

HERMITE-TYPE METHOD FOR VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATION WITH CERTAIN WEAKLY SINGULAR KERNEL^{*1)}

G.Q. Han

(Department of Computer Science, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China)

L.Q. Zhang

(Automation Department, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China)

Abstract

We discuss the Hermite-type collocation method for the solution of Volterra integral equation with weakly singular kernel. The constructed approximation is a cubic spline in the continuity class C^1 . We prove that this method is convergent with order of four.

1. Introduction

This paper considers the numerical solution of the second-kind Volterra integral equation

$$y(t) + (Ky)(t) = g(t), \quad (1.1)$$

where $y(t)$ is the unknown solution, $g(t)$ is a given function and K is the integral operator for some given kernel function K ,

$$(Ky)(t) = \int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)y(s)\frac{1}{s}ds. \quad (1.2)$$

Such equations arise from certain diffusion problems. Because K is not compact, so the standard stability proofs for numerical methods do not fit.

Many people have worked on Hermite-type collocation methods for second-kind Volterra integral equations with smooth kernels^[3,4,5,6], but very few deal with weakly singular kernels. Papatheodorou & Jesanis (1980) considered Volterra integro-differential equations with weakly singular kernels. Diogo, Mckee & Tang (1991) investigated a Hermite-type collocation method for (1.1) with a singular kernel of the form $K(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\ell_n\sigma\sigma^\mu}}$, $\mu > 1$. They also considered two low-order product integration methods for the solution of (1.1) with a singular kernel of the form $K(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\ell_n\sigma\sigma^\mu}}$ ^[10]. For general kernel $K(\sigma)$, no papers have appeared to discuss it.

* Received March 26, 1993.

¹⁾ The Project was Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

In this paper, first we would to show that a unique smooth solution exists when $\alpha = \int_1^\infty \frac{|K(\sigma)|}{\sigma} d\sigma < 1$. The basic idea is to derive two (linear) Volterra equations for $y(t)$ and $y'(t)$ by transforming the original integral equation. Having the coupled equations for both $y(t)$ and $y'(t)$, we can then employ piecewise cubic Hermite polynomials to obtain numerical solution of (1.1). Finally, the convergence analysis is given.

2. Preliminaries

Let $C^m[0, T]$ denote the Banach space of m th order derivative continuous real-valued functions with the uniform norm

$$\| u \|_{m, \infty} = \max_{0 \leq j \leq m} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u^{(j)}(t)|.$$

Our assumption on K is

$$\alpha = \int_1^\infty \frac{|K(\sigma)|}{\sigma} d\sigma < 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 1. *If $g \in C^m[0, T]$ and (2.1) is satisfied, then (1.1) possesses a unique solution $y \in C^m[0, T]$.*

Proof: Choosing an arbitrary function $v(t) \in C^m[0, T]$, and defining $u = S(v)$ such that

$$u(t) + \int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)v(s)\frac{1}{s}ds = g(t), \quad t \in [0, T] \tag{2.2}$$

where $S(v) = - \int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)v(s)\frac{1}{s}ds + g(t)$.

Setting $s = \lambda t$ we have

$$\int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)v(s)\frac{1}{s}ds = \int_0^1 K\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)v(\lambda t)\frac{1}{\lambda}d\lambda. \tag{2.3}$$

Since $v \in C^m[0, T]$ and $g \in C^m[0, T]$, we obtain from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$u^{(j)}(t) = - \int_0^1 K\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)v^{(j)}(\lambda t)\lambda^{j-1}d\lambda + g^{(j)}(t), \tag{2.4}$$

where $0 \leq j \leq m$. If $u_1 = S(v_1)$ and $u_2 = S(v_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u_1^{(j)} - u_2^{(j)}| &\leq \int_0^1 |K\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)|\lambda^{j-1}|v_1^{(j)}(\lambda t) - v_2^{(j)}(\lambda t)|d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |K\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)|\lambda^{-1}d\lambda \cdot \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{m, \infty}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Noting that the coefficient of the last term of (2.5) equals α , it follows that

$$\| u_1 - u_2 \|_{m, \infty} \leq \alpha \| v_1 - v_2 \|_{m, \infty}. \tag{2.6}$$

The inequality (2.6) implies that the operator S is a contraction mapping. Since C^m is a complete normed space, S has a unique fixed point $y(t) \in C^m[0, T]$ such that $y = S(y)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2. Equation (1.1) can be transformed into the equivalent equation

$$y(t) - \int_0^t \rho\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)y(s)\frac{1}{s}ds = g_1(t), \quad (2.7a)$$

where

$$\rho(\sigma) = \int_\sigma^1 K\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)K\left(\frac{\eta}{\sigma}\right)\frac{1}{\eta}d\eta, \quad (2.7b)$$

$$g_1(t) = - \int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)g(s)\frac{1}{s}ds + g(t) = g(t) - K(g)(t). \quad (2.7c)$$

Proof: Consider

$$y(s) + \int_0^s K\left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right)y(\lambda)\frac{1}{\lambda}d\lambda = g(s). \quad (2.8)$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.8) by $K(t/s)1/s$ and integrating the resulting equation from 0 to t , we obtain

$$\int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)y(s)\frac{1}{s}ds + \int_0^t \frac{1}{s}K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \int_0^s K\left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right)y(\lambda)\frac{1}{\lambda}d\lambda ds = \int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)g(s)\frac{1}{s}ds. \quad (2.9)$$

Using Dirichlet's formula we have

$$\int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)y(s)\frac{ds}{s} + \int_0^t \left(\int_\lambda^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)K\left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right)\frac{ds}{s}\right)y(\lambda)\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} = \int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)g(s)\frac{1}{s}ds. \quad (2.10)$$

From (1.1) we obtain

$$y(t) - \int_0^t \int_s^t K\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)K\left(\frac{\lambda}{s}\right)\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}y(s)\frac{ds}{s} = g(t) - \int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)g(s)\frac{ds}{s}. \quad (2.11)$$

Setting

$$p_1(t, s) = \int_s^t K\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)K\left(\frac{\lambda}{s}\right)\frac{1}{\lambda}d\lambda, \quad (2.12)$$

the equation (2.11) becomes

$$y(t) - \int_0^t p_1(t, s)y(s)\frac{1}{s}ds = g(t) - \int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)g(s)\frac{1}{s}ds. \quad (2.13)$$

We now calculate $p_1(t, s)$. Setting $s = \sigma t$, we have

$$p_1(t, s) = \int_{\sigma t}^t K\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)K\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sigma t}\right)\frac{1}{\lambda}d\lambda,$$

setting $\lambda = t\eta$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(t, s) &= \int_\sigma^1 \frac{1}{t\eta}K\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)K\left(\frac{\eta}{\sigma}\right)t d\eta \\ &= \int_\sigma^1 K\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)K\left(\frac{\eta}{\sigma}\right)\frac{1}{\eta}d\eta = \rho(\sigma) = \rho\left(\frac{s}{t}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

so we have

$$y(t) - \int_0^t \rho\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)y(s)\frac{1}{s}ds = g_1(t).$$

This completes the proof .

Lemma 3. *The derivative of y, the solution of (1.1), satisfies*

$$y'(t) - \int_0^t \rho\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)\frac{s}{t}y'(s)\frac{1}{s}ds = g_2(t), \tag{2.15a}$$

where

$$g_2(t) = - \int_0^t K\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)\frac{s}{t}g'(s)\frac{1}{s}ds + g'(t), \tag{2.15b}$$

$\rho(\sigma)$ is given in Lemma 2.

Proof: By a change of variables, (2.7a) can be written in the form

$$y(t) - \int_0^1 \rho(\lambda)y(\lambda t)\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} = - \int_0^1 K\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)g(\lambda t)\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} + g(t). \tag{2.16}$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.16) with respect to t yields (2.15).

3. Hermite-Type Collocation Method

Let Δ_N denote an equidistant partition of $[0,T]$

$$\Delta_N : \quad 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T,$$

and let $h = t_{i+1} - t_i = T/N$. The subintervals generated by this partition of Δ_N are denoted by I_n ; i.e., $I_0 = [t_0, t_1], I_n = (t_n, t_{n+1}] (n = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1)$. In the following we shall be concerned with the approximating spaces

$$S_3^1(\Delta_N) = \{u : u \in C^1[0, T], u|_{I_n} \in \pi_3, n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}.$$

For $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, let

$$\phi_{1n}(t) = (t - t_{n+1})^2[h + 2(t - t_n)]/h^3, \tag{3.1a}$$

$$\phi_{2n}(t) = (t - t_n)^2[h + 2(t_{n+1} - t)]/h^3, \tag{3.1b}$$

$$\psi_{1n}(t) = (t - t_n)(t - t_{n+1})^2/h^2, \tag{3.1c}$$

$$\psi_{2n}(t) = (t - t_n)^2(t - t_{n+1})/h^2, \tag{3.1d}$$

then for any $u(t) \in S_3^1(\Delta_N)$, $u(t)$ can be expressed as

$$u(t) = u_i\phi_{1i}(t) + u_{i+1}\phi_{2i}(t) + u'_i\psi_{1i}(t) + u'_{i+1}\psi_{2i}(t), \tag{3.2}$$

$$t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1.$$

Definition. Let $f \in C^m[0, T]$, $m \geq 1$, be a given function. Then $H_f(t) \in S_3^1(\Delta_N)$ is the Hermite cubic interpolant of f if

$$H_f(t_n) = f(t_n), \quad H'_f(t_n) = f'(t_n), \quad 0 \leq n \leq N.$$

Lemma 4. (Schultz, 1973) Assume $f \in C^4[0, T]$. Then

$$\|f - H_f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{384} h^4 \|f^{(4)}\|_\infty, \quad (3.3a)$$

$$\|f' - H'_f\|_\infty \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{216} h^3 \|f^{(4)}\|_\infty. \quad (3.3b)$$

The Hermite-type collocation method of (1.1) is looking for $u \in S_3^1(\Delta_N)$ satisfying

$$u(t_n) - \int_0^{t_n} \rho\left(\frac{s}{t_n}\right) u(s) \frac{1}{s} ds = \tilde{g}_1(t_n), \quad (3.4a)$$

$$u'(t_n) - \int_0^{t_n} \rho\left(\frac{s}{t_n}\right) \frac{s}{t_n} u(s) \frac{1}{s} ds = \tilde{g}_2(t_n), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.4b)$$

with

$$u(0) = y(0) = g(0)/(1 + \tilde{\alpha}), \quad (3.4c)$$

$$u'(0) = y'(0) = g'(0)/(1 + \tilde{\beta}), \quad (3.4d)$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \int_1^\infty \frac{K(\sigma)}{\sigma} d\sigma, \quad (3.4e)$$

$$\tilde{\beta} = \int_1^\infty \frac{K(\sigma)}{\sigma^2} d\sigma, \quad (3.4f)$$

$$\tilde{g}_1(t_n) = - \int_0^{t_n} K\left(\frac{t_n}{s}\right) \tilde{g}(s) \frac{1}{s} ds + g(t_n), \quad (3.4g)$$

$$\tilde{g}_2(t_n) = - \int_0^{t_n} K\left(\frac{t_n}{s}\right) \frac{s}{t_n} \tilde{g}'(s) \frac{1}{s} ds + g'(t_n), \quad (3.4h)$$

Here the function $\tilde{g} \in S_s^1(\Delta_N)$ is the Hermite cubic interpolant to g . The approximations $\tilde{g}_1(t_n)$ and $\tilde{g}_2(t_n)$ will be replaced by the exact values $g_1(t_n)$ and $g_2(t_n)$ if the integrals involved can be calculated analytically.

4. Convergence

Lemma 5. For $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$, $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$, we have

$$|\phi_{1n}(t)| + |\phi_{2n}(t)| = 1, \quad |\psi_{1n}(t)| + |\psi_{2n}(t)| \leq \frac{h}{4}, \quad (4.1a)$$

$$|\phi'_{1n}(t)| + |\phi'_{2n}(t)| \leq \frac{3}{h}, \quad |\psi'_{1n}(t)| + |\psi'_{2n}(t)| \leq 1. \quad (4.1b)$$

Define the error function

$$e(t) = y(t) - u(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Set $t = t_n$ in (2.7a) and subtract (3.4a) from the resulting equation . It follows that $e(t)$ satisfies the error equations

$$e(t_n) - \int_0^{t_n} \rho\left(\frac{s}{t_n}\right) \cdot e(s) \frac{1}{s} ds = g_1(t_n) - \tilde{g}_1(t_n). \tag{4.2a}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$e'(t_n) - \int_0^{t_n} \rho\left(\frac{s}{t_n}\right) \frac{s}{t_n} e'(s) \frac{1}{s} ds = g_2(t_n) - \tilde{g}_2(t_n), \tag{4.2b}$$

where $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

Lemma 6. *If $g \in C^4[0, T]$, then*

$$(i) \quad |g_1(t_n) - \tilde{g}_1(t_n)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{384} h^4 \|g^{(4)}\|_\infty, \tag{4.3a}$$

$$(ii) \quad |g_2(t_n) - \tilde{g}_2(t_n)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta}{216} h^3 \|g^{(4)}\|_\infty, \tag{4.3b}$$

where

$$\alpha = \int_1^\infty \frac{|K(\sigma)|}{\sigma} d\sigma \quad , \quad \beta = \int_1^\infty \frac{|K(\sigma)|}{\sigma^2} d\sigma .$$

Proof: (i)

$$\begin{aligned} |g_1(t_n) - \tilde{g}_1(t_n)| &= \left| \int_0^{t_n} K\left(\frac{t_n}{s}\right) (\tilde{g}(s) - g(s)) \frac{1}{s} ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{t_n} \left| K\left(\frac{t_n}{s}\right) \frac{1}{s} ds \right| \cdot \max_{0 \leq s \leq T} |g(s) - \tilde{g}(s)| \\ &\leq \alpha \max_{0 \leq s \leq T} |g(s) - \tilde{g}(s)| . \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.3a), we obtain (4.3a).

(ii) Similarly, we have

$$|g_2(t_n) - \tilde{g}_2(t_n)| \leq \beta \max_{0 \leq s \leq T} |g'(s) - \tilde{g}'(s)| .$$

Applying (3.3b), we can obtain (4.3b).

Theorem 1. *If $g \in C^4[0, T]$, $\alpha < \sqrt{3} - 1$, then the error function $e(t) = y(t) - u(t)$ satisfies*

$$\|e^{(i)}\|_\infty = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |e^{(i)}(t)| = O(h^{4-i}), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Proof: Let $E_1 = \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} |e(t_n)|$, and $E_2 = \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} |he'(t_n)|$. For $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$, we have

$$u(t) = \phi_{1n}(t)u_n + \phi_{2n}(t)u_{n+1} + \psi_{1n}(t)u'_n + \psi_{2n}(t)u'_{n+1}. \quad (4.4)$$

As $g \in C^4[0, T]$, by Lemma 1, $y(t) \in C^4[0, T]$. It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$y(t) = \phi_{1n}(t)y(t_n) + \phi_{2n}(t)y(t_{n+1}) + \psi_{1n}(t)y'(t_n) + \psi_{2n}(t)y'(t_{n+1}) + O(h^4). \quad (4.5)$$

Subtracting (4.4) from (4.5) and using (4.1a), we obtain

$$|e(t)| \leq E_1 + \frac{1}{4}E_2 + O(h^4). \quad (4.6)$$

From equation (4.2a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e(t_n)| &\leq \int_0^{t_n} \left| \rho\left(\frac{s}{t_n}\right) \right| \cdot |e(s)| \frac{1}{s} ds + O(h^4) \\ &\leq (E_1 + \frac{1}{4}E_2 + O(h^4)) \int_0^{t_n} \left| \rho\left(\frac{s}{t_n}\right) \right| \frac{1}{s} ds + O(h^4). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{t_n} \left| \rho\left(\frac{s}{t_n}\right) \right| \frac{1}{s} ds &= \int_0^1 \left| \rho(z) \right| \frac{1}{z} dz = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{z} \left| \int_z^1 K\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) K\left(\frac{\eta}{z}\right) \frac{1}{\eta} d\eta \right| dz \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{z} \int_z^1 \left| K\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) K\left(\frac{\eta}{z}\right) \frac{1}{\eta} \right| d\eta dz \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\eta} \left| K\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) \right| \int_0^\eta \frac{1}{z} \left| K\left(\frac{\eta}{z}\right) \right| dz d\eta \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\eta} \left| K\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) \right| d\eta \right)^2 = \alpha^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$E_1 \leq \alpha^2(E_1 + \frac{1}{4}E_2) + O(h^4). \quad (4.8)$$

Thus

$$E_1 \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{4(1-\alpha^2)}E_2 + O(h^4). \quad (4.9)$$

On the other hand

$$|e'(t)| \leq (|\phi'_{1n}(t)| + |\phi'_{2n}(t)|)E_1 + \frac{1}{h}(|\psi'_{1n}(t)| + |\psi'_{2n}(t)|)E_2 + O(h^3). \quad (4.10)$$

Using (4.1b) we obtain

$$h|e'(t)| \leq 3E_1 + E_2 + O(h^4). \quad (4.11)$$

Combining this with (4.2b) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |he'(t_n)| &\leq \int_0^{t_n} \left| \rho\left(\frac{s}{t_n}\right) \right| \frac{s}{t_n} |he'(s)| \frac{1}{s} ds + O(h^4). \\ &\leq (3E_1 + E_2 + O(h^4)) \int_0^{t_n} \left| \rho\left(\frac{s}{t_n}\right) \right| \frac{1}{t_n} ds + O(h^4). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{t_n} \left| \rho\left(\frac{s}{t_n}\right) \right| \frac{1}{t_n} ds &= \int_0^1 |\rho(z)| dz = \int_0^1 \left| \int_z^1 K\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) K\left(\frac{\eta}{z}\right) \frac{1}{\eta} d\eta \right| dz \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \int_z^1 \left| K\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) K\left(\frac{\eta}{z}\right) \frac{1}{\eta} \right| d\eta dz \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\eta} \left| K\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) \right| \int_0^1 \left| K\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right| \eta d\lambda d\eta \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \left| K\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \right| d\lambda \right)^2 = \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{|K(\sigma)|}{\sigma^2} d\sigma \right)^2 = \beta^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$E_2 \leq \beta^2(3E_1 + E_2) + O(h^4). \tag{4.12}$$

This implies

$$E_2 \leq \frac{3\beta^2}{1 - \beta^2} E_1 + O(h^4). \tag{4.13}$$

Substitute this inequality into (4.9) we obtain

$$E_1 \leq \frac{3\alpha^2\beta^2}{4(1 - \alpha^2)(1 - \beta^2)} E_1 + O(h^4). \tag{4.14}$$

Using the fact of $\beta^2 < \alpha^2$, we have

$$E_1 \leq \frac{3\alpha^4}{4(1 - \alpha^2)^2} E_1 + O(h^4). \tag{4.15}$$

So

$$E_1 \leq \frac{4(1 - \alpha^2)^2}{\alpha^4 - 8\alpha^2 + 4} O(h^4), \tag{4.16}$$

provided $\alpha^4 - 8\alpha^2 + 4 > 0$. Solving this inequality we obtain $\alpha < \sqrt{3} - 1$. Combining (4.16), (4.13), (4.6) and (4.11) the Theorem is thus proved.

Appendix. In the following, we show that the singular kernel of the form $K(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{t_n}\sigma\sigma^\mu}$ ($\mu > 1$), which had been considered in [2], satisfies

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{|K(\sigma)|}{\sigma} d\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} < 1.$$

From the definition of $K(\sigma)$ we have

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{|K(\sigma)|}{\sigma} d\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{l_n \sigma} \sigma^{\mu+1}} d\sigma. \quad (*)$$

Let $\sqrt{l_n \sigma} = t$, then $\sigma = e^{t^2}$, $d\sigma = 2te^{t^2} dt$.

Substituting these expressions into (*) we have

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{|K(\sigma)|}{\sigma} d\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_1^\infty \frac{2te^{t^2} dt}{te^{t^2(\mu+1)}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu t^2} dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} < 1.$$

References

- [1] M.A. Bartoshevich, On a class of Watson transforms, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. USSR*, 220 (1975), 761–764 (In Russian).
- [2] T. Diogo, S. Mckee, and T. Tang, A Hermite-type collocation method for the solution of an integral equation with a certain weakly singular kernel, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 11 (1991), 595–605.
- [3] M.E.A. E1 Tom, On the numerical stability of spline function approximations to solutions of Volterra integral equations of the second kind, *BIT*, 14 (1974), 136–143.
- [4] M.E.A. E1 Tom, Application of spline functions to systems of Volterra integral equations of the first and second kinds, *J. Inst. Math. Appl.*, 17 (1976), 295–310.
- [5] H.S. Hung, The numerical solution of differential and integral equations by spline functions, *MRC Tech. Summ. Report N. 1053*, University of Wisconsin, Madison. (1970)
- [6] A.N. Netravali, Spline approximation to the solution of the Volterra integral equation of the second kind, *Math. Comp.*, 27 (1973), 99–109.
- [7] R.S. Papatheodorou, M.E. Jesanis, Collocation methods for Volterra integro-differential equations with singular kernels, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 6 (1980), 3–8.
- [8] M.H. Schultz, *Spline Analysis*, Prentice Hall, Inc., 1973.
- [9] J.A. Sub-Sizonenko, Inversion of an integral operator by the method of expansion with respect to orthogonal Watson operators, *Siberian Math. J.*, 20 (1979), 318–321.
- [10] T. Tang, S. Mckee and T. Diogo, Production integration methods for an equation with a logarithmic singular kernel, *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 9 (1992), 255–266.