we use Lemma 7 with

$$\eta(k\tau) = Q(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \nu, p_0),$$

$$\rho = \rho_k^{(1)}(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_0),$$

$$V(k\tau) = |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_i^2.$$

The error estimations for  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  can be derived similarly.



**Theorem 3.** *If the following conditions are satisfied*

(i) (5.1)–(5.3), and (5.14) hold,

(ii)  $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$  or  $\lambda < \frac{4}{9\nu(1-2\sigma)}$ ,

(iii)  $\rho_k^{(1)} \leq Nh^{2s}$ ,  $k\tau \leq T(\rho_k^{(1)})$ ,

$$s = \begin{cases} s_1, & \text{if all } \tilde{M}_i = 0, i \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$s_1 = \max\left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{sign } |m - 2\delta|, \max_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq q \\ N_i \neq 0}} \left(\frac{i-2}{2i}\right)\right),$$

then

$$Q(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \nu, p_0) \leq Me^{Lk\tau} \rho_k^{(1)}(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_0). \tag{5.16}$$

Especially, if  $p = q = 0$ , and

$$\delta > \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} m_1^*, & \text{for } \sigma > \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{2} m_2^*, & \text{for } \sigma = \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1}{2} m_3^*, & \text{for } \sigma < \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$m_2^* = 1 + 4\varepsilon + p_0 + \frac{9\lambda\nu}{4},$$

$$m_3^* = \frac{4 + 16\varepsilon + 4p_0 + 18\lambda\nu\sigma}{4 + 18\lambda\nu\sigma - 9\lambda\nu}$$

then for all  $\rho_k^{(1)}$  and  $k$ , we have (5.16).

**Remark 2.** The convergence can be obtained provided

$$L_h(u(jh, k\tau)) = \tilde{f}(jh, k\tau), \quad |\tilde{f}(jh, k\tau)| = O(h^{S_a}), \quad S_a \geq s,$$

$$|\tilde{g}_1(k\tau)| = O(h), \quad |\tilde{g}_0(k\tau)| = O(h).$$

**Remark 3.** If  $\tilde{g}_1 = 0$ , or  $\tilde{g}_0 = 0$ , then

$$\max_{0 \leq j < N} |\tilde{u}^2(jh, k\tau)| \leq |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_1^2.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} h |\tilde{u}(jh, k\tau)|^{l+2} \leq h^{1-\frac{l}{2}} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^l |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_1^2,$$

$$|\tau(\tilde{u}_i(k\tau), |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|^{l+1})| \leq s\tau \|\tilde{u}_i(k\tau)\|^2 + \frac{M}{s} h^{3-l} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^{2l} |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_1^2;$$

so (5.15) becomes

$$Q(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \nu, p_0) \leq \rho_k^{(1)}(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_0)$$

$$+ M \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \|\tilde{u}(j\tau)\|^2 + (-\nu + Mh(m-2\delta)^2 \|\tilde{u}(j\tau)\|^2 \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{i=1}^p \tilde{M}_i h^{1-\frac{i}{2}} \|\tilde{u}(j\tau)\|^i + \sum_{i=1}^q \tilde{N}_i h^{3-i} \|\tilde{u}(j\tau)\|^{2i} |\tilde{u}(j\tau)|_1^2 \right\}.$$

Finally we get the same result as in Theorem 3, but

$$s = \max\left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{sign } |m - 2\delta|, \max_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p \\ \tilde{M}_i \neq 0}} \left(\frac{i-2}{2i}\right), \max_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq q \\ \tilde{N}_i \neq 0}} \left(\frac{i-3}{2i}\right)\right).$$



Clearly if  $p \leq 2, q \leq 3$ , then  $s \leq 0$ .

### § 6. The Second Boundary Value Problem

For simplicity, we suppose that (5.1), (5.3) hold, and

$$(i) \delta = \sigma = 0, \tag{6.1}$$

$$(ii) \tilde{u}_x(1, k\tau) = \tilde{g}_1(k\tau), \tilde{u}_x(0, k\tau) = \tilde{g}_0(k\tau). \tag{6.2}$$

Then (3.7) holds with  $B_0(k\tau) = A_3(k\tau) = 0$ . From (5.6) and Lemma 6, we have

$$|B_1(k\tau)| \leq \nu \varepsilon |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_1^2 + M (\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + \tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau)).$$

Similarly

$$\left| \frac{m\tau}{2} B_3(k\tau) \right| \leq \varepsilon \tau^2 |\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)|_1^2 + M \tau^2 \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + \tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau).$$

We can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(2\tilde{u}(k\tau), J(\tilde{u}(k\tau), u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau)))| \\ & \leq \varepsilon |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_1^2 + M \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + M h^{-1} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\| |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_1^2, \\ & |m\tau (\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), J(\tilde{u}(k\tau), u(k\tau) + \tilde{u}(k\tau)))| \\ & \leq \varepsilon \tau \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + M h (\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

By substituting the above estimations into (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_1^2 + \tau(m-1-M\varepsilon) \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + \nu |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_1^2 + \frac{m\nu\tau}{2} [|\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_1^2]_t \\ & - \frac{m\nu\tau^2}{2} |\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)|_1^2 - \varepsilon \tau^2 |\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)|_1^2 \\ & \leq M \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + M \sum_{i=1}^p \tilde{M}_i h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^{i+2} + M \sum_{i=1}^q \tilde{N}_i h^{2-i} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^{2i+2} \\ & + \nu(1-M\varepsilon-Mh^{-1}\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2) |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_1^2 + M (\|\tilde{f}(k\tau)\|^2 + \tilde{g}_1^2(k\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(k\tau)). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.** *If the following conditions are satisfied*

(1) *conditions (5.1), (5.3), (6.1) and (6.2) hold,*

(2)  $\lambda < \frac{1}{2\nu},$

(3)  $\rho_k^{(2)}(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_0) = \|\tilde{u}(0)\|^2 + \tau \sum_{j=0}^k (\|\tilde{f}(j\tau)\|^2 + \tilde{g}_1^2(j\tau) + \tilde{g}_0^2(j\tau)) \leq Nh,$

then for all  $k\tau \leq T(\rho_k^{(2)})$ , we have

$$Q(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \nu, p_0) \leq M e^{Lk\tau} \rho_k^{(2)}(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_0).$$

We can get the convergence as in Remark 2.

### § 7. The Case $\nu(x, t, U) = \nu(U)$

For simplicity we suppose that

(i)  $u(x, t) = u(x+1, t), \quad Nh = 1+h, \tag{7.1}$

(ii)  $\nu(x, t, u) = \nu(u), \tag{7.2}$

(iii) for all  $u \in (a, b)$ , we have



$$0 < \nu_0 < \nu(x, t, u) < \nu_1, \quad \left| \frac{\partial \nu(u)}{\partial u} \right| \leq \nu_2, \quad (7.3)$$

$$(iv) \quad \delta - \sigma = 0, \quad (7.4)$$

$$(v) \quad F = 0. \quad (7.5)$$

We can obtain the following error equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_i^2 + \tau(m-1) \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + 2|u(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(u(k\tau)+\tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2 \\ & - m\tau(\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), \Delta_h^{\nu(u(k\tau)+\tilde{u}(k\tau))}\tilde{u}(k\tau)) + m\tau(\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), J(\tilde{u}(k\tau), u(k\tau)+\tilde{u}(k\tau))) \\ & + (2\tilde{u}(k\tau) + m\tau\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), J(u(k\tau) + \delta\tau u_t(k\tau), \tilde{u}(k\tau))) \\ & - (2\tilde{u}(k\tau) + m\tau\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), \tilde{f}(k\tau) + \Delta_h^{\nu(u(k\tau))}u(k\tau)), \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

Assume  $u(jh, k\tau) \in (a, b)$ ,  $\tilde{M}_i(z)$  is such a nonnegative function that if  $z \leq Nh$ , then  $\tilde{M}_i(z) \leq \alpha_i$ ; especially,  $\tilde{M}_1(z) \leq \frac{\nu_1^2}{\nu_0}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & |m\tau(\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), \Delta_h^{\nu(u(k\tau)+\tilde{u}(k\tau))}\tilde{u}(k\tau))| \\ & \leq \frac{\tau}{4} \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + 4\lambda m^2 \tilde{M}_1(\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2) |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(u(k\tau)+\tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2, \\ & |m\tau(\tilde{u}_t(k\tau), J(\tilde{u}(k\tau), \tilde{u}(k\tau)))| \\ & \leq \frac{\tau}{4} \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 + h\tilde{M}_2(\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2) \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(u(k\tau)+\tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2, \\ & |(2\tilde{u}(k\tau), \Delta_h^{\nu(u(k\tau))}u(k\tau))| \\ & \leq \tilde{M}_3(\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2) \left( \frac{1}{s} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + s |\tilde{u}(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(u(k\tau)+\tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can estimate other terms in (7.6) and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|_i^2 + \tau(m-2) \|\tilde{u}_t(k\tau)\|^2 \\ & \leq \tilde{M}_4(\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2) \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 + (-2 + (s+h)\tilde{M}_5(\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2) \\ & + 4\lambda m^2 \tilde{M}_1(\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2) |u(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(u(k\tau)+\tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2 + M \|\tilde{f}(k\tau)\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

Let  $h, s$  be sufficiently small and  $m=2$ ; then we have from (7.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 & \leq \rho_k(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}) + 2\tau \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \tilde{M}_6(\|\tilde{u}(j\tau)\|^2) \|u(j\tau)\|^2 \\ & + \tau \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [-2 + (s+h)\tilde{M}_7(\|\tilde{u}(j\tau)\|^2) + 16\lambda\tilde{M}_1(\|\tilde{u}(j\tau)\|^2)] \\ & \times |\tilde{u}(j\tau)|_{1,\nu(u(j\tau)+\tilde{u}(j\tau))}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we use Lemma 7 with

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(k\tau) & = \|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2, \\ V(k\tau) & = |u(k\tau)|_{1,\nu(u(k\tau)+\tilde{u}(k\tau))}^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.** If  $u(jh, k\tau) \in (a, b)$ , conditions (7.1) — (7.6) hold, and

$$\lambda < \frac{\nu_0}{8\nu_1^2}, \quad \rho_k(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}) \leq Nh, \quad k\tau \leq T(\rho_k),$$

then

$$\|\tilde{u}(k\tau)\|^2 \leq M e^{Lk\tau} \rho_k(\tilde{u}(0), \tilde{f}).$$

The convergence can be derived by using the same technique as in Theorem 2.



## References

- [1] A. Meiring, A. R. Mitchell, B. D. Sleeman, Numerical studies of reaction-diffusion, Dundee University, Report NA/39, 1980.
- [2] D. Hoff, Stability and convergence of finite difference methods for systems of nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations, *SIAM Numer. Anal.*, **15** (1978), 1161—1177.
- [3] 郭本瑜, Soliton 的数值计算, 科学通报, **23** (1978), 592—597.
- [4] 郭本瑜, Burgers 方程的数值解(I)与(II), 高等学校计算数学学报, **3** (1981), 350—359; **4** (1982), 220—223.
- [5] 郭本瑜, 偏微分方程的差分方法, 1982.
- [6] 郭本瑜, 粘性流体二维涡度方程的一类差分格式, 数学学报, **17** (1974), 242—258.
- [7] Kuo Pen-yu, Numerical methods for incompressible viscous flow, *Scientia Sinica*, **20** (1977), 287—304.
- [8] Guo Ben-yu (Kuo Pen-yu), On stability of discretization, *Scientia Sinica*, **25** (1982), series A, 702—715.