

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF PROJECTOR-SPLINES FOR THE NUMERICAL EVALUATION OF CERTAIN TWO-DIMENSIONAL CPV INTEGRALS^{*1)}

Elisabetta Santi M.G. Cimatori

(Department of Energetica, University of L'Aquila - 67040 Roio Poggio-L'Aquila, Italy)

Abstract

In this paper, product formulas based on projector-splines for the numerical evaluation of 2-D CPV integrals are proposed. Convergence results are proved, numerical examples and comparisons are given.

Key words: 2-D Cauchy principal value integral, Tensor product, projector-splines.

1. Introduction

We consider the numerical evaluation of Cauchy principal value integrals of the form

$$J(f; z, \vartheta) = \int_a^b \int_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} w_1(x) w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{f(x, \tilde{x})}{(x-z)(\tilde{x}-\vartheta)} dx d\tilde{x} \quad (1.1)$$

where $z \in (a, b)$, $\vartheta \in (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$, the weight functions $w_1(x)$, $w_2(\tilde{x})$ and the function f are such that $J(f; z, \vartheta)$ exists.

The numerical evaluation of the integrals (1.1) are of two types: global and local. The global methods have generally to be used when f is differentiable with 'small' derivatives. However, one of the difficulties which occur in the use of global methods usually based on orthogonal polynomials, lies in the fact that a greater accuracy in approximating (1.1) requires to increase the number of the nodes coinciding with the zeros of above polynomials. Therefore, when the weight functions w_1 , w_2 are different from the classical Jacobi weights, the evaluation of the nodes requires a considerable computational effort.

Besides, global methods are generally not appropriate when f behave 'badly' in some subinterval of $[a, b] \times [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$, then for such integrals a local method with no restriction on the choice of the nodes would have to be preferred.

In this paper we will consider an approximation function of the form:

$$Q_{N\tilde{N}}f(x, \tilde{x}) = \sum_{i=1-k}^{N-1} \sum_{\tilde{i}=1-k}^{\tilde{N}-1} (\lambda_{i\tilde{i}} \tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}} f) B_{i\tilde{i}k}(x, \tilde{x}) \quad (1.2)$$

in which the operators $\lambda_{i\tilde{i}}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}}$ are such that $Q_{N\tilde{N}}$ is the tensor product of two one-dimensional projector-splines and we will examine a cubature rule for (1.1), considering that it can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} J(f; z, \vartheta) &= \int_a^b \int_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} w_1(x) w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{f(x, \tilde{x}) - f(z, \vartheta)}{(x-z)(\tilde{x}-\vartheta)} dx d\tilde{x} + \\ &+ f(z, \vartheta) \int_a^b \frac{w_1(x)}{x-z} dx \int_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} \frac{w_2(\tilde{x})}{\tilde{x}-\vartheta} d\tilde{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

* Received August 17, 1998; Final revised October 15, 2000.

¹⁾ Work sponsored by M.U.R.S.T. and C.N.R. of Italy.

and then, it can be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned} J_{N\tilde{N}}(f; z, \vartheta) &= \int_a^b \int_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} w_1(x) w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{Q_{N\tilde{N}} f(x, \tilde{x}) - Q_{N\tilde{N}} f(z, \vartheta)}{(x-z)(\tilde{x}-\vartheta)} dx d\tilde{x} + \\ &+ f(z, \vartheta) \int_a^b \frac{w_1(x)}{x-z} dx \int_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} \frac{w_2(\tilde{x})}{\tilde{x}-\vartheta} d\tilde{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will present some preliminaries and summarize numerical techniques to be used; in Section 3 we will prove the convergence of the integration rules here proposed and we give conditions for their uniform convergence for (ζ, ϑ) belonging to any closed interval contained in $(a, b) \times (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$. Finally, in Section 4, some numerical results are presented and compared with those obtained by using the method proposed in [2].

2. Preliminaries

Given $\Omega := [a, b] \times [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$, let $\{Y_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{Y}_{\tilde{n}}\}$ be two sequences of partitions of $I := [a, b]$ and $\tilde{I} := [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$ respectively:

$$Y_n := \{a = y_{0n} < y_{1n} < \dots < y_{nn} = b\}, \quad \tilde{Y}_{\tilde{n}} := \{\tilde{a} = \tilde{y}_{0\tilde{n}} < \tilde{y}_{1\tilde{n}} < \dots < \tilde{y}_{\tilde{n}\tilde{n}} = \tilde{b}\}.$$

If $h_i = y_{i+1} - y_i$ and $\tilde{h}_i = \tilde{y}_{i+1} - \tilde{y}_i$, we define

$$\delta_1 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_{i-1}, \quad \delta_2 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq \tilde{n}} \tilde{h}_{i-1}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $\overline{\Delta}_1, \overline{\Delta}_2$ be the norms of the partitions Y_n and $\tilde{Y}_{\tilde{n}}$ respectively, given by

$$\overline{\Delta}_1 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_{i-1}, \quad \overline{\Delta}_2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq \tilde{n}} \tilde{h}_{i-1}. \quad (2.2)$$

We say that the collection of partitions $\{Y_n \times \tilde{Y}_{\tilde{n}} : n = n_1, n_2, \dots; \tilde{n} = \tilde{n}_1, \tilde{n}_2, \dots\}$ of Ω , is quasi-uniform (*q.u.*) if there exists a positive constant A such that

$$\frac{\overline{\Delta}_i}{\delta_j} \leq A, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 2 \quad (2.3)$$

and we assume that

$$\overline{\Delta}_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \overline{\Delta}_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tilde{n} \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $\{d_{in}\}_1^{n-1}, \{\tilde{d}_{i\tilde{n}}\}_1^{\tilde{n}-1}$ be two sequences of positive integers with $d_{in} \leq k-1, \tilde{d}_{i\tilde{n}} \leq \tilde{k}-1$, where k, \tilde{k} are assigned integers greater than 1, and let π be the non-decreasing sequence $\{x_i\}_0^N$ obtained from Y_n by repeating y_{in} exactly d_i times (thus $N = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i + 1$); similarly, let $\tilde{\pi}$ be the non-decreasing sequence $\{\tilde{x}_i\}_0^{\tilde{N}}$ obtained from $\tilde{Y}_{\tilde{n}}$ (thus $\tilde{N} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{n}-1} \tilde{d}_i + 1$). We denote with $S_{\pi k}$ and $\tilde{S}_{\tilde{\pi} \tilde{k}}$ the polynomial spline spaces of order k and \tilde{k} respectively. We shall call a sequence of spline spaces $\{S_{\pi k} \times \tilde{S}_{\tilde{\pi} \tilde{k}}\}$ *q.u.* if they are based on a sequence of *q.u.* partitions.

We can suppose, without loss of generality, $k = \tilde{k}$.

It is well known that considering the extended partitions $\pi_e = \{x_i\}_{i=1-k}^{N+k-1}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_e = \{\tilde{x}_i\}_{i=1-k}^{\tilde{N}+k-1}$, the normalized B-splines $\{B_{ik}(x)\}_{i=1-k}^{N-1}$ and $\{\tilde{B}_{ik}(\tilde{x})\}_{i=1-k}^{\tilde{N}-1}$ constitute a basis compactly supported for $S_{\pi k}$ and $\tilde{S}_{\tilde{\pi} \tilde{k}}$ respectively. By the above univariate normalized B-splines we may generate a collection of bivariate B-splines, defined on $[x_{1-k}, x_{N+k-1}] \times [\tilde{x}_{1-k}, \tilde{x}_{\tilde{N}+k-1}]$,

$$B_{i\tilde{i}k}(x, \tilde{x}) = B_{ik}(x) \tilde{B}_{i\tilde{i}k}(\tilde{x}).$$

Let F be a linear space of real valued functions on Ω . Then, for any $f \in F$, we may define the approximation operator

$$Q_{N\tilde{N}}f(x, \tilde{x}) = \sum_{i=1-k}^{N-1} \sum_{\tilde{i}=1-k}^{\tilde{N}-1} (\lambda_{i\tilde{i}} \tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}} f) B_{i\tilde{i}k}(x, \tilde{x}). \quad (2.5)$$

Considering that $\lambda_{i\tilde{i}} \tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}} B_{i\tilde{i}k} = (\lambda_{i\tilde{i}} B_{i\tilde{i}k})(\tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}} \tilde{B}_{i\tilde{i}k})$, we have:

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $\{\lambda_{i\tilde{i}}\}_{1-k}^{N-1}$, $\{\tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}}\}_{1-k}^{\tilde{N}-1}$ are linear functionals that constitute a dual basis for $\{B_{i\tilde{i}k}\}_{1-k}^{N-1}$ and $\{\tilde{B}_{i\tilde{i}k}\}_{1-k}^{\tilde{N}-1}$ respectively, then*

$$\xi_{i\tilde{i}} = \lambda_{i\tilde{i}} \tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}} \quad i = 1 - k, \dots, N - 1, \quad \tilde{i} = 1 - k, \dots, \tilde{N} - 1$$

is a dual base for the B-splines tensor product $\{B_{i\tilde{i}k}\}_{i=1-k, \tilde{i}=1-k}^{N-1, \tilde{N}-1}$.

If $\{\lambda_{i\tilde{i}}\}$ and $\{\tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}}\}$ are dual basis for $\{B_{i\tilde{i}k}\}$ and $\{\tilde{B}_{i\tilde{i}k}\}$ respectively, the operator defined in (2.5) is a tensor product of two one-dimensional projector-splines, then

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\xi_{i\tilde{i}} = \lambda_{i\tilde{i}} \tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}}$ be a dual basis for $\{B_{i\tilde{i}k}\}_{i=1-k, \tilde{i}=1-k}^{N-1, \tilde{N}-1}$. Then the operator $Q_{N\tilde{N}}$ defined in (2.5) is a projector i.e.:*

$$Q_{N\tilde{N}}s = s, \quad \forall s \in S_{\pi k} \times \tilde{S}_{\tilde{\pi} k}. \quad (2.6)$$

We will choose

$$\lambda_{i\tilde{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{i\tilde{i}j} \lambda_{i\tilde{i}j}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{\alpha}_{i\tilde{i}j} \tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}j} \quad (2.7)$$

where: $\alpha_{i\tilde{i}1} = 1$, $\alpha_{i\tilde{i}r} = \frac{(k-r)!}{(k-1)!} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r-1} (x_{\nu_\ell} - \tau_{i\ell})$ $r = 2, \dots, k$, (the sum above is taken over all choices of distinct ν_1, \dots, ν_{r-1} from $1, \dots, i+k-1$), the $\alpha_{i\tilde{i}j}$ $j = 1, \dots, k$ are similarly defined. Denoting by $[\tau_{i\tilde{i}1}, \tau_{i\tilde{i}2}, \dots, \tau_{i\tilde{i}j}]$ the $(j-1)$ th-order divided difference functional, we assume

$$\lambda_{i\tilde{i}j} f = [\tau_{i\tilde{i}1}, \tau_{i\tilde{i}2}, \dots, \tau_{i\tilde{i}j}] f, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}j} f = [\tilde{\tau}_{i\tilde{i}1}, \tilde{\tau}_{i\tilde{i}2}, \dots, \tilde{\tau}_{i\tilde{i}j}] f. \quad (2.8)$$

We give now a sufficient condition to assure that $Q_{N\tilde{N}}f$ is a projector.

Theorem 2.1. *For $i = 1 - k, \dots, N - 1$, $\tilde{i} = 1 - k, \dots, \tilde{N} - 1$, let $\{\tau_{i\tilde{i}j}\}_{j=1}^k$, $\{\tilde{\tau}_{i\tilde{i}j}\}_{j=1}^k$ belong to the subinterval $[x_{\nu_i}, x_{\nu_{i+1}}] \subset [x_i, x_{i+k}]$ and $[\tilde{x}_{\tilde{\nu}_i}, \tilde{x}_{\tilde{\nu}_{i+1}}] \subset [\tilde{x}_{\tilde{i}}, \tilde{x}_{\tilde{i}+k}]$ respectively. Then $Q_{N\tilde{N}}f$ is a projector.*

Proof. The proof is based on the definition of $\{\lambda_{i\tilde{i}}\}_{i=1-k}^{N-1}$ and $\{\tilde{\lambda}_{i\tilde{i}}\}_{i=1-k}^{\tilde{N}-1}$, on the Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and the results in [4].

The subinterval $[x_{\nu_i}, x_{\nu_{i+1}}][\tilde{x}_{\tilde{\nu}_i}, \tilde{x}_{\tilde{\nu}_{i+1}}]$ considered in Theorem 2.1 can be selected following [3].

We assume that the space sequences $\{S_{\pi k} \times \tilde{S}_{\tilde{\pi} k}\}$ are *q.u.* For fixed $(t, \tilde{t}) \in \Omega$, let m, \tilde{m} , $0 \leq m \leq N - 1$, $0 \leq \tilde{m} \leq \tilde{N} - 1$ be such that $x_m \leq t < x_{m+1}$, $\tilde{x}_{\tilde{m}} \leq \tilde{t} < \tilde{x}_{\tilde{m}+1}$.

Let $U_{m\tilde{m}} = [x_{m-k+1}, x_{m+k-1}] \times [\tilde{x}_{\tilde{m}-k+1}, \tilde{x}_{\tilde{m}+k-1}]$, we denote

$$\Delta_{m\tilde{m}} = \Delta_m + \tilde{\Delta}_{\tilde{m}} \quad (2.9)$$

with $\Delta_m = \max_{m+1-k \leq j \leq m+k-1} (x_{j+1} - x_j)$, $0 \leq m \leq N-1$ (similar definition for $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tilde{m}}$), and we define $\delta_{m,k-r} = \min_{m+1-k+r \leq j \leq m} (x_{j+k-r} - x_j)$, $0 \leq m \leq N-1$ (similarly for $\tilde{\delta}_{\tilde{m},k-\tilde{r}}$).

For any integer ℓ , $1 \leq \ell \leq k$, we assume

$$\rho_m = \max_{m+1-k \leq i \leq m} \frac{x_{i+k} - x_i}{\sigma_{i\ell}}, \quad \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{m}} = \max_{\tilde{m}+1-k \leq i \leq \tilde{m}} \frac{\tilde{x}_{i+k} - \tilde{x}_i}{\tilde{\sigma}_{i\ell}}$$

where $\sigma_{i\ell} = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sigma_{ij\ell}$, $\sigma_{ij\nu} = \min_{1 \leq \mu \leq j-\nu} [\tau_{ii,\mu+\nu}^{(j)} - \tau_{ii\mu}^{(j)}]$, with $\{\tau_{ii1}^{(j)}, \dots, \tau_{ii j}^{(j)}\}$ the non decreasing rearrangement of $\{\tau_{ii1}, \dots, \tau_{ii j}\}$. In the same way we define $\tilde{\sigma}_{i\ell}$.

For any $h > 0$ and any region Θ , denoting by $D^{v,p-v}\varphi = \frac{\partial^p \varphi}{\partial x^v \partial \tilde{x}^{p-v}}$ and

$$\omega(\psi; h; \Theta) = \sup_{\substack{(x, \tilde{x}), (x+\theta, \tilde{x}+\tilde{\theta}) \in \Theta \\ |\theta|, |\tilde{\theta}| \leq h}} |\psi(x+\theta, \tilde{x}+\tilde{\theta}) - \psi(x, \tilde{x})|,$$

we define: $\omega(D^p \varphi; h; \Theta) = \max_{0 \leq v \leq p} \omega(D^{v,p-v} \varphi; h; \Theta)$.

Suppose $f \in C^p(U_{m\tilde{m}})$, $0 \leq p \leq k-1$. From Theorem 9.2 in [4] we have the following

Theorem 2.2. *Let $0 \leq p \leq k-1$, $f \in C^p(U_{m\tilde{m}})$. Denoting $H_{m\tilde{m}} = [x_m, x_{m+1}] \times [\tilde{x}_{\tilde{m}}, \tilde{x}_{\tilde{m}+1}]$, $0 \leq r + \tilde{r} \leq p$, then:*

$$\max_{(t, \tilde{t}) \in H_{m\tilde{m}}} |D^{r, \tilde{r}}(f - Q_{N\tilde{N}} f)(t, \tilde{t})| \leq K_{m\tilde{m}} \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}^{p-r-\tilde{r}} \omega(D^p f; \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}; U_{m\tilde{m}}) \quad (2.10)$$

$$\max_{(t, \tilde{t}) \in H_{m\tilde{m}}} |D^{r+1, \tilde{r}} Q_{N\tilde{N}} f(t, \tilde{t})| \leq K_{m\tilde{m}} \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}^{p-r-\tilde{r}-1} \omega(D^p f; \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}; U_{m\tilde{m}}) \quad (2.11)$$

$$\max_{(t, \tilde{t}) \in H_{m\tilde{m}}} |D^{r, \tilde{r}+1} Q_{N\tilde{N}} f(t, \tilde{t})| \leq K_{m\tilde{m}} \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}^{p-r-\tilde{r}-1} \omega(D^p f; \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}; U_{m\tilde{m}}) \quad (2.12)$$

where $K_{m\tilde{m}}$ is a constant depending on $k, m, \tilde{m}, p, \rho_m, \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{m}}$ and $\Delta_{m\tilde{m}}/\delta_{m,k-r}, \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}/\tilde{\delta}_{\tilde{m},k-\tilde{r}}$.

Since the spline spaces are *q.u.*, under suitable choices [3] of the nodes $\{\tau_{ij}\}_{j=1}^k$ and $\{\tilde{\tau}_{ij}\}_{j=1}^k$, the quantities $\rho_m, \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{m}}, \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}/\delta_{m,k-r}, \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}/\tilde{\delta}_{\tilde{m},k-\tilde{r}}$ are uniformly bounded for all m, \tilde{m} and for all N, \tilde{N} .

Let $\Delta = \overline{\Delta}_1 + \overline{\Delta}_2$ and the nodes $\{\tau_{ij}\}, \{\tilde{\tau}_{ij}\}$ such that Theorem 2.2 holds with $K_{m\tilde{m}}$ dependent only on k, m, \tilde{m}, p .

From the above local estimate (2.10) a global estimate can be deduced [4]:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f \in C^p(\Omega)$, $0 \leq p \leq k-1$, then*

$$\|f - Q_{N\tilde{N}} f\|_\infty \leq C \Delta^p \omega(D^p f; \Delta; \Omega). \quad (2.13)$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f \in C^p(\Omega)$, $0 \leq p < k-1$ and consider any sequence of *q.u.* spline spaces $\{S_{\pi k} \times \tilde{S}_{\tilde{\pi} k}\}$. If any $S \in S_{\pi k} \times \tilde{S}_{\tilde{\pi} k}$ satisfies for $0 \leq r + \tilde{r} \leq p$*

- $S \in C^p(\Omega)$,
- $|D^{r, \tilde{r}}(f - S)(t, \tilde{t})| \leq C_1 \omega(D^p f; \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}; U_{m\tilde{m}})$, $(t, \tilde{t}) \in H_{m\tilde{m}}$,
- $|D^{r+1, \tilde{r}} S(t, \tilde{t})| \leq C_2 \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}^{-1} \omega(D^p f; \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}; U_{m\tilde{m}})$, $(t, \tilde{t}) \in H_{m\tilde{m}}$,
- $|D^{r, \tilde{r}+1} S(t, \tilde{t})| \leq C_2 \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}^{-1} \omega(D^p f; \Delta_{m\tilde{m}}; U_{m\tilde{m}})$, $(t, \tilde{t}) \in H_{m\tilde{m}}$,

then, [2]

$$\omega(D^p S, \Delta, \Omega) \leq C_3 \omega(D^p f, \Delta, \Omega) \quad (2.14)$$

holds.

We say $f \in H_p(\mu, \mu)$, if f is a continuous function with all partial derivatives of f of order $j = 0, 1, \dots, p$, $p \geq 0$, continuous and each derivative of order p satisfying a Hölder condition of order $0 < \mu \leq 1$.

Since the spline operator reproducing splines and defined in (2.5) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4, we can prove the following

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose $f \in H_p(\mu, \mu)$ in Ω , $0 \leq p < k - 1$ then, for any q.u. projector-spline space $\{Q_{N\bar{N}}f\}$ we have*

$$\|f - Q_{N\bar{N}}f\|_\infty \leq C\Delta^{p+\mu}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$|Q_{N\bar{N}}f(x, \tilde{x}) - Q_{N\bar{N}}f(x, \tilde{x}_0)| \leq C_1|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}_0|^{\bar{\mu}} \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$|Q_{N\bar{N}}f(x, \tilde{x}) - Q_{N\bar{N}}f(x_0, \tilde{x})| = C_2|x - x_0|^{\bar{\mu}} \quad (2.17)$$

where $\bar{\mu} = \mu$ if $p = 0$, $\bar{\mu} = 1$ if $p > 0$ and the constants C , C_1 , C_2 are independent of x, \tilde{x} .

Proof. Formula (2.15) is a consequence of (2.13) and of the hypothesis $f \in H_p(\mu, \mu)$. If $p = 0$, by Theorem 2.4 we can deduce (2.16) and (2.17) with $\bar{\mu} = \mu$.

Suppose now $p > 0$. We can write

$$|Q_{N\bar{N}}f(x, \tilde{x}) - Q_{N\bar{N}}f(x, \tilde{x}_0)| = |Q_{N\bar{N}}^{(0,1)}f(x, \eta)(\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}_0)| \leq \bar{C}|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}_0|,$$

$\eta \in (\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}_0)$ and (2.16) holds with $\bar{\mu} = 1$. The same for proving (2.17).

3. Convergence of Quadrature Rules Using Projector-Splines

In this section we consider the numerical evaluation of (1.1) by

$$J(f; z, \vartheta) = J_{N\bar{N}}(f; z, \vartheta) + E_{N\bar{N}}(f; z, \vartheta) \quad (3.1)$$

where $J_{N\bar{N}}(f; z, \vartheta)$ is defined in (1.4).

We assume

- i) $f \in H_p(\mu, \mu)$ in Ω , $0 \leq p < k - 1$,
- ii) $w_1 \in L_1[a, b] \cap DT(N_{\bar{\delta}}(z))$, $w_2 \in L_1[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \cap DT(N_{\bar{\delta}}(\vartheta))$, where DT is the set of Dini type functions i.e., denoting by $\ell(\bar{A})$ the length of interval \bar{A} ,

$$DT(\bar{A}) = \left\{ g \in C^0(\bar{A}) : \int_0^{\ell(\bar{A})} \omega(g; u)u^{-1}du < +\infty \right\},$$

and $N_{\bar{\delta}}(\lambda) := [\lambda - \bar{\delta}, \lambda + \bar{\delta}]$, $\bar{\delta} > 0$. In order to study the convergence of cubatures (3.1) we need some definitions and Lemmas. We define

$$r_{N\bar{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) = f(x, \tilde{x}) - Q_{N\bar{N}}f(x, \tilde{x}), \quad (3.2)$$

$$S_{N\bar{N}}(x) = \int_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{r_{N\bar{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) - r_{N\bar{N}}(x, \vartheta)}{\tilde{x} - \vartheta} d\tilde{x}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$T_{N\bar{N}}(\tilde{x}) = \int_a^b w_1(x) \frac{r_{N\bar{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) - r_{N\bar{N}}(z, \tilde{x})}{x - z} dx. \quad (3.4)$$

Following [5] and [6], we have:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in H_p(\mu, \mu)$, $0 \leq p < k - 1$, $0 < \mu \leq 1$. For any sequence of q.u. projector-spline space $\{Q_{N\bar{N}}f\}$ and for any $0 < \nu < \mu_1 = \min(p + \mu, 1)$, we have:*

$$\frac{|r_{N\bar{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) - r_{N\bar{N}}(x, \tilde{x}_0)|}{|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}_0|^\nu} \leq L_1 \Delta^{p+\mu-\delta}, \quad \frac{|r_{N\bar{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) - r_{N\bar{N}}(x_0, \tilde{x})|}{|x - x_0|^\nu} \leq L_2 \Delta^{p+\mu-\delta}$$

where $\tilde{x} \neq \tilde{x}_0$ in the first inequality, $x \neq x_0$ in the second, and $\delta = \nu \frac{p+\mu}{\mu_1}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f \in H_p(\mu, \mu)$, $0 \leq p < k - 1$, $0 < \mu \leq 1$. For any q.u. projector-spline space $\{Q_{N\bar{N}}f\}$ and for any $0 < \nu < \mu_1 = \min(p + \mu, 1)$, then:*

$$|S_{N\bar{N}}(x)| \leq \bar{C}_1 \Delta^{p+\mu-\delta}, \quad |S_{N\bar{N}}(x) - S_{N\bar{N}}(z)| \leq \bar{L}_1 |x - z|^{\mu_1-\nu}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$|T_{N\bar{N}}(\tilde{x})| \leq \bar{C}_2 \Delta^{p+\mu-\delta}, \quad |T_{N\bar{N}}(\tilde{x}) - T_{N\bar{N}}(\vartheta)| \leq \bar{L}_2 |\tilde{x} - \vartheta|^{\mu_1-\nu} \quad (3.6)$$

where $\delta = \nu \frac{p+\mu}{\mu_1}$.

We state now the following theorem that gives an error bound.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Delta = \bar{\Delta}_1 + \bar{\Delta}_2$ and $f \in H_p(\mu, \mu)$ in Ω , $0 \leq p < k - 1$. Assume that $\{Q_{N\bar{N}}f\}$ is a q.u. sequence of projector-spline spaces. Then*

$$|E_{N\bar{N}}(f; z; \vartheta)| \leq C \Delta^{p+\mu-\gamma} \quad (3.7)$$

where γ is a real number with $0 < \gamma < \mu_1$, as small as we like.

Proof. The approximation $J_{N\bar{N}}(f; z, \vartheta)$ is a tensor product of two formulas of the form

$$\int_a^b w(x) \frac{f(x)}{x-u} dx = \sum_{i=1-k}^{N-1} (\lambda_i f) \mu_i(u) + f(u) \int_a^b \frac{w(x)}{x-u} dx + R(f) \quad (3.8)$$

applied considering firstly the function f for a fixed value of the variable \tilde{x} and operating as a function of x , and then considering f for a fixed x and affecting the variable \tilde{x} . It is straightforward to notice that the remainder terms $R_1(f; \tilde{x})$ and $R_2(f; x)$ in the above formulas coincide with $T_{N\bar{N}}(\tilde{x})$ and $S_{N\bar{N}}(x)$ respectively.

Therefore, following [9], we can write the remainder term of (3.1) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} E_{N\bar{N}}(f; z, \vartheta) &= \int_a^b \frac{w_1(x)}{x-z} (R_2(f; x)) dx + \\ &+ \int_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} \frac{w_2(\tilde{x})}{\tilde{x}-\vartheta} (R_1(f; \tilde{x})) d\tilde{x} - R_2(R_1(f; x); \tilde{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{N\bar{N}}(f; z, \vartheta) &= \int_a^b w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\bar{N}}(x) - S_{N\bar{N}}(z)}{x-z} dx + \\ &+ W_2(\vartheta) T_{N\bar{N}}(\vartheta) + W_1(z) S_{N\bar{N}}(z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where $W_1(z) = \int_a^b \frac{w_1(x)}{x-z} dx$, $W_2(\vartheta) = \int_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{b}} \frac{w_2(\tilde{x})}{\tilde{x}-\vartheta} d\tilde{x}$.

By the properties (3.5), for $0 < \varepsilon < \mu_1 - \nu$, we have:

$$\frac{|S_{N\bar{N}}(x) - S_{N\bar{N}}(z)|}{|x-z|^\varepsilon} \leq \bar{L}_3 \Delta^{p+\mu-\delta_1} \quad (3.11)$$

where $\delta_1 = \delta + (p + \mu - \delta)(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu_1 - \nu})$ and \bar{L}_3 is a real constant.

From (3.5), (3.6), (3.11) and the hypotheses (ii), the thesis follows with $\gamma = \delta_1$.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $w_1 \in L_1[a, b] \cap C(a, b)$, $w_2 \in L_1[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \cap C(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$ and $f \in H_p(\mu, \mu)$ in Ω . Assume that $\{Q_{N\tilde{N}}f\}$ is a q.u. sequence of projector-spline spaces. If D, \tilde{D} are any closed intervals in $\mathring{I}, \mathring{\tilde{I}}$ respectively, then*

$$E_{N\tilde{N}}(f; z, \vartheta) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty, \tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } (z, \vartheta) \in D \times \tilde{D}. \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. In the above hypotheses, as $N \rightarrow \infty, \tilde{N} \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly } \forall \vartheta \in \mathring{\tilde{I}}, T_{N\tilde{N}}(\tilde{x}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly } \forall z \in \mathring{I}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\int_a^b w_1(x) \frac{S_{N\tilde{N}}(x) - S_{N\tilde{N}}(z)}{x - z} dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly } \forall (z, \vartheta) \in \mathring{I} \times \mathring{\tilde{I}}. \quad (3.14)$$

In fact, by (3.2), since $|r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) - r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \vartheta)| \leq B|\tilde{x} - \vartheta|^{\mu_1}$ and w_2 is bounded in $N_{\bar{\delta}}(\vartheta)$, we have

$$\left| \int_{N_{\bar{\delta}}(\vartheta)} w_2(\tilde{x}) \frac{r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \tilde{x}) - r_{N\tilde{N}}(x, \vartheta)}{\tilde{x} - \vartheta} d\tilde{x} \right| \leq \bar{c} \int_{N_{\bar{\delta}}(\vartheta)} |\tilde{x} - \vartheta|^{\mu_1 - 1} d\tilde{x} < \varepsilon$$

if $\bar{\delta} < \bar{\delta}_1(\varepsilon)$. Hence $|S_{N\tilde{N}}(x)| \leq \varepsilon + 2 \frac{\|r_{N\tilde{N}}\|}{\bar{\delta}} \int_a^{\bar{b}} w_2(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}$ and the first limit in (3.13) holds. The same for the other limits.

If $z \in D$ and $\vartheta \in \tilde{D}$, $W_1(z)$ and $W_2(\vartheta)$ are finite and continuous as function of z and ϑ respectively, then (3.12) holds.

4. Numerical Results

We consider now the evaluation of (1.1) by (1.4) for some integrand and weight functions.

In the tables 1-3 below, we denote: $E_{N\tilde{N}}^{(1)}$ the absolute error obtained by using the cubature rules here presented, $E_{N\tilde{N}}^{(2)}$ the absolute error obtained by using the cubature rules considered in [2], n, \tilde{n} the knots number of the partitions Y_n and $\tilde{Y}_{\tilde{n}}$.

We performed our examples considering uniform partitions on $[a, b] := [-1, 1]$, $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] := [-1, 1]$, using simple knots and choosing

$$\tau_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_{\nu_i} + j \frac{x_{\nu_i+1} - x_{\nu_i}}{k} & \text{if } \nu_i \neq N - 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, k \\ x_{N-1} + j \frac{x_N - x_{N-1}}{k+1} & \text{if } \nu_i = N - 1 \end{cases}$$

and, in similar way, $\tilde{\tau}_{ij}$.

Table 1

$w_1(x) = 1, w_2(\tilde{x}) = (1 - \tilde{x}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, f(x, \tilde{x}) = (25 - x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (25 - \tilde{x}^2)^{-1}$							
$J(f; z; \vartheta)$	z	ϑ	k	n	\tilde{n}	$E_{N\tilde{N}}^{(1)}$	$E_{N\tilde{N}}^{(2)}$
0.0001232465	0.25	0.25	4	3	3	$8.91 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.68 \cdot 10^{-6}$
				10	10	$9.69 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$4.57 \cdot 10^{-8}$
				20	20	$8.92 \cdot 10^{-11}$	$1.80 \cdot 10^{-9}$

Table 2

$w_1(x) = (1 - x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, w_2(\tilde{x}) = (1 - \tilde{x}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, f(x, \tilde{x}) = (x^2 + 10^{-2})^{-1} (25 + \tilde{x}^2)^{-1}$							
$J(f; z; \vartheta)$	z	ϑ	k	n	\tilde{n}	$E_{N\tilde{N}}^{(1)}$	$E_{N\tilde{N}}^{(2)}$
0.5061494369	0.25	0.99	4	30	10	$5.46 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$9.56 \cdot 10^{-3}$
				60	20	$3.29 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.27 \cdot 10^{-4}$
				90	30	$1.72 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$3.57 \cdot 10^{-5}$

Table 3

$w_1(x) = 1, w_2(\tilde{x}) = 1, f(x, \tilde{x}) = \sin(x + \tilde{x})$							
$J(f; z; \vartheta)$	z	ϑ	k	n	\tilde{n}	$E_{N, \tilde{N}}^{(1)}$	$E_{N, \tilde{N}}^{(2)}$
-3.717033709	0.25	0.6	5	10	10	$1.01 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$6.49 \cdot 10^{-5}$
				20	20	$5.27 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$7.59 \cdot 10^{-7}$
				30	30	$3.12 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$4.83 \cdot 10^{-8}$

References

- [1] C. Dagnino, V. Demichelis and E. Santi, Local spline approximation methods for singular product integration, *J. Approx. Theory Appl.*, **12** (1996), 37-51.
- [2] C. Dagnino, S. Perotto, E. Santi, Product formulas based on spline approximation for the numerical evaluation of certain 2-D CPV integrals, International Conference on Approximation and Optimization, (Romania) ICAOR, Cluj-Napoca, July 29 - August 1, 1996.
- [3] C. Dagnino, E. Santi, Quadrature rules based on projector-splines for product singular integration, *Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai, Mathematica*, **XLI** (1996), 35-47.
- [4] T. Lyche, L.L. Schumaker, Local spline approximation methods, *J. Approx. Theory*, **15** (1975), 294-325.
- [5] G. Monegato, Convergence of product formulas for the numerical evaluation of certain two-dimensional Cauchy principal value integrals, *Numer. Math.*, **43** (1984), 161-173.
- [6] N.I. Muskhelishvili, Singular integral equations, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1953.
- [7] P. Rabinowitz, E. Santi, On the uniform convergence of Cauchy principal values of quasi-interpolating splines, *Bit*, **35** (1995), 277-290.
- [8] L.L. Schumaker, Spline functions, John Wiley & Sons, New-York, 1981.
- [9] D.D. Stancu, The remainder of certain linear approximation formulas in two variables, *J. SIAM Numer. Anal.*, **1** (1964), 137-163.