

# A NOTE ON SIMPLE NON-ZERO SINGULAR VALUES<sup>\*1)</sup>

SUN JI-GUANG (孙继广)

(Computing Center, Academia Sinica, Beijing, China)

## Abstract

The technique described in [4] is used to investigate the analyticity and to obtain second order perturbation expansions of simple non-zero singular values of a matrix analytically dependent on several parameters.

The object of this note is to use the technique described in [4] to investigate the analyticity and to obtain second order perturbation expansions of simple non-zero singular values of a matrix analytically dependent on several parameters. The results may be useful for investigating the performance and robustness of multivariable feedback systems as well as design techniques (see [3] and the references contained therein).

**Notation.** The symbol  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  denotes the set of complex  $m \times n$  matrices and  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  the set of real  $m \times n$  matrices,  $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^{n \times 1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ . The superscript  $H$  is for conjugate transpose, and  $T$  for transpose.  $\|x\|$  denotes the usual Euclidean vector norm of  $x$  and  $\|A\|$  denotes the spectral norm of a matrix  $A$ .

## § 1. Singular Values of a Complex Matrix

Let  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_N)^T$  and  $A(p) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ . We may assume without loss of generality that the parameters  $p_1, \dots, p_N$  are real and  $m \geq n$  throughout this note.

Let  $A = A(p^*)$  for some point  $p^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Suppose that  $\sigma$  is a singular value of  $A$ . Then there exist two unit vectors,  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , such that

$$Av = \sigma u, \quad A^H u = \sigma v.$$

Such  $v, u$  will be called unit right and unit left singular vectors of  $A$  corresponding to the singular value  $\sigma$ .

First, applying the Implicit Function Theorem we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A(p) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ . Suppose that  $\operatorname{Re}[A(p)]$  and  $\operatorname{Im}[A(p)]$  are real analytic matrix-valued functions of  $p$  in some neighbourhood  $\mathcal{B}(0)$  of the origin. If  $\sigma_1$  is a simple non-zero singular value of  $A(0)$ ,  $v_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $u_1 \in \mathbb{C}^m$  are associated unit right and unit left singular vectors, respectively, then*

\* Received November 15, 1986.

1) The project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

1) there exists a simple singular value  $\sigma_1(p)$  of  $A(p)$  which is a real analytic function of  $p$  in some neighbourhood  $\mathcal{B}_0$  of the origin, and  $\sigma_1(0) = \sigma_1$ ;

2) the unit right singular vector  $v_1(p)$  and the unit left singular vector  $u_1(p)$  of  $A(p)$  corresponding to  $\sigma_1(p)$  may be so defined that  $\text{Re}[v_1(p)]$ ,  $\text{Im}[v_1(p)]$ ,  $\text{Re}[u_1(p)]$  and  $\text{Im}[u_1(p)]$  are real analytic functions of  $p$  in  $\mathcal{B}_0$ ,  $v_1(0) = v_1$  and  $u_1(0) = u_1$ .

*Proof.* By hypotheses there exist two unitary matrices

$$U = (u_1, U_2) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, \quad V = (v_1, V_2) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \tag{1.1}$$

such that

$$U^H A(0) V = \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \Sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_n \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m-1) \times (n-1)}, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \geq 0$  and  $\sigma_j \neq \sigma_1 > 0$  for  $j = 2, \dots, n$ .

We set

$$\tilde{A}(p) = V^H A(p)^H A(p) V = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{11}(p) & \tilde{a}_{21}(p)^H \\ \tilde{a}_{21}(p) & \tilde{A}_{22}(p) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{a}_{11}(p) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.3}$$

and introduce a vector-valued function

$$f(z, p) = \tilde{a}_{21}(p) - \tilde{a}_{11}(p)z + \tilde{A}_{22}(p)z - z\tilde{a}_{21}(p)^H z, \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})^T, \quad z = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1})^T \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Let

$$f_j = \varphi_j + i\psi_j, \quad \zeta_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j, \quad i = \sqrt{-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$x = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1})^T, \quad y = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

Obviously,  $\varphi_j(x, y, p)$  and  $\psi_j(x, y, p)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ ) are real analytic functions of real variables  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $p \in \mathcal{B}(0)$ , and the functions satisfy

$$\varphi_j(0, 0, 0) = 0, \quad \psi_j(0, 0, 0) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1. \tag{1.5}$$

Since  $f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$  are complex analytic functions of the complex variables  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}$  for any  $p \in \mathcal{B}(0)$ , we have ([1, p. 39, Theorem 8])

$$\det \frac{\partial(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1})}{\partial(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1})} = \left| \det \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})}{\partial(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1})} \right|^2.$$

Combining it with

$$\left( \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})}{\partial(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1})} \right)_{z=0, p=0} = \tilde{A}_{22}(0) - \tilde{a}_{11}(0)I = \Sigma_2^T \Sigma_2 - \sigma_1^2 I$$

we get

$$\det \left( \frac{\partial(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{n-1})}{\partial(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1})} \right)_{z=0, p=0} \in \prod_{i=2}^n (\sigma_i^2 - \sigma_1^2)^2 \neq 0.$$

Hence by the Implicit Function Theorem (see [2, p. 277] or [4, Theorem 1.2]) the system of equations

$$\varphi_j(x, y, p) = 0, \quad \psi_j(x, y, p) = 0, \quad j=1, \dots, n-1, \text{ i.e., } f(z, p) = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

has a unique real analytic solution

$$x = x(p), \quad y = y(p), \quad \text{i.e., } z = z(p)$$

in some neighbourhood  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}(0)$  of the origin, and

$$x(0) = 0, \quad y(0) = 0, \quad \text{i.e., } z(0) = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Observe that

$$\tilde{a}_{11}(p) + z(p)^H \tilde{a}_{21}(p) + \tilde{a}_{21}(p)^H z(p) + z(p)^H \tilde{A}_{22}(p) z(p) > 0$$

provided that  $p \in \mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is sufficiently small. Therefore we may define a positive valued function

$$\sigma_1(p) = [(\tilde{a}_{11}(p) + z(p)^H \tilde{a}_{21}(p) + \tilde{a}_{21}(p)^H z(p) + z(p)^H \tilde{A}_{22}(p) z(p)) (1 + z(p)^H z(p))^{-1}]^{1/2}, \quad p \in \mathcal{B}_0. \quad (1.8)$$

Besides, we define two vector-valued functions

$$v_1(p) = V \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z(p) \end{pmatrix} (1 + z(p)^H z(p))^{-1/2}, \quad u_1(p) = A(p) v_1(p) / \sigma_1(p), \quad p \in \mathcal{B}_0. \quad (1.9)$$

From (1.3)–(1.9) and the above mentioned argument we see that the functions  $\sigma_1(p)$ ,  $v_1(p)$  and  $u_1(p)$  are real analytic in  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and satisfy

$$A(p) v_1(p) = \sigma_1(p) u_1(p), \quad A(p)^H u_1(p) = \sigma_1(p) v_1(p), \quad \|u_1(p)\| = \|v_1(p)\| = 1 \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\sigma_1(0) = \sigma_1, \quad v_1(0) = v_1, \quad u_1(0) = u_1. \quad (1.11)$$

According to the well-known perturbation theorem for singular values the singular value  $\sigma_1(p)$  of  $A(p)$  is simple provided that the neighbourhood  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is sufficiently small. ■

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 are valid. Then there are the following formulas for the simple non-zero singular value  $\sigma_1(p)$  and the associated singular vectors  $v_1(p)$  and  $u_1(p)$  defined by (1.8) and (1.9):*

$$\left( \frac{\partial \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} = \text{Re} \left[ u_1^H \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} v_1 \right], \quad (1.12)$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial v_1(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} = V_2 \left( \Phi_1 V_2^H \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0}^H, \Psi^T U_2^H \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} \right) \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\partial u_1(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} &= U_2 \left( \Psi V_2^H \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0}^H, \Phi_2 U_2^H \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} \right) \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{i}{\sigma_1} \text{Im} \left[ u_1^H \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} v_1 \right] u_1, \quad i = \sqrt{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j \partial p_k}\right)_{p=0} &= \operatorname{Re} \left[ u_1^H \left(\frac{\partial^2 A(p)}{\partial p_j \partial p_k}\right)_{p=0} v_1 \right] \\ &+ \operatorname{Re} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}^H \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_k}\right)_{p=0}^H & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} \end{pmatrix}^H \right] \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} V_2 \Phi_1 V_2^H & V_2 \Psi^T U_2^H \\ U_2 \Psi V_2^H & U_2 \Phi_2 U_2^H \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0}^H & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \operatorname{Im} \left[ u_1^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} v_1 \right] \operatorname{Im} \left[ u_1^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_k}\right)_{p=0} v_1 \right], \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

where  $j, k = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $u_1, v_1, U_2$  and  $V_2$  are defined by (1.1), and

$$\Phi_1 = \sigma_1 (\sigma_1^2 I - \Sigma_2^T \Sigma_2)^{-1}, \quad \Phi_2 = \sigma_1 (\sigma_1^2 I - \Sigma_2 \Sigma_2^T)^{-1}, \quad \Psi = \Sigma_2 (\sigma_1^2 I - \Sigma_2^T \Sigma_2)^{-1}, \tag{1.16}$$

in which  $\Sigma_2$  is defined by (1.2).

*Proof.* 1) By Theorem 1.1 (see (1.10) and (1.11))

$$\sigma_1(p) = u_1(p)^H A(p) v_1(p) = v_1(p)^H A(p) u_1(p), \tag{1.17}$$

and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j} &= \sigma_1(p) \left(\frac{\partial u_1(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)^H u_1(p) + u_1(p)^H \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} v_1(p) \\ &+ \sigma_1(p) v_1(p)^H \frac{\partial v_1(p)}{\partial p_j} \end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j} &= \sigma_1(p) \left(\frac{\partial v_1(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)^H v_1(p) + v_1(p)^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)^H u_1(p) \\ &+ \sigma_1(p) u_1(p)^H \frac{\partial u_1(p)}{\partial p_j}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

From (1.18), (1.19) and  $\|u_1(p)\| = \|v_1(p)\| = 1$  we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ u_1(p)^H \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} v_1(p) + v_1(p)^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)^H u_1(p) \right]. \tag{1.20}$$

Substituting  $p=0$  into (1.20), we get (1.12) at once.

2) From

$$A(p)^H A(p) v_1(p) = \sigma_1(p)^2 v_1(p)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\sigma_1^2 I - A(0)^H A(0)) \left(\frac{\partial v_1(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} \\ &= \left[ \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0}^H A(0) + A(0)^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} - 2\sigma_1 \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} I \right] v_1. \end{aligned}$$

Combining it with (1.2), (1.7) and (1.9) we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1^2 I - \Sigma_2^T \Sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \left(\frac{\partial z(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \sigma_1 V^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0}^H u_1 + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2^T \end{pmatrix} U^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} v_1 - 2\sigma_1 \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial z(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} = (\sigma_1^2 I - \Sigma_2^T \Sigma_2)^{-1} \left[ \sigma_1 V^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0}^H u_1 + \Sigma_2^T U^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} v_1 \right]. \tag{1.21}$$

Substituting (1.21) into

$$\left(\frac{\partial v_1(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} = V_2 \left(\frac{\partial z(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0}$$

and utilizing the symbols  $\Phi_2$  and  $\Psi$  defined by (1.16), we get the formula (1.13).

3) From  $A(p)v_1(p) = \sigma_1(p)u_1(p)$  (see (1.10)) we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_1(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \left[ \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} v_1 + A(0) \left(\frac{\partial v_1(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} - \left(\frac{\partial \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} u_1 \right].$$

Combining it with (1.12), (1.13) and utilizing the relations

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_1} A(0) V_2 \Phi_1 V_2^H = U_2 \Psi V_2^H, \quad \frac{1}{\sigma_1} A(0) V_2 \Psi^T U_2^H = U_2 \Phi_1 U_2^H - \frac{1}{\sigma_1} U_2 U_2^H$$

we get the formula (1.14).

4) From (1.20) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j \partial p_k}\right)_{p=0} &= \text{Re} \left[ u_1^H \left(\frac{\partial^2 A(p)}{\partial p_j \partial p_k}\right)_{p=0} v_1 \right] + \text{Re} \left[ \left(\frac{\partial u_1(p)}{\partial p_k}\right)_{p=0}^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} v_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\partial v_1(p)}{\partial p_k}\right)_{p=0}^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} u_1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining it with (1.13) and (1.14) we obtain the formula (1.15). ■

**Remark 1.1.** Let

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 & \Psi^T \\ \Psi & \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.22}$$

in which  $\Phi_1$ ,  $\Phi_2$  and  $\Psi$  are defined by (1.16), and let

$$\hat{S} = \begin{pmatrix} V_2 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{pmatrix} S \begin{pmatrix} V_2 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{pmatrix}^H, \quad D_j = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0}^H & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.23}$$

Then the formulas (1.13)–(1.15) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial v_1(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_1(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{S} D_j \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{i}{\sigma_1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Im} \left[ u_1^H \left(\frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j}\right)_{p=0} v_1 \right] u_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j \partial p_k} \right)_{p=0} &= \operatorname{Re} \left[ u_1^H \left( \frac{\partial^2 A(p)}{\partial p_j \partial p_k} \right)_{p=0} v_1 + \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}^H D_k^H \hat{S} D_j \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \operatorname{Im} \left[ u_1^H \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} v_1 \right] \operatorname{Im} \left[ u_1^H \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_k} \right)_{p=0} v_1 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

*Example 1.1* [3, p. 226-227]. Let

$$A(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ \frac{1}{p_1 + ip_2 + 2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = (p_1, p_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad i = \sqrt{-1}.$$

It is easy to see that the matrix  $A(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  has a singular value decomposition  $A(0) = U \Sigma V^H$  in which

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{3}{2}, \quad \sigma_2 = 1, \quad \Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = 6/5, \quad \Psi = 4/5,$$

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (2, -1)^T, \quad u_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (1, 2)^T, \quad v_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (1, -2)^T, \quad v_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (2, 1)^T,$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_1} \right)_{p=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_2} \right)_{p=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{i}{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 A(p)}{\partial p_1^2} \right)_{p=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2 A(p)}{\partial p_1 \partial p_2} \right)_{p=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{i}{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 A(p)}{\partial p_2^2} \right)_{p=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Utilizing the formulas (1.12)–(1.15) after some straightforward calculations, we get

$$\left( \frac{\partial \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_1} \right)_{p=0} = 0.05, \quad \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_2} \right)_{p=0} = 0,$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial \sigma_2(p)}{\partial p_1} \right)_{p=0} = -0.2, \quad \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_2(p)}{\partial p_2} \right)_{p=0} = 0,$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_1^2} \right)_{p=0} = -0.042, \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_1 \partial p_2} \right)_{p=0} = 0, \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_2^2} \right)_{p=0} = 0.0916$$

and

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_2(p)}{\partial p_1^2} \right)_{p=0} = 0.208, \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_2(p)}{\partial p_1 \partial p_2} \right)_{p=0} = 0, \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_2(p)}{\partial p_2^2} \right)_{p=0} = -0.12.$$

Hence  $\sigma_1(p)$  and  $\sigma_2(p)$  have the expansions

$$\sigma_1(p) = 1.5 + 0.05p_1 - 0.021p_1^2 + 0.04583p_2^2 + O(\|p\|^3)$$

and

$$\sigma_2(p) = 1.0 - 0.2p_1 + 0.104p_1^2 - 0.06p_2^2 + O(\|p\|^3)$$

in a neighbourhood of the origin.

It is worth-while to point out that the above mentioned way for computing the second order Taylor expansions for simple singular values is feasible.

### § 2. Singular Values of a Real Matrix

From Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we obtain the following result at once.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $A(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Suppose that  $A(p)$  is a real analytic matrix-valued function of  $p$  in some neighbourhood  $\mathcal{B}(0)$  of the origin. If  $A(0)$  has a singular value decomposition  $A(0) = U\Sigma V^T$  in which  $U$  and  $V$  are real orthogonal matrices, and*

$$\begin{cases} U = (u_1, U_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, & V = (v_1, V_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \\ \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} & \Sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_n \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m-1) \times (n-1)} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

with  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \geq 0$  and  $\sigma_j \neq \sigma_1 > 0$  for  $j = 2, \dots, n$ , then

- 1) there exists a simple singular value  $\sigma_1(p)$  of  $A(p)$  which is a real analytic function of  $p$  in some neighbourhood  $\mathcal{B}_0$  of the origin, and  $\sigma_1(0) = \sigma_1$ ;
- 2) the unit right singular vector  $v_1(p)$  and the unit left singular vector  $u_1(p)$  of  $A(p)$  corresponding to  $\sigma_1(p)$  may be so defined that  $v_1(p)$  and  $u_1(p)$  are real analytic functions of  $p$  in  $\mathcal{B}_0$ ,  $v_1(0) = v_1$  and  $u_1(0) = u_1$ ;
- 3) the following formulas are valid:

$$\left( \frac{\partial \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} = u_1^T \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} v_1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left( \frac{\partial v_1(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} \\ \left( \frac{\partial u_1(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{S} D_j \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j \partial p_k} \right)_{p=0} = u_1^T \left( \frac{\partial^2 A(p)}{\partial p_j \partial p_k} \right)_{p=0} v_1 + \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}^T D_k^T \hat{S} D_j \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $j, k = 1, \dots, N$  and

$$\hat{S} = \begin{pmatrix} V_2 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{pmatrix} S \begin{pmatrix} V_2 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{pmatrix}^T, \quad D_j = \begin{pmatrix} \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0}^T & 0 \\ 0 & \left( \frac{\partial A(p)}{\partial p_j} \right)_{p=0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.5)$$

in which  $u_1, v_1, U_2, V_2$  and  $S$  are defined by (2.1) and (1.22).

**Corollary 2.1.** Let  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_N \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Suppose that  $A_0 = U \Sigma V^T$  is a singular value decomposition of  $A_0$ , where  $U, V$  and  $\Sigma$  are represented by (2.1). If  $\sigma_1$  is a simple non-zero singular value of  $A_0$ , then the matrix

$$A(p) = A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N p_j A_j, \quad p = (p_1, \dots, p_N)^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

has a simple singular value  $\sigma_1(p)$  which is a real analytic function in some neighbourhood of  $p=0$ ,  $\sigma_1(0) = \sigma_1$ , and satisfies the following estimates:

$$\left| \left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j \partial p_k} \right)_{p=0} \right| \leq 2 \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\min_{2 \leq l \leq n} |\sigma_1 - \sigma_l|}, \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \right\} \|A_j\| \|A_k\|, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N. \quad (2.6)$$

*Proof.* By the formula (2.4) we have

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1(p)}{\partial p_j \partial p_k} \right)_{p=0} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} A_k & 0 \\ 0 & A_k^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_2 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{pmatrix} S \begin{pmatrix} V_2^T & 0 \\ 0 & U_2^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_j^T & 0 \\ 0 & A_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $S$  is defined by (1.22). Since

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \sqrt{2}, \quad \left\| \begin{pmatrix} A_k & 0 \\ 0 & A_k^T \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \|A_k\|, \quad \left\| \begin{pmatrix} A_j^T & 0 \\ 0 & A_j \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \|A_j\|, \quad \left\| \begin{pmatrix} V_2 & 0 \\ 0 & U_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 1$$

and

$$\|S\| = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\min_{2 \leq l \leq n} |\sigma_1 - \sigma_l|}, \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \right\},$$

from (2.7) we obtain (2.6) at once. ■

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Suppose that  $A = U \Sigma V^T$  is a singular value decomposition of  $A$ , where  $U, V$  and  $\Sigma$  are represented by (2.1) in which  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \geq 0$  and  $\sigma_j \neq \sigma_1 > 0$  for  $j=2, \dots, n$ . Let

$$s = (\varepsilon_{11}, \dots, \varepsilon_{1n}, \varepsilon_{21}, \dots, \varepsilon_{2n}, \dots, \varepsilon_{m1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{mn})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$$

and

$$A(s) = A + E, \quad E = (\varepsilon_{ij}). \quad (2.8)$$

Then there are a simple singular value  $\sigma_1(s)$  and corresponding unit singular vectors  $v_1(s)$  and  $u_1(s)$  of  $A(s)$  such that  $\sigma_1(s), v_1(s)$  and  $u_1(s)$  are real analytic functions of  $s$  in some neighbourhood of the origin, and

$$\sigma_1(s) = \sigma_1 + u_1^T E v_1 + \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & E^T \end{pmatrix} \hat{S} \begin{pmatrix} E^T & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} + O(\|E\|^3), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1(s) \\ u_1(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} + \hat{S} \begin{pmatrix} E^T & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} + O(\|E\|^2). \quad (2.10)$$

Where  $\hat{S}$  is defined by (2.5).

*Proof.* Let  $e_i^{(n)}$  denote the  $i$ -th column of the identity  $I^{(n)}$ , and let

$$A_{jk} = e_j^{(m)} e_k^{(n)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Then

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_{jk} A_{jk}. \quad (2.11)$$



By Theorem 2.1 there are a real analytic simple singular value  $\sigma_1(s)$  and corresponding real analytic unit singular vectors  $v_1(s)$  and  $u_1(s)$  of  $A(s)$  provided that  $\|s\|$  is sufficiently small.

Utilizing the formulas (2.2)–(2.4) we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\partial \sigma_1(s)}{\partial s_{jk}}\right)_{s=0} = u_1^T A_{jk} v_1, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial v_1(s)}{\partial s_{jk}}\right)_{s=0} \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_1(s)}{\partial s_{jk}}\right)_{s=0} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{S} \begin{pmatrix} A_{jk}^T & 0 \\ 0 & A_{jk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 \sigma_1(s)}{\partial s_{jk} \partial s_{st}}\right)_{s=0} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} A_{jk} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{jk}^T \end{pmatrix} \hat{S} \begin{pmatrix} A_{st}^T & 0 \\ 0 & A_{st} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Substituting (2.12)–(2.14) into the Taylor expansions of  $\sigma_1(s)$ ,  $v_1(s)$  and  $u_1(s)$  and combining them with (2.11) we get (2.9) and (2.10). ■

### References

- [1] Bochner, S.; Martin, W. T.: *Several Complex Variables*, Princeton, 1948.
- [2] Dieudonné, J.: *Éléments d'Analyse*, 1. Fondements de l'Analyse Moderne, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1968.
- [3] MacFarlane, A. G. J.; Hung, Y. S.: Analytic properties of the singular values of a rational matrix, *Int. J. Control*, **37**:2 (1983), 221–234.
- [4] Sun, J. G.: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix dependent on several parameters, *J. Comp. Math.*, **3** (1985), 351–364.