

THE MORTAR ELEMENT METHOD FOR A NONLINEAR BIHARMONIC EQUATION ^{*1)}

Zhong-ci Shi Xue-jun Xu

(LSEC, ICMSEC, Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Beijing 100080, China)

Abstract

The mortar element method is a new domain decomposition method (DDM) with nonoverlapping subdomains. It can handle the situation where the mesh on different subdomains need not align across interfaces, and the matching of discretizations on adjacent subdomains is only enforced weakly. But until now there has been very little work for nonlinear PDEs. In this paper, we will present a mortar-type Morley element method for a nonlinear biharmonic equation which is related to the well-known Navier-Stokes equation. Optimal energy and H^1 -norm estimates are obtained under a reasonable elliptic regularity assumption.

Mathematics subject classification: 65F10, 65N30, 65N55.

Key words: Mortar method, Nonlinear biharmonic equation, H^1 -norm error, Energy norm error.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the mortar finite element method as a special domain decomposition methodology appears very attractive because it can handle the situation where meshes on different subdomains need not align across interfaces, and the matching of the solutions on adjacent subdomains is only enforced weakly. We refer to [3], [5], [6] for the general presentation of the mortar element method. Recently, there have been many works in constructing efficient iterative solvers for the discrete system resulting from the mortar element method (cf. In [1], [2], [20], [17], [21], [22]). So far, many mortar element methods were presented for solving linear elliptic problems. Very little work has been done for the nonlinear problems. In this direction, a mortar finite element for quasilinear elliptic problems was considered in [14], while the mortar element methods for some variational inequalities were developed in [4], [12].

The mortar element method for biharmonic problems also attracted many authors' attentions. For instance, the mortar finite element method for some plate elements, like the conforming Hsieh-Clough-Tocher, the reduced Hsieh-Clough-Tocher and a nonconforming Morley element, was studied by Marcinkowski in [15]. But his error estimate requires that the solution is very smooth (in $H^4(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega)$) which is generally not valid, even for some convex polygonal domains. Recently, Huang, Li and Chen [13] extended this work and obtained an optimal error estimate with a weaker elliptic regularity assumption ($H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega)$). An efficient multigrid for such kind of mortar element method was proposed in [23]. But till now there have been no results for the nonlinear counterparts. In this paper, we shall design an effective mortar element method for a nonlinear biharmonic equation which is related to the well known Navier-Stokes equation. Optimal energy and H^1 -norm estimates are obtained under the weaker elliptic regularity assumption ($H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega)$).

* Received August 25, 2004.

¹⁾ This work was subsidized by the special funds for major state basic research projects under 2005CB321700 and a grant from the National Science Foundation (NSF) of China (No. 10471144).

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the model problem. In section 3, we shall present the mortar-type Morley element method, some preliminary shall be given in this section. Optimal energy and H_1 norm error estimates shall be studied in section 4.

2. Model Problem

We consider the following nonlinear biharmonic equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{R_e} \Delta^2 u = Bu + f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \partial_n u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where Ω is a convex polygonal domain in R^2 , $n = (n_1, n_2)$ denotes the unit outward normal vector along the boundary $\partial\Omega$, and

$$Bu = \partial_x(\partial_y u \Delta u) - \partial_y(\partial_x u \Delta u) = \partial_y u \Delta \partial_x u - \partial_x u \Delta \partial_y u.$$

Let $H^r(\Omega)$ denote the standard Sobolev space of order $r \geq 0$ with respect to domain Ω , equipped with the standard norm $\|\cdot\|_r$. Define the subspace

$$H_0^2(\Omega) = \{v \in H^2(\Omega) : v = \partial_n v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}.$$

Let $|\cdot|_r$ be the seminorm over the Sobolev space $H^r(\Omega)$. It is known that $|\cdot|_2$ is a norm over the space $H_0^2(\Omega)$ and (cf. [8] for details)

$$|v|_2 = \|\Delta v\|_0, \quad \forall v \in H_0^2(\Omega).$$

The variational form of (2.1) is to find $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{R_e} a(u, v) = (\partial_x u \Delta u, \partial_y v) - (\partial_y u \Delta u, \partial_x v) + (f, v), \quad \forall v \in H_0^2(\Omega), \quad (2.2)$$

where f is a function in $L^2(\Omega)$, and

$$a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta v dx dy,$$

$$(f, v) = \int_{\Omega} f v dx dy.$$

By the Sobolev embedding Theorem, we know that

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^4} \leq C_0 |v|_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\|_0 \leq C_1 |v|_2, \quad \forall v \in H_0^2(\Omega). \quad (2.3)$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_{L^4}$ is the norm over the space $L^4(\Omega)$. In this paper C with or without subscript and superscript denotes a positive constant.

It is known ([7],[10]) that (2.2) has a unique solution $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ which satisfies

$$\|\Delta u\|_0 \leq C_1 R_e \|f\|_0$$

under the assumption

$$R_e < \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_0^2 C_1 \|f\|_0}}. \quad (2.4)$$

3. The Mortar-type Morley Nonconforming Element

We now introduce a mortar finite element method for solving (2.2). First, we partition Ω into nonoverlapping polygonal subdomains such that

$$\bar{\Omega} = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \bar{\Omega}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j.$$

They are arranged so that the intersection of $\bar{\Omega}_i \cap \bar{\Omega}_j$ for $i \neq j$ is either an empty set, an edge or a vertex, i.e., the partition is geometrically conforming. The interface

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \partial\Omega_i \setminus \partial\Omega$$

is broken into a set of disjoint open straight segments $\gamma_m (1 \leq m \leq M)$ (that are the edges of subdomains) called mortars, i.e.

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{m=1}^M \tilde{\gamma}_m, \quad \gamma_m \cap \gamma_n = \emptyset, \quad \text{if } m \neq n.$$

We denote the common open edge to Ω_i and Ω_j by γ_m . By $\gamma_{m(i)}$ we emphasize that the edge γ_m associated with subdomain Ω_i is a mortar, while the other edge, which geometrically occupies the same place, is denoted by $\delta_{m(j)}$. We refer to it as a nonmortar and the subdomain to which it belongs is Ω_j .

Let Γ_h^i be the triangulation of Ω_i with the mesh size h_i . The triangulation generally does not align at the subdomain interface. Denote the global mesh $\cup_i \Gamma_h^i$ by Γ_h with the mesh size $h = \max_i h_i$. Moreover let $\underline{h} = \min_i h_i$.

We first define the following Morley element space locally:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{h,i} = \{ & v | v|_K \in P_2(K), \forall K \in \Gamma_h^i, v \text{ is continuous} \\ & \text{at each vertex } p \text{ of } K, \text{ and } \partial_n v \text{ is continuous} \\ & \text{at midpoint } m \text{ of each edge of } K. \text{ Moreover} \\ & v(p) = \partial_n v(m) = 0, \text{ if } p, m \text{ also belong to } \partial\Omega \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\tilde{V}_h = \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{V}_{h,i} = \{v_h | v_h|_{\Omega_i} = v_{h,i} \in \tilde{V}_{h,i}\}.$$

For any interface $\gamma_m = \gamma_{m(i)} = \delta_{m(j)}$, $1 \leq m \leq \tilde{N}$, there are two different and independent 1D triangulations $\Gamma_h(\gamma_{m(i)})$ and $\Gamma_h(\delta_{m(j)})$. Meanwhile, there are two sets of vertices belonging to γ_m : the vertices of the elements belonging to $\Gamma_h(\gamma_{m(i)})$ and to $\Gamma_h(\delta_{m(j)})$ are denoted by $\gamma_{h,m(i)}^P$ and $\delta_{h,m(j)}^P$, respectively. Similarly, there are two sets of midpoints belonging to γ_m : the midpoints of the elements belonging to $\Gamma_h(\gamma_{m(i)})$ and to $\Gamma_h(\delta_{m(j)})$ are denoted by $\gamma_{h,m(i)}^M$ and $\delta_{h,m(j)}^M$, respectively. Moreover, we need an auxiliary test space $S_h(\delta_{m(j)})$ which is a subspace of the space $L^2(\delta_{m(j)})$ such that every function in this space is piecewise constant on each element of the nonmortar triangulation $\Gamma_h(\delta_{m(j)})$. The dimension of $S_h(\delta_{m(j)})$ is equal to the number of midpoints on $\delta_{m(j)}$, i.e., to the number of elements on $\delta_{m(j)}$.

For each nonmortar $\delta_{m(j)}$, define an L^2 -projection operator $Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}} : L^2(\gamma_m) \rightarrow S_h(\delta_{m(j)})$ by

$$(Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}} v, w) = (v, w), \quad \forall w \in S_h(\delta_{m(j)}), \quad (3.1)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the L^2 inner product over the space $L^2(\delta_{m(j)})$.

We now define the mortar-type Morley finite element space as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V_h = \{ & v|v \in \tilde{V}_h, \text{ and } \forall \gamma_m = \gamma_{m(i)} = \delta_{m(j)}, \\ & Q_{h, \delta_{m(j)}}(\partial_{n_\delta} v|_{\gamma_{m(i)}}) = Q_{h, \delta_{m(j)}}(\partial_{n_\delta} v|_{\delta_{m(j)}}) \\ & \text{and } v|_{\gamma_{m(i)}}(p) = v|_{\delta_{m(j)}}(p), \forall p \in \delta_{h, m(j)}^P \}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where n_δ means the unit outward normal along the interface γ_m with the direction from $\delta_{m(j)}$ to $\gamma_{m(i)}$.

Define

$$|v|_{t, h, \Omega_i}^2 \hat{=} \sum_{K \in \Gamma_h^i} |v|_{t, K}^2, \quad |v|_{t, h}^2 \hat{=} \sum_{i=1}^N |v|_{t, h, \Omega_i}^2, \quad t = 0, 1, 2,$$

and

$$\|v\|_{t, h, \Omega_i}^2 \hat{=} \sum_{K \in \Gamma_h^i} \|v\|_{t, K}^2, \quad \|v\|_{t, h}^2 \hat{=} \sum_{i=1}^N \|v\|_{t, h, \Omega_i}^2, \quad t = 0, 1, 2,$$

where $|\cdot|_{t, K}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{t, K}$ are the usual semi-norm and norm in the Sobolev space $H^t(K)$, respectively, $\|\cdot\|_0 \hat{=} |\cdot|_{0, h}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{0, \Omega_i} \hat{=} |\cdot|_{0, h, \Omega_i}$. From [13], we know that $|\cdot|_{2, h}$ is a norm over the space V_h .

Next, we give some preliminary lemmas which will be used later.

We can construct an operator π_h from V_h to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ which holds the following approximate property (cf. [23] for details).

Lemma 3.1. (cf. [23]) *There exists an operator π_h from V_h to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that*

$$|v - \pi_h v|_{t, h, \Omega_i} \leq C_2 h_i^{2-t} (|v|_{2, h, \Omega_i}^2 + \sum_{\Omega_j} |v|_{2, h, \Omega_j}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall v \in V_h, \quad t = 0, 1,$$

where the sum is taken over all Ω_j such that $meas(\partial\Omega_j \cap \partial\Omega_i) \neq 0$, $j \neq i$.

Based on Lemma 3.1, we can easy to check that

$$|v - \pi_h v|_{t, h} \leq C_2 M h^{2-t} |v|_{2, h}, \quad (3.3)$$

where M is

$$M = \max_i M_i, \quad M_i = card\{\Omega_j | meas(\partial\Omega_j \cap \partial\Omega_i) \neq 0\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *For any $v \in V_h$, it holds that*

$$\|v\|_0 + |v|_{1, h} \leq C_3 |v|_{2, h}.$$

Proof: See the proof in the appendix of this paper.

Condition A. *There exists a positive constant C_a independent of the mesh size h such that*

$$h \leq C_a \underline{h}^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

In the following of this paper, we always assume that the condition A is valid.

Lemma 3.3. *For any $v \in V_h$, it holds that*

$$\|\nabla_h v\|_{L^4} \leq C_4 |v|_{2, h},$$

where $\|\nabla_h v\|_{L^4} = (\sum_{K \in \Gamma_h} \|\nabla v\|_{L^4(K)}^4)^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

Proof. See the proof in the appendix of this paper.

The finite element problem corresponding to (2.2) is to find $u_h \in V_h$ such that

$$\frac{1}{R_e} a_h(u_h, v_h) = (\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y v_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x v_h)_h + (f, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_h(u_h, v_h) &= \sum_{K \in \Gamma_h} \int_K \{ \Delta u_h \Delta v_h + (1 - \sigma)(2\partial_{xy} u_h \partial_{xy} v_h \\ &\quad - \partial_{xx} u_h \partial_{yy} v_h - \partial_{yy} u_h \partial_{xx} v_h) \} dx dy, \\ &(\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y v_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x v_h)_h \\ &= \sum_{K \in \Gamma_h} [(\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y v_h)_K - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x v_h)_K], \end{aligned}$$

here $0 < \sigma < 0.5$. It is known that (cf. [8])

$$\begin{aligned} a_h(v, v) &\geq (1 - \sigma)|v|_{2,h}, \\ a_h(v, w) &\leq (1 + \sigma)|v|_{2,h}|w|_{2,h}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Problem (3.4) has a unique solution if condition*

$$R_e < \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \sigma)^2}{\sqrt{2}C_3 C_4^2 \|f\|_0}}$$

is valid.

Proof. We use the Schauder fixed point theorem ([9]) to prove Theorem 3.1. It is easy to check that there exists an operator $A_h : V_h \rightarrow V_h$ such that

$$(A_h v, w) = a_h(v, w) \quad \forall v, w \in V_h.$$

So for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, there exists an $f_{0,h} \in V_h$ such that

$$f_{0,h} = A_h^{-1} Q_h f,$$

where Q_h is the L^2 -projection from $L^2(\Omega)$ to V_h such that

$$(Q_h v, w) = (v, w), \quad \forall v \in L^2(\Omega), w \in V_h.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y v)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x v)_h| \\ &\leq \sum_K \|\nabla u_h\|_{L^4(K)} \|\Delta u_h\|_{L^2(K)} \|\nabla v\|_{L^4(K)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^4} |u_h|_{2,h} \|\nabla_h v\|_{L^4} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} C_4^2 |u_h|_{2,h}^2 |v|_{2,h}, \quad \forall v \in V_h. \end{aligned}$$

So we know that there exists an operator $T_h : V_h \rightarrow V_h$ such that

$$a_h(T_h u_h, v_h) = (\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y v_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x v_h)_h.$$

Furthermore, T_h is compact since V_h is finite-dimensional, and it is easy to check that T_h is continuous.

Problem (3.4) can be expressed as:

$$\frac{1}{R_e}a_h(u_h, v_h) = a_h(T_h u_h, v_h) + a_h(f_{0,h}, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h. \quad (3.5)$$

Then equation (3.5) can be written as:

$$\frac{1}{R_e}u_h = T_h u_h + f_{0,h}. \quad (3.6)$$

According to the Schauder fixed point theorem, (3.6) holds if we can show that the solutions of the following equation with parameter t ($0 \leq t \leq 1$)

$$\frac{1}{R_e}u_h = tT_h u_h + tf_{0,h} \quad (3.7)$$

are bounded in V_h .

In fact, based on (3.7), we have

$$\frac{1}{R_e}a_h(u_h, u_h) = ta_h(T_h u_h, u_h) + ta_h(f_{0,h}, u_h).$$

It is easy to check that

$$a_h(T_h u_h, u_h) = (\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y u_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x u_h)_h = 0.$$

So by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-\sigma}{R_e}|u_h|_{2,h}^2 &\leq \frac{1}{R_e}a_h(u_h, u_h) = t(f, u_h) \\ &\leq \|f\|_0 \|u_h\|_0 \leq C_3 \|f\|_0 |u_h|_{2,h}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get

$$|u_h|_{2,h} \leq \frac{R_e C_3}{1-\sigma} \|f\|_0, \quad (3.8)$$

which ensures that equation (3.4) has at least one solution.

We now prove that the solution of equation (3.4) is unique. Let u_h, u'_h be two solutions of (3.4), that is,

$$\frac{1}{R_e}a_h(u_h, v_h) = (\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y v_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x v_h)_h + (f, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\frac{1}{R_e}a_h(u'_h, v_h) = (\partial_x u'_h \Delta u'_h, \partial_y v_h)_h - (\partial_y u'_h \Delta u'_h, \partial_x v_h)_h + (f, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h. \quad (3.10)$$

Subtracting (3.10) from (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R_e}a_h(u_h - u'_h, v_h) &= (\partial_y u'_h \Delta u'_h, \partial_x v_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x v_h)_h \\ &\quad + (\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y v_h)_h - (\partial_x u'_h \Delta u'_h, \partial_y v_h)_h. \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to check that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\partial_y u'_h \Delta u'_h, \partial_x v_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x v_h)_h \\ &= (\partial_y u_h \Delta (u'_h - u_h), \partial_x v_h)_h + (\partial_y (u'_h - u_h) \Delta u'_h, \partial_x v_h)_h. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_x u'_h \Delta u'_h, \partial_y v_h)_h - (\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y v_h)_h \\ = & (\partial_x u_h \Delta (u'_h - u_h), \partial_y v_h)_h + (\partial_x (u'_h - u_h) \Delta u'_h, \partial_y v_h)_h. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-\sigma}{R_e} |(u_h - u'_h)|_{2,h}^2 & \leq \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(u_h - u'_h, u_h - u'_h) \\ & = (\partial_y u_h \partial_x (u'_h - u_h) - \partial_x u_h \partial_y (u'_h - u_h))_h, \Delta (u'_h - u_h) \\ & \leq \sqrt{2} C_3^2 |u_h|_{2,h} |u'_h - u_h|_{2,h} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} C_4^2 R_e C_3}{1-\sigma} \|f\|_0 |u'_h - u_h|_{2,h}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies uniqueness of the solution if condition

$$R_e < \sqrt{\frac{(1-\sigma)^2}{\sqrt{2} C_3 C_4^2 \|f\|_0}}$$

is valid.

4. Error Estimates

In order to obtain the error estimates of the mortar element solution u_h , we first introduce an interpolant in the mortar element space V_h . Let $\tilde{E}_h^i : H^3(\Omega_i) \rightarrow V_{h,i}$ be the local Morley element interpolation operator. Based on the local operator \tilde{E}_h^i , we define a global interpolation operator $\tilde{E}_h : H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \tilde{V}_h$ as follows: For any $v \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega)$,

$$\tilde{E}_h v = (\tilde{E}_h^1 v_1, \dots, \tilde{E}_h^N v_N) \in \tilde{V}_h.$$

where $v_i = v|_{\Omega_i}$.

For any $v \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega)$, we now give an approximation function over the mortar space V_h as follows:

$$\Pi_h v = \tilde{E}_h v + \sum_{m=1}^M \Xi_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}(\tilde{E}_h v) \in V_h, \quad (4.1)$$

where the operator $\Xi_{h,\delta_{m(j)}} : \tilde{V}_h \rightarrow \tilde{V}_h$ which is defined by

$$(\Xi_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}(v))(p) = \begin{cases} (v|_{\gamma_{m(i)}} - v|_{\delta_{m(j)}})(p) & p \in \delta_{h,m(j)}^P, \\ 0 & \text{other vertices,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\partial_{n_\delta} \Xi_{l,\delta_{m(j)}}(v))(m) = \begin{cases} (Q_{l,\delta_{m(j)}}(\partial_{n_\delta} v|_{\gamma_{m(i)}} - \partial_{n_\delta} v|_{\delta_{m(j)}}))(m) & m \in \delta_{h,m(j)}^M, \\ 0 & \text{other midpoints.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.1. For the operator Π_h defined by (4.1), we have

$$|v - \Pi_h v|_{t,h} \leq C_5 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^{6-2t} \|v\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall v \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega). \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Please refer to [23], [13] for the detailed proof. The basic idea of the proof is to use the approximation properties of the operators $\tilde{E}_h, Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}$ and the mortar condition (3.2).

Similarly, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h(v - \Pi_h v)\|_{L^4} &\leq C_6 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^3 \|v\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_6 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|v\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad v \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where we have used the fact $h_i < 1$.

Next, we shall prove the following energy estimate.

Theorem 4.1. *Let u and u_h be the solutions of the equations (2.2), (3.4), respectively. Then if*

$$R_e < \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \sigma)^2}{2\sqrt{2}C_3C_4^2\|f\|_0}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u - u_h|_{2,h} &\leq (C_{*1} \sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 \\ &\quad + C_{*2} \sum_i h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{*1} = \frac{2\tilde{C}_1(1-\sigma)^2}{(1-\sigma)^2 - 2\sqrt{2}C_4^2R_e^2C_3}$, and $C_{*2} = \frac{2\tilde{C}_2(1-\sigma)^2}{(1-\sigma)^2 - 2\sqrt{2}C_4^2R_e^2C_3}$, \tilde{C}_1 and \tilde{C}_2 are two positive constants which will be defined later.

Proof. Using Green’s formula, we get (cf. [8],[16],[18])

$$a_h(u, v_h) = (-\nabla(\Delta u), \nabla v_h)_h + E_h(u, v_h),$$

where

$$E_h(u, v_h) = \sum_K \left(\int_{\partial K} [\Delta \theta - (1 - \sigma) \partial_{\tau\tau}^2 u] \partial_n v_h ds + (1 - \sigma) \int_{\partial K} \partial_{n\tau}^2 u \partial_\tau v_h ds \right),$$

here $n = (n_1, n_2)$, $\tau = (-n_2, n_1)$ denote the unit normal and tangent vector on ∂K , respectively. By [13],[16], we know

$$|E_h(u, v_h)| \leq C_7 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |v_h|_{2,h}. \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, it is easy to check that for any $v_h \in V_h$, $\pi_h v_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, we have (cf. [8])

$$\frac{1}{R_e} (-\nabla(\Delta u), \nabla \pi_h v_h) = (\partial_x u \Delta u, \partial_y \pi_h v_h) - (\partial_y u \Delta u, \partial_x \pi_h v_h) + (f, \pi_h v_h).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{R_e} a_h(u - u_h, v_h) &= \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(u, v_h) - \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(u_h, v_h) \\
&= \frac{1}{R_e} (-\nabla(\Delta u), \nabla v_h)_h + \frac{1}{R_e} E_h(u, v_h) \\
&\quad - [(\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y v_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x v_h)_h + (f, v_h)] \\
&= \frac{1}{R_e} (-\nabla(\Delta u), \nabla(v_h - \pi_h v_h))_h + (f, \pi_h v_h - v_h) + \frac{1}{R_e} E_h(u, v_h) \\
&\quad + [(\partial_x u \Delta u, \partial_y \pi_h v_h) - (\partial_y u \Delta u, \partial_x \pi_h v_h)] \\
&\quad - [(\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y v_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x v_h)_h] \\
&= \frac{1}{R_e} (-\nabla(\Delta u), \nabla(v_h - \pi_h v_h))_h + (f, \pi_h v_h - v_h) + \frac{1}{R_e} E_h(u, v_h) \quad (4.5) \\
&\quad + [(\partial_x u \Delta u, \partial_y(\pi_h v_h - v_h))_h - (\partial_y u \Delta u, \partial_x(\pi_h v_h - v_h))_h] \\
&\quad + [(\partial_x u \Delta u, \partial_y v_h)_h - (\partial_y u \Delta u, \partial_x v_h)_h] \\
&\quad - [(\partial_x u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_y v_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta u_h, \partial_x v_h)_h] \\
&= \frac{1}{R_e} (-\nabla(\Delta u), \nabla(v_h - \pi_h v_h))_h + (f, \pi_h v_h - v_h) + \frac{1}{R_e} E_h(u, v_h) \\
&\quad + [(\partial_x u \Delta u, \partial_y(\pi_h v_h - v_h))_h - (\partial_y u \Delta u, \partial_x(\pi_h v_h - v_h))_h] \\
&\quad + [\partial_x(u - u_h) \Delta u, \partial_y v_h)_h + (\partial_x u_h \Delta(u - u_h), \partial_y v_h)_h \\
&\quad - (\partial_y(u - u_h) \Delta u, \partial_x v_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta(u - u_h), \partial_x v_h)_h] \\
&\doteq \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i.
\end{aligned}$$

For the terms I_1, I_2, I_3 , we have (cf. [16])

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sum_{i=1}^3 I_i| &\leq \frac{1}{R_e} \sum_{i=1}^N (\sqrt{2} \|u\|_{3, \Omega_i} |v_h - \pi_h v_h|_{1, h, \Omega_i} + R_e \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i} \|\pi_h v_h - v_h\|_{0, \Omega_i}) \\
&\quad + \frac{C_7}{R_e} (\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} |v_h|_{2, h} \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{2} C_2 M + C_7}{R_e} (\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2))^{\frac{1}{2}} |v_h|_{2, h}.
\end{aligned}$$

For I_4 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_4| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^N (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i} |\pi_h v_h - v_h|_{1, h, \Omega_i} + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i} |\pi_h v_h - v_h|_{1, h, \Omega_i}) \\
&\leq C_2 M (\sum_i h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2))^{\frac{1}{2}} |v_h|_{2, h},
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{R_e} a_h(u - u_h, v_h) &\leq \frac{C_2 M + C_7}{R_e} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |v_h|_{2, h} \\
&\quad + C_2 M \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |v_h|_{2, h} \\
&\quad + [\partial_x(u - u_h) \Delta u, \partial_y v_h]_h + (\partial_x u_h \Delta(u - u_h), \partial_y v_h)_h \\
&\quad - (\partial_y(u - u_h) \Delta u, \partial_x v_h)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta(u - u_h), \partial_x v_h)_h.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $e_h = u - u_h$, and $v_h = \phi_h - u_h$ in the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{R_e} a_h(e_h, e_h) &\leq \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(e_h, u - \phi_h) + \frac{C_2 M + C_7}{R_e} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\phi_h - u_h|_{2, h} \\
&\quad + C_2 M \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\phi_h - u_h|_{2, h} \\
&\quad + [(\partial_x e_h \Delta u, \partial_y(\phi_h - u_h))_h + (\partial_x u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_y(\phi_h - u_h))_h \\
&\quad - (\partial_y e_h \Delta u, \partial_x(\phi_h - u_h)) - (\partial_y u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_x(\phi_h - u_h))_h] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(e_h, u - \phi_h) + \frac{C_2 M + C_7}{R_e} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_h|_{2, h} \\
&\quad + \frac{C_2 M + C_7}{R_e} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |u - \phi_h|_{2, h} \\
&\quad + C_2 M \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_h|_{2, h} \\
&\quad + C_2 M \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |u - \phi_h|_{2, h} \\
&\quad + (\partial_x e_h \Delta u + \partial_x u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_y e_h)_h \\
&\quad + (\partial_x e_h \Delta u + \partial_x u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_y(\phi_h - u))_h \\
&\quad - (\partial_y e_h \Delta u + \partial_y u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_x e_h)_h \\
&\quad - (\partial_y e_h \Delta u + \partial_y u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_x(\phi_h - u))_h.
\end{aligned}$$

For the last four terms in the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\partial_x e_h \Delta u + \partial_x u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_y e_h)_h \\
& + (\partial_x e_h \Delta u + \partial_x u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_y (\phi_h - u))_h \\
& - (\partial_y e_h \Delta u + \partial_y u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_x e_h)_h \\
& - (\partial_y e_h \Delta u + \partial_y u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_x (\phi_h - u))_h \\
= & (\partial_x u_h \partial_y e_h - \partial_y u_h \partial_x e_h, \Delta e_h)_h \\
& + (\partial_x e_h \partial_y (\phi_h - u) - \partial_y e_h \partial_x (\phi_h - u), \Delta u)_h \\
& + (\partial_x u_h \partial_y (\phi_h - u) - \partial_y u_h \partial_x (\phi_h - u), \Delta e_h)_h \\
\leq & \sqrt{2} \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^4} \|\nabla_h e_h\|_{L^4} |e_h|_{2,h} \\
& + \sqrt{2} \|\nabla_h e_h\|_{L^4} \|\nabla_h (\phi_h - u)\|_{L^4} |u|_2 \\
& + \sqrt{2} \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^4} \|\nabla_h (\phi_h - u)\|_{L^4} |e_h|_{2,h}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{R_e} a_h(e_h, e_h) & \leq \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(e_h, u - \phi_h) \\
& + \frac{C_2 M + C_7}{R_e} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\phi_h - u_h|_{2,h} \\
& + C_2 M \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |\phi_h - u_h|_{2,h} \\
& + \sqrt{2} \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^4} \|\nabla_h e_h\|_{L^4} |e_h|_{2,h} \\
& + \sqrt{2} \|\nabla_h e_h\|_{L^4} \|\nabla_h (\phi_h - u)\|_{L^4} |u|_2 \\
& + \sqrt{2} \|\nabla_h u_h\|_{L^4} \|\nabla_h (\phi_h - u)\|_{L^4} |e_h|_{2,h}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

By (4.2), (4.3) and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_h e_h\|_{L^4} & \leq \|\nabla_h (u - \Pi_h u)\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla_h (\Pi_h u - u_h)\|_{L^4} \\
& \leq C_6 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_4 |\Pi_h u - u_h|_{2,h} \\
& \leq C_6 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_4 (|\Pi_h u - u|_{2,h} + |e_h|_{2,h}) \\
& \leq (C_6 + C_4 C_5) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_4 |e_h|_{2,h},
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\phi_h = \Pi_h u$ in (4.6) and combining above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{R_e} a_h(e_h, e_h) &\leq \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(e_h, u - \Pi_h u) + \frac{\sqrt{2}C_2 M + C_7}{R_e} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + R_e h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_h|_{2,h} \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{2}C_2 M + C_7}{R_e} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |u - \Pi_h u|_{2,h} \\
&+ C_2 M \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_h|_{2,h} \\
&+ C_2 M \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |u - \Pi_h u|_{2,h} \\
&+ \sqrt{2}C_4 |u_h|_{2,h} [(C_6 + C_4 C_5) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_4 |e_h|_{2,h}] |e_h|_{2,h} \\
&+ \sqrt{2} [(C_6 + C_4 C_5) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_4 |e_h|_{2,h}] \|\nabla_h(u - \Pi_h u)\|_{L^4} |u|_2 \\
&+ \sqrt{2}C_4 |u_h|_{2,h} \|\nabla_h(u - \Pi_h u)\|_{L^4} |e_h|_{2,h}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.8) and (4.2), (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(1-\sigma)}{R_e} |e_h|_{2,h}^2 &\leq \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(e_h, e_h) \leq \frac{1}{R_e} (1+\sigma) C_5 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_h|_{2,h} \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{2}C_2 M + C_7}{R_e} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_h|_{2,h} \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{2}C_2 M + C_7}{R_e} C_5 \sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \\
&+ C_2 M \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_h|_{2,h} \\
&+ C_2 C_5 M \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{2}R_e C_3 C_4}{1-\sigma} (C_6 + C_4 C_5) \|f\|_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_h|_{2,h} \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{2}R_e C_3 C_4^2}{1-\sigma} \|f\|_0 |e_h|_{2,h}^2 \\
&+ \sqrt{2}C_6 (C_6 + C_4 C_5) |u|_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 \right) \\
&+ \sqrt{2}C_6 C_4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |u|_2 |e_h|_{2,h} \\
&+ \sqrt{2}C_4 C_6 \frac{R_e C_3}{1-\sigma} \|f\|_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_h|_{2,h}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|e_h|_{2,h}^2 &\leq \left[\frac{1+\sigma}{1-\sigma} C_5 + \frac{\sqrt{2}C_2M + C_7}{1-\sigma} + \frac{\sqrt{2}C_4C_6R_e}{1-\sigma} |u|_2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\sqrt{2}R_e^2C_3C_4}{(1-\sigma)^2} (C_6 + C_4C_5) \|f\|_0 + \frac{\sqrt{2}C_3C_4C_6R_e^2}{(1-\sigma)^2} \|f\|_0 \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_h|_{2,h} \\
&\quad + \frac{C_2MR_e}{1-\sigma} \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_h|_{2,h} \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{(\sqrt{2}C_2M + C_7)C_5}{1-\sigma} + \frac{\sqrt{2}C_6(C_6 + C_4C_5)R_e}{1-\sigma} |u|_2 \right] \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{C_2C_5MR_e}{1-\sigma} \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2}R_e^2C_3C_4^2}{(1-\sigma)^2} \|f\|_0 |e_h|_{2,h}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By a simple calculation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}R_e^2C_3C_4^2}{(1-\sigma)^2} \|f\|_0 \right) |e_h|_{2,h}^2 \\
&\leq \left[\frac{1+\sigma}{1-\sigma} C_5 + \frac{\sqrt{2}C_2M + C_7}{1-\sigma} + \frac{\sqrt{2}C_4C_6R_e}{1-\sigma} |u|_2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\sqrt{2}R_e^2C_3C_4}{(1-\sigma)^2} (C_6 + C_4C_5) \|f\|_0 + \frac{\sqrt{2}C_3C_4C_6R_e^2}{(1-\sigma)^2} \|f\|_0 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \frac{C_2C_5MR_e}{1-\sigma} + \frac{(\sqrt{2}C_2M + C_7)C_5}{1-\sigma} + \frac{\sqrt{2}C_6(C_6 + C_4C_5)R_e}{1-\sigma} |u|_2 \right] \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right) \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{C_2MR_e}{1-\sigma} + \frac{2C_2C_5MR_e}{1-\sigma} \right] \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right) \\
&\doteq \tilde{C}_1 \sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \\
&\quad + \tilde{C}_2 \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies Theorem 4.1.

In the following, using a Aubin-Nitsche trick, we shall present an optimal H^1 -norm estimate. First, we construct the following auxiliary equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{R_e} \Delta^2 \psi = G\psi + g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \psi = \partial_n \psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G\psi &= \Delta(\partial_x u \partial_y \psi) - \Delta(\partial_y u \partial_x \psi) + \partial_y(\Delta u) \partial_x \psi - \partial_x(\Delta u) \partial_y \psi \\ &= \partial_x u \Delta(\partial_y \psi) - \partial_y u \Delta(\partial_x \psi) + 2\nabla(\partial_x u) \cdot \nabla(\partial_y \psi) - 2\nabla(\partial_y u) \cdot \nabla(\partial_x \psi). \end{aligned}$$

For the above auxiliary problem, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *Equation (4.7) has a unique solution ψ . Moreover the solution satisfies the following a priori estimate*

$$\|\psi\|_3 \leq C' \|g\|_{-1},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{-1} \hat{=} \sup_{\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{(v, \phi)}{|\phi|_1}$ is the norm of the space $H^{-1}(\Omega) = H_0^1(\Omega)'$.

Proof. Please see the proof in the appendix of this paper.

Theorem 4.2. *Let u and u_h be solutions of equations (2.2) and (3.4), respectively. Then*

$$|u - u_h|_{1,h} \leq T_1(h, h_i),$$

where $T_1(h, h_i)$ will be defined later.

Proof. Let $e_h = u - u_h$, then $\pi_h(\Pi_h e_h) = \pi_h(\Pi_h u - u_h) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{R_e} \Delta^2 \psi = G\psi - \Delta \pi_h(\Pi_h e_h) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \psi = \partial_n \psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.2, we know that

$$\|\psi\|_3 \leq C' \| -\Delta \pi_h(\Pi_h e_h) \|_{-1} \leq C' |\pi_h(\Pi_h e_h)|_1. \quad (4.8)$$

On the other hand, by Green's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi_h(\Pi_h e_h)|_1^2 &= \frac{1}{R_e} (\Delta^2 \psi, \pi_h(\Pi_h e_h)) - (G\psi, \pi_h(\Pi_h e_h)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{R_e} (\nabla(\Delta \psi), \nabla(\pi_h(\Pi_h e_h))) - (G\psi, \pi_h(\Pi_h e_h)) \\ &= \frac{1}{R_e} (\nabla(\Delta \psi), \nabla(\Pi_h e_h - \pi_h(\Pi_h e_h))) \\ &\quad - (G\psi, \pi_h(\Pi_h e_h) - e_h) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{R_e} (\nabla(\Delta \psi), \nabla(\Pi_h e_h)) - (G\psi, e_h) \hat{=} \sum_{i=1}^4 II_i. \end{aligned}$$

For the term II_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} |II_1| &\leq \frac{1}{R_e} \|\psi\|_3 \|\nabla(\Pi_h e) - \pi_h(\Pi_h e_h)\|_0 \\ &\leq \frac{C_2}{R_e} \|\psi\|_3 |\Pi_h e_h|_{2,h} \\ &\leq \frac{C_2}{R_e} [(C_5 + C_{*1}) h (\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + C_{*2} h (\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}] \|\psi\|_3. \end{aligned}$$

For II_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|II_2| &\leq \|G\psi\|_0 \|\pi_h(\Pi_h e_h) - e_h\|_0 \\
&= \|\Delta(\partial_x u \partial_y \psi) - \Delta(\partial_y u \partial_x \psi) + \partial_y(\Delta u) \partial_x \psi - \partial_x(\Delta u) \partial_y \psi\|_0 \|\pi_h(\Pi_h e_h) - e_h\|_0 \\
&\leq 4\sqrt{2}(\|u\|_3 \|\psi\|_{1,\infty} + \|u\|_{1,\infty} \|\psi\|_3) (\|\pi_h(\Pi_h e_h) - \Pi_h e_h\|_0 + \|u - \Pi_h u\|_0) \\
&\leq 8\sqrt{2}C_8 C_2 M h^2 [(C_5 + C_{*1} + 1) (\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C_{*2} (\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2))^{\frac{1}{2}}] \|u\|_3 \|\psi\|_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following inequality

$$\|v\|_{1,\infty} \leq C_8 \|u\|_3, \quad \forall v \in H^3(\Omega).$$

For the term II_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
II_3 &= \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(\psi, \Pi_h e_h) - \frac{1}{R_e} E_h(\psi, \Pi_h e_h) \\
&= \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(\psi, \Pi_h u - u) + \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(\psi - \Pi_h \psi, e_h) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{R_e} a_h(\Pi_h \psi, e_h) - \frac{1}{R_e} E_h(\psi, \Pi_h e_h) \\
&\hat{=} \sum_{i=1}^5 J_i.
\end{aligned}$$

For J_1 (cf. [13], [16]),

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_1| &= \left| -\frac{1}{R_e} (\nabla(\Delta\psi), \nabla(\Pi_h u - u))_h + \frac{1}{R_e} E_h(\psi, \Pi_h u - u) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}C_5}{R_e} (\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^4 \|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + \frac{C_5 C_7}{R_e} (\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|\psi\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{C_5(\sqrt{2} + C_7)}{R_e} h (\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 \|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3.
\end{aligned}$$

By the interpolate estimate and Theorem 4.1, we know

$$|J_2| \leq \frac{1+\sigma}{R_e} C_5 h |e_h|_{2,h} \|\psi\|_3.$$

For the term J_3 , using a similar argument as in (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &= \frac{1}{R_e}(-\nabla(\Delta u), \nabla(\Pi_h \psi - \psi))_h + (f, \psi - \Pi_h \psi) + \frac{1}{R_e} E_h(u, \Pi_h \psi - \psi) \\ &\quad + [(\partial_x u \Delta u, \partial_y(\psi - \Pi_h \psi))_h - (\partial_y u \Delta u, \partial_x(\psi - \Pi_h \psi))_h] \\ &\quad + [\partial_x e_h \Delta u, \partial_y \Pi_h \psi)_h + (\partial_x u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_y \Pi_h \psi)_h \\ &\quad - (\partial_y e_h \Delta u, \partial_x \Pi_h \psi)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_x \Pi_h \psi)_h] \\ &\doteq \sum_{i=1}^5 H_i, \end{aligned}$$

here we have used the fact

$$E_h(u, \psi) = 0.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^4 H_i \right| &\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{R_e} + \frac{C_7}{R_e} + 1 \right) C_5 h \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \\ &\quad + C_5 h \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{R_e} + \frac{C_7}{R_e} + 1 \right) C_5 h \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \\ &\quad + C_5 h \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \\ &\quad + [\partial_x e_h \Delta u, \partial_y \Pi_h \psi)_h + (\partial_x u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_y \Pi_h \psi)_h \\ &\quad - (\partial_y e_h \Delta u, \partial_x \Pi_h \psi)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_x \Pi_h \psi)_h]. \end{aligned}$$

For J_4 ,

$$J_4 \leq \frac{C_7 h}{R_e} \|\psi\|_3 |\Pi_h e_h|_{2, h}.$$

So, for the term II_3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |II_3| &\leq \left(\frac{2(\sqrt{2} + C_7)}{R_e} + 1 \right) C_5 h \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \\ &\quad + C_5 h \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{1 + \sigma}{R_e} C_5 h |e_h|_{2, h} \|\psi\|_3 + \frac{C_7}{R_e} h |\Pi_h e_h|_{2, h} \|\psi\|_3 \\ &\quad + [\partial_x e_h \Delta u, \partial_y \Pi_h \psi)_h + (\partial_x u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_y \Pi_h \psi)_h \\ &\quad - (\partial_y e_h \Delta u, \partial_x \Pi_h \psi)_h - (\partial_y u_h \Delta e_h, \partial_x \Pi_h \psi)_h] \end{aligned}$$

For the term II_4 , using Green's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
II_4 &= (\Delta(\partial_x u \partial_y \psi) - \Delta(\partial_y u \partial_x \psi) + \partial_y(\Delta u) \partial_x \psi - \partial_x(\Delta u) \partial_y \psi, e_h)_h \\
&= (\Delta u, \partial_x(\partial_y \psi e_h))_h - (\Delta u, \partial_y(\partial_x \psi e_h))_h + (\partial_x u \partial_y \psi, \Delta e_h)_h - (\partial_y u \partial_x \psi, \Delta e_h)_h + \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i \\
&= (\Delta u, \partial_x e_h \partial_y \psi - \partial_y e_h \partial_x \psi)_h + (\Delta e_h, \partial_x u \partial_y \psi - \partial_y u \partial_x \psi)_h + \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 &= \sum_K \int_{\partial K} (\partial_x u \partial_y \psi + \partial_y u \partial_x \psi) \frac{\partial e_h}{\partial n} ds \\
E_2 &= - \sum_K \int_{\partial K} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (\partial_x u \partial_y \psi + \partial_y u \partial_x \psi) e_h ds \\
E_3 &= \sum_K \int_{\partial K} \Delta u \partial_x \psi e_h n_2 ds \\
E_4 &= \sum_K \int_{\partial K} \Delta u \partial_y \psi e_h n_1 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
II_3 + II_4 &\leq \left(\frac{2(\sqrt{2} + C_7)}{R_e} + 1 \right) C_5 h \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3, \Omega_i}^2 + h_i^2 \|f\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \\
&\quad + C_5 h \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0, \Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \\
&\quad + \frac{1 + \sigma}{R_e} C_5 h |e_h|_{2, h} \|\psi\|_3 + \frac{C_7}{R_e} h |\Pi_h e_h|_{2, h} \|\psi\|_3 \\
&\quad + (-\partial_y e_h \partial_x (\Pi_h \psi - \psi))_h + \partial_x e_h \partial_y (\Pi_h \psi - \psi), \Delta u)_h \\
&\quad + (\Delta e_h, \partial_x u_h \partial_y \Pi_h \psi - \partial_x u \partial_y \psi)_h + (\Delta e_h, \partial_y u \partial_x \psi - \partial_y u_h \partial_x \Pi_h \psi)_h + \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i \\
&\doteq \sum_{i=1}^7 K_i + \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i.
\end{aligned}$$

By [13], [23], we know that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i \right| \leq C_9 h \|u\|_3 |e_h|_{2, h} \|\psi\|_3.$$

For the term K_5 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_5| &\leq \sqrt{2} C_4^2 \|u\|_3 |\psi - \Pi_h \psi|_{2, h} |e_h|_{2, h} \\
&\leq \sqrt{2} C_4^2 C_5 h |e_h|_{2, h} \|u\|_3 \|\psi\|_3.
\end{aligned}$$

For K_6 , we can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
|K_6| &= |(\Delta e_h, \partial_x u_h \partial_y \Pi_h \psi - \partial_x u \partial_y \psi)_h| \\
&= |(\Delta e_h, \partial_x u_h \partial_y \Pi_h \psi - \partial_x u \partial_y \Pi_h \psi + \partial_x u \partial_y \Pi_h \psi - \partial_x u \partial_y \psi)_h| \\
&= |(\Delta e_h, \partial_x e_h \partial_y \Pi_h \psi)_h + (\Delta e_h, \partial_x u \partial_y (\psi - \Pi_h \psi)_h)_h| \\
&\leq \sqrt{2} C_4^2 |e_h|_{2,h}^2 |\Pi_h \psi|_{2,h} + \sqrt{2} C_4^2 |e_h|_{2,h} \|u\|_2 |\psi - \Pi_h \psi|_{2,h} \\
&\leq \sqrt{2} C_4^2 (1 + C_5 h) |e_h|_{2,h}^2 \|\psi\|_3 + \sqrt{2} C_4^2 C_5 M h \|u\|_2 |e_h|_{2,h} \|\psi\|_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$|K_7| \leq \sqrt{2} C_4^2 (1 + C_5 h) |e_h|_{2,h}^2 \|\psi\|_3 + \sqrt{2} C_4^2 C_5 h \|u\|_2 |e_h|_{2,h} \|\psi\|_3.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
II_3 + II_4 &\leq \left(\frac{2(\sqrt{2} + C_7)}{R_e} + 1 \right) C_5 h \left(\sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \\
&\quad + C_5 h \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \\
&\quad + \frac{1 + \sigma}{R_e} C_5 h |e_h|_{2,h} \|\psi\|_3 + \frac{C_7}{R_e} h |\Pi_h e_h|_{2,h} \|\psi\|_3 \\
&\quad + C_9 h \|u\|_3 |e_h|_{2,h} \|\psi\|_3 + \sqrt{2} C_4^2 C_5 h |e_h|_{2,h} \|u\|_3 \|\psi\|_3 \\
&\quad + 2\sqrt{2} C_4^2 (1 + C_5 h) |e_h|_{2,h}^2 \|\psi\|_3 + 2\sqrt{2} C_4^2 C_5 h \|u\|_2 |e_h|_{2,h} \|\psi\|_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Theorem 4.1 and a simple manipulation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\pi_h(\Pi_h e_h)|_1^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^4 II_i \leq C'_{*1} h (\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \\
&\quad + C'_{*2} h \left(\sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\psi\|_3 \\
&\quad + C'_{*3} \sum_{i=1}^N h_i^2 (\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \|\psi\|_3 \\
&\quad + C'_{*2} \sum_i^N h_i^2 (\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2) \|\psi\|_3 \\
&\doteq T(h, h_i) \|\psi\|_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 C'_{*1} &\hat{=} \frac{C_2}{R_e}(C_5 + C_{*1}) + 8\sqrt{2}C_8C_2(C_5 + C_{*1} + 1)Mh^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{2(\sqrt{2} + C_7)}{R_e} + 1\right)C_5 + \frac{1 + \sigma}{R_e}C_5C_{*1} + \frac{C_7}{R_e}(C_5 + C_{*1}) \\
 &\quad + C_9C_{*1}\|u\|_3 + \sqrt{2}C_4^2C_5C_{*1}\|u\|_3 + 2\sqrt{2}C_4^2C_5C_{*1}\|u\|_2; \\
 C'_{*2} &\hat{=} \frac{C_2C_{*2}}{R_e} + 8\sqrt{2}C_8C_2C_{*2}\|u\|_3Mh^2 \\
 &\quad + C_5 + \frac{1 + \sigma}{R_e}C_5C_{*2} + \frac{C_7}{R_e}C_{*2} + C_9C_{*2}\|u\|_3 \\
 &\quad + \sqrt{2}C_4^2C_5C_{*2}\|u\|_3 + 2\sqrt{2}C_4^2C_5C_{*2}; \\
 C'_{*3} &\hat{=} 4\sqrt{2}C_4^2(1 + C_5h)(C_{*1})^2; \\
 C'_{*4} &\hat{=} 4\sqrt{2}C_4^2(1 + C_5h)(C_{*2})^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, by (4.8), we get

$$|\pi_h(\Pi_h e_h)|_1 \leq C'T(h, h_i).$$

Based on the above inequality and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Pi_h e_h|_{1,h} &\leq |\Pi_h e_h - \pi_h(\Pi_h e_h)|_{1,h} + |\pi_h(\Pi_h e_h)|_{1,h} \\
 &\leq C_2Mh|\Pi_h e_h|_{2,h} + C'T(h, h_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u - u_h|_{1,h} &\leq |u - \Pi_h u|_{1,h} + |\Pi_h e_h|_{1,h} \\
 &\leq T_1(h, h_i),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_1(h, h_i) &= C_2(C_{*1} + 2C_5)Mh\left(\sum_i h_i^2(\|u\|_{3,\Omega_i}^2 + R_e^2 h_i^2 \|f\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad + C_2C_{*2}Mh\left(\sum_i h_i^2(\|\partial_x u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2 + \|\partial_y u \Delta u\|_{0,\Omega_i}^2)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C'T(h, h_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

Appendix

In this appendix, we shall give the proofs of Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 4.2.

The proof of Lemma 3.2. For any $g \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$, we consider the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that the above equation has a unique solution $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$\|w\|_1 \leq C'_1 \|g\|_{-1}, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\partial_n w - \partial_{n'} w) v ds = 0, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \forall \Gamma \subset \Omega, \tag{2}$$

where Γ is a broken line in Ω , n and n' are two opposite normal direction of Γ .

By (2) and Green's formula, let π_h denote the operator from V_h to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ given in the section 3 of this paper, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_h v, g) &= (\pi_h v, -\Delta w) \\ &= -\sum_{K \in \Gamma_i} \int_K \partial_n w \pi_h v ds + (\nabla w, \nabla \pi_h v) \\ &= (\nabla w, \nabla \pi_h v) = (\nabla w, \nabla v)_h + (\nabla w, \nabla(\pi_h v - v))_h \\ &= \sum_{K \in \Gamma_h} \int_{\partial K} w \partial_n v ds - (w, \Delta v)_h + (\nabla w, \nabla(\pi_h v - v))_h. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from [19], [13] that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{K \in \Gamma_h} \int_{\partial K} w \partial_n v ds \right| &\leq C'_2 \left(\sum_i h_i^2 \|w\|_{1, \Omega_i}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |v|_{2,h} \\ &\leq C'_2 h \|w\|_1 |v|_{2,h}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.3) and the fact $h < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|-(w, \Delta v)_h + (\nabla w, \nabla(\pi_h v - v))_h| \\ &\leq (\sqrt{2} \|w\|_0 + C_2 M h |w|_1) |v|_{2,h} \\ &\leq \max\{\sqrt{2}, C_2 M\} \|w\|_1 |v|_{2,h}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining above inequalities, and using the fact

$$|\pi_h v|_1 = \sup_{g \in H^{-1}(\Omega)} \frac{(\pi_h v, g)}{\|g\|_{-1}},$$

we get

$$|\pi_h v|_1 \leq C'_1 (C'_2 + \max\{\sqrt{2}, C_2 M\}) |v|_{2,h}.$$

Note that

$$\|\pi_h v\|_0 \leq C'_4 |\pi_h v|_1 \leq C'_4 C'_1 (C'_2 + \max\{\sqrt{2}, C_2 M\}) |v|_{2,h}.$$

Finally, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_0 + |v|_{1,h} &\leq \|\pi_h v\|_0 + |\pi_h v|_1 + \|v - \pi_h v\|_0 + |v - \pi_h v|_{1,h} \\ &\leq \|\pi_h v\|_0 + |\pi_h v|_1 + C_2 M h^2 |v|_{2,h} + C_2 M h |v|_{2,h} \\ &\leq C_3 |v|_{2,h}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 = (C'_4 + 1)C'_1(C'_2 + \max\{\sqrt{2}, C_2 M\}) + 2C_2 M$.

The proof of Lemma 3.3. We introduce an auxiliary mortar element space S_h . First, on each subdomains Ω_i , define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{h,i} &= \{v|_K \in P_1(K), \forall K \in \Gamma_{h,i}, v \text{ is continuous} \\ &\quad \text{at midpoint } m \text{ of each edge of } K. \text{ Moreover} \\ &\quad v(m) = 0, \text{ if } m \text{ also belong to } \partial\Omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\tilde{S}_h = \prod_{i=1}^N \tilde{S}_{h,i}.$$

Next, define

$$S_h = \{v_h | v_h \in \tilde{S}_h, Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}(v_h|_{\delta_{m(j)}}) = Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}(v_h|_{\gamma_{m(i)}}), \text{ for } \forall \gamma_{m(i)} = \delta_{m(j)} \in \Gamma\},$$

where the operator $Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}$ is defined in (3.1).

Because $\partial_n v, \partial_\tau v$ are continuous at the midpoints of each edge of the element $K \in \Gamma_h, \partial_x v, \partial_y v \in S_{h,i}$. On the other hand, by the mortar condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}(\partial_x v_h|_{\delta_{m(j)}}) &= Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}(\partial_{n_\delta} v_h|_{\delta_{m(j)}})\cos(n_\delta, x) + Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}(\partial_{\tau_\delta} v_h|_{\delta_{m(j)}})\cos(\tau_\delta, x) \\ &= Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}(\partial_{n_\delta} v_h|_{\gamma_{m(i)}})\cos(n_\delta, x) + Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}(\partial_{\tau_\delta} v_h|_{\gamma_{m(i)}})\cos(\tau_\delta, x) \\ &= Q_{h,\delta_{m(j)}}(\partial_x v_h|_{\gamma_{m(i)}}), \end{aligned}$$

where n_δ is defined in section 3, and τ_δ denotes the unit tangent vector along γ_m . So $\partial_x v_h \in S_h$. Similarly $\partial_y v_h \in S_h$.

Based on the above observation, we only need to prove that for any $w \in S_h$ we have

$$\|w\|_{L^4} \leq C_1^* |w|_{1,h}.$$

Then Lemma 3.3 is valid.

First we introduce the following auxiliary problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \xi = \theta & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \xi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

It is known that

$$\|\xi\|_1 \leq C_2^* \|\theta\|_{-1}, \quad \|\xi\|_2 \leq C_3^* \|\theta\|_0.$$

Using Green's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta, w) &= (-\Delta \xi, w) \\ &= \sum_{K \in \Gamma_h} (\nabla \xi, \nabla w)_K - \sum_{K \in \Gamma_h} \int_{\partial K} \partial_n \xi w ds. \end{aligned}$$

By [21], we know

$$\left| - \sum_{K \in \Gamma_h} \int_{\partial K} \partial_n \xi w ds \right| \leq C_4^* h \|\xi\|_2 |w|_{1,h} \leq C_4^* C_3^* h \|\theta\|_0 |w|_{1,h}.$$

So

$$(\theta, w) \leq (C_2^* \|\theta\|_{-1} + C_4^* C_3^* h \|\theta\|_0) |w|_{1,h}.$$

Taking $\theta = w^3$ in the above inequality, then

$$\|w\|_{L^4}^4 \leq (C_2^* \|w^3\|_{-1} + C_4^* C_3^* h \|w^3\|_0) |w|_{1,h}.$$

Using the inverse inequality, it is easy to check that

$$\|w^3\|_0^2 = \left(\int_{\Omega} w^6 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|w\|_{L^6}^3 \leq C_5^* \underline{h}^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|w\|_{L^4}^3.$$

On the other hand, for any $\xi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (w^3, \xi) &= \left(\int_{\Omega} w^4 dx\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \xi^4 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &= \|w\|_{L^4}^3 \|\xi\|_{L^4} \leq C_6^* \|w\|_{L^4}^3 |\xi|_1, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following Sobolev inequality

$$\|\xi\|_{L^4} \leq C_6^* |\xi|_1.$$

Then

$$\|v^3\|_{-1} \leq C_6^* \|v\|_{L^4}^3.$$

Finally, by condition (A), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{L^4} &\leq (C_2^* C_6^* + C_4^* C_3^* C_5^* h^{-\frac{1}{4}} h) |w|_{1,h} \\ &\leq C_1^* |w|_{1,h}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1^* = C_2^* C_6^* + C_4^* C_3^* C_5^* C_a$.

The proof of Lemma 4.2. First we consider the following biharmonic equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{R_e} \Delta^2 \psi = Gv + g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \psi = \partial_n \psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

It is known that the above equation has a unique solution for any $v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$. Thus, there exists a linear operator $T : H_0^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\psi = Tv. \tag{4}$$

Equation (3) can be written as

$$\psi = T\psi. \tag{5}$$

We now prove that T is a compact operator. In fact, based on the regularity result in [7], we know that

$$\|Tv\|_3 = \|\psi\|_3 \leq \bar{C}_2 \|Gv + g\|_{-1} \leq \bar{C}_2 (\|Gv\|_{-1} + \|g\|_{-1}).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|Gv\|_{-1} &= \|\partial_x u \Delta(\partial_y v) - \partial_y u \Delta(\partial_x v) + 2\nabla(\partial_x u) \cdot \nabla(\partial_y v) - 2\nabla(\partial_y u) \cdot \nabla(\partial_x v)\|_{-1} \\ &\leq \|\partial_x u \Delta(\partial_y v)\|_{-1} + \|\partial_y u \Delta(\partial_x v)\|_{-1} \\ &\quad + 2\|\nabla(\partial_x u) \cdot \nabla(\partial_y v)\|_{-1} + 2\|\nabla(\partial_y u) \cdot \nabla(\partial_x v)\|_{-1} \\ &\doteq \sum_{i=1}^4 K_i. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each term separately.

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \sup_{\xi \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{|(\partial_x u \Delta(\partial_y v), \xi)|}{|\xi|_1} \\ &= \sup_{\xi \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{|(\Delta v, \partial_{xy} u \xi + \partial_y \xi \partial_x u)|}{|\xi|_1} \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{C_0^2 \|v\|_2 \|u\|_3 |\xi|_1 + \|v\|_2 |\xi|_1 \|u\|_{1,\infty}}{|\xi|_1} \\ &\leq (C_0^2 + C_8) \|u\|_3 \|v\|_2. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Similarly,

$$K_2 \leq (C_0^2 + C_8)\|u\|_3\|v\|_2,$$

and for $i = 3, 4$,

$$K_i \leq 2C_0^2\|u\|_3\|v\|_2.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\|Tv\|_3 \leq \bar{C}_2[(6C_0^2 + 2C_8)\|u\|_3\|v\|_2 + \|g\|_{-1}]. \tag{7}$$

Since $H^3(\Omega)$ embeds into $H^2(\Omega)$ compactly, the operator T is compact. In the following, we only need to prove that the solution of the following equation is bounded in $H_0^2(\Omega)$:

$$\psi = tT\psi, \quad 0 < t \leq 1,$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{R_e}\Delta^2\psi = t(G\psi + g). \tag{8}$$

Using Green's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R_e}\|\Delta\psi\|_0^2 &= t(\partial_x u \partial_y \psi - \partial_y u \partial_x \psi, \Delta\psi) + t(g, \psi) \\ &\leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^4(\Omega)}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^4(\Omega)}\|\Delta\psi\|_0 + \|g\|_{-1}|\psi|_1 \\ &\leq C_0^2 C_1 R_e \|f\|_0 \|\Delta\psi\|_0^2 + \bar{C}_3 \|g\|_{-1} \|\Delta\psi\|_0, \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{C}_3 satisfies the following Sobolev inequality

$$|\psi|_1 \leq \bar{C}_3 \|\Delta\psi\|_0, \quad \psi \in H_0^2(\Omega).$$

Since $1 - C_0^2 C_1 R_e^2 \|f\|_0 > 0$,

$$\|\Delta\psi\|_0 \leq \bar{C}_4 \|g\|_{-1}, \tag{9}$$

where $\bar{C}_4 = \frac{\bar{C}_3 R_e}{1 - C_0^2 C_1 R_e^2 \|f\|_0}$. By the Schauder fixed point theorem, we know that (3) has a unique solution.

Finally, we prove that the prior estimate is true.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_3 &\leq \bar{C}_2(\|\partial_x u \Delta(\partial_y \psi) - \partial_y u \Delta(\partial_x \psi) + 2\nabla(\partial_x u) \cdot \nabla(\partial_y \psi) \\ &\quad - 2\nabla(\partial_y u) \cdot \nabla(\partial_x \psi)\|_{-1}) + C_2 \|g\|_{-1} \\ &\leq \bar{C}_2(\|\partial_x u \Delta(\partial_y \psi)\|_{-1} + \|\partial_y u \Delta(\partial_x \psi)\|_{-1} \\ &\quad + 2\|\nabla(\partial_x u) \cdot \nabla(\partial_y \psi)\|_{-1} + 2\|\nabla(\partial_y u) \cdot \nabla(\partial_x \psi)\|_{-1}) + C_2 \|g\|_{-1} \\ &\hat{=} \bar{C}_2 \sum_{i=1}^4 K_i + \bar{C}_2 \|g\|_{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the same argument as in (6), we can derive

$$K_i \leq (C_0^2 + C_8)\|u\|_3\|\Delta\psi\|_0, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{10}$$

and

$$K_i \leq 2C_0^2\|u\|_3\|\Delta\psi\|_0, \quad i = 3, 4. \tag{11}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_3 &\leq \bar{C}_2(6C_0^2 + 2C_8)\|u\|_3\|\Delta\psi\|_0 + \bar{C}_2 \|g\|_{-1} \\ &\leq \bar{C}_2(6C_0^2 + 2C_8)\|u\|_3(\eta\|\psi\|_3 + \eta^{-1}|\psi|_1) + \bar{C}_2 \|g\|_{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So if η is sufficiently small, we get

$$\|\psi\|_3 \leq C' \|g\|_{-1},$$

where $C' = \frac{\bar{C}_2(6C_0^2+2C_8)\eta^{-1}\bar{C}_3\bar{C}_4\|u\|_3+\bar{C}_2}{1-\eta C_2(6C_0^2+2C_8)\|u\|_3}$.

References

- [1] Y. Achdou, Yu. A. Kuznetsov, O. Pironneau, Substructuring preconditioner for the Q_1 mortar element method, *Numer. Math.*, **71** (1995), 419-449.
- [2] Y. Achdou, Y. Maday, O.B. Widlund, Iterative substructuring preconditioners for mortar element methods in two dimension, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **36** (1999), 551-580.
- [3] F. Ben Belgacem, The mortar finite element method with lagrange multipliers, *Numer. Math.*, **84** (1999), 173-198.
- [4] F. Ben Belgacem, P. Hild and P. Laborde, Extension of the mortar finite element method to a variational inequality modeling unilateral contact, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **9** (1999), 287-303.
- [5] F.B. Belgacem, Y. Maday, The mortar element method for three dimensional finite elements, *RAIRO* **31** (1997), 289-302.
- [6] C. Bernardi, Y. Maday, and A. T. Patera, A new nonconforming approach to domain decomposition: the mortar element method. In H. Brezis and J.L. Lions, editors, *Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications, College de France Seminar*, Volume XI, number 299 in Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics. Longman Scientific Technical, 1994.
- [7] H.Blum and R.Rannacher, On the boundary value problem of biharmonic operator on domains with angular corners, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **2** (1980), 556-581.
- [8] P.G. Ciarlet, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [9] L.C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, AMS, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [10] V. Girault and P. A. Raviart, *Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations, Theory and Algorithms*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [11] J. Gopalakrishnan, J. P. Pasciak, Multigrid for the mortar finite element method, *SIAM J. Numer. Math.*, **37** (2000), 1029-1052.
- [12] P. Hild, The mortar finite element method for Bingham fluids, *RAIAO M²AN*, **35** (2001), 153-164.
- [13] J. Huang, L. Li and J. Chen, On the mortar-type Morley element method for plate bending problem, *Appl. Numer. Math.*, **37** (2001), 519-533.
- [14] L. Marcinkowski, Mortar element methods for quasilinear elliptic boundary value problems, *East-West J. Numer. Math.*, **4** (1996), 293-309.
- [15] L. Marcinkowski, A mortar element method for some discretizations of a plate problem, to appear in *Numer. Math.*, 2002.
- [16] Z.C. Shi, On error estimates of Morley element, *Chinese J. Numer. Math. Appl.*, **12** (1986), 9-15.
- [17] Z.C. Shi and X. Xu, Multigrid for the Wilson mortar element method, *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.*, **1** (2001), 99-112.
- [18] Z.C. Shi and X. Xu, Cascadic multigrid methods for a plate bending problem, *East-West J. Numer. Math.*, **16** (1998), 385-394.
- [19] F. Stummel, The Generalized patch test, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **16** (1979), 449-471.
- [20] B. Wohlmuth, A multigrid method for saddle point problems arising from mortar finite element discretizations, *ETNA*, **11** (2000), 43-54.
- [21] X. Xu and J. Chen, Multigrid for the mortar element method for P1 nonconforming element, *Numer. Math.*, **88** (2001), 381-398.
- [22] X. Xu and J. Chen, Multigrid for the mortar finite element for parabolic problem, *J. Comput. Math.*, **21** (2003), 411-420
- [23] X. Xu, L. Li and Wenbing Chen, A multigrid method for the mortar-type Morley element approximation of a plate bending problem, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **39** (2002), 1721-1731.