# A SIMPLICIAL ALGORITHM FOR COMPUTING AN INTEGER ZERO POINT OF A MAPPING WITH THE DIRECTION PRESERVING PROPERTY \*1)

Chuang-vin Dang

(Department of Manufacturing Engineering and Engineering Management, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong)

#### Abstract

A mapping  $f: \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is said to possess the direction preserving property if  $f_i(x) > 0$  implies  $f_i(y) \geq 0$  for any integer points x and y with  $\|x-y\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ . In this paper, a simplicial algorithm is developed for computing an integer zero point of a mapping with the direction preserving property. We assume that there is an integer point  $x^0$  with  $c \leq x^0 \leq d$  satisfying that  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (x_i - x_i^0) f_i(x) > 0$  for any integer point x with  $f(x) \neq 0$  on the boundary of  $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid c - e \leq x \leq d + e\}$ , where c and d are two finite integer points with  $c \leq d$  and  $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . This assumption is implied by one of two conditions for the existence of an integer zero point of a mapping with the preserving property in van der Laan et al. (2004). Under this assumption, starting at  $x^0$ , the algorithm follows a finite simplicial path and terminates at an integer zero point of the mapping. This result has applications in general economic equilibrium models with indivisible commodities.

Mathematics subject classification: 90C49.

Key words: Integer Zero Point, Direction Preserving, Simplicial Algorithm, Triangulation, Existence.

## 1. Introduction

The problem we consider in this paper is to compute an integer zero point of a mapping  $f: \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ . The interests in integer zero points or fixed points of a mapping have been inspired by the work in Iimura (2003) though the statement of the existence of a discrete fixed point in Iimura (2003) is incorrect and a corrected statement was given in Iimura et al. (2004) after an application of the integrally convex set defined in Favati and Tardella (1990). A brief introduction to the applications of discrete fixed points of a mapping in economics can be found in Iimura (2003) and references therein.

Following the definition in Iimura (2003), we say that f(x) satisfies the direction preserving property if  $f_i(x) > 0$  implies  $f_i(y) \ge 0$  for any integer points x and y with  $||x - y||_{\infty} \le 1$ . We assume throughout this paper that f(x) satisfies the direction preserving property. Recently, under two different conditions, based on the 2n-ray algorithm in van der Laan and Talman (1981), a constructive proof of the existence of an integer zero point of a mapping with the direction preserving property was obtained in van der Laan et al. (2004). Those two conditions can be stated as follows.

<sup>\*</sup> Received November 1, 2005.

<sup>1)</sup> This work was partially supported by CERG: CityU 101003 of the Government of Hong Kong SAR.

**Condition 1.1.** There exist integer vectors m,  $x^0$ , and M with  $m + e < x^0 < M - e$  and  $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^{\top}$  such that  $(x - x^0)^{\top} f(x) > 0$  for any integer point x on the boundary of  $C = \{y \in R^n \mid m \le y \le M\}$ .

**Condition 1.2.** There exists an integer vector u with u > e such that  $f_k(x)f_k(-y) \le 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , for any two cell connected integer points x and y on the boundary of  $U = \{z \in R^n \mid -u \le z \le u\}$ .

Given these two conditions, the following two theorems can be found in van der Laan et al. (2004).

**Theorem 1.1.** If Condition 1.1 holds, there exists an integer point  $x^* \in C$  such that  $f(x^*) = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** If Condition 1.2 holds, there exists an integer point  $x^* \in U$  such that  $f(x^*) = 0$ .

In Dang (2005), a new condition for the existence of an integer zero point of the mapping was introduced, which is as follows.

**Condition 1.3.** There is an integer point  $x^0$  with  $c \le x^0 \le d$  satisfying that  $\max_{1 \le i \le n} (x_i - x_i^0) f_i(x) > 0$  for any integer point x with  $f(x) \ne 0$  on the boundary of  $H = \{x \in R^n \mid c - e \le x \le d + e\}$ , where c and d are two finite integer points with  $c \le d$  and  $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^{\top}$ .

For Conditions 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3, the following lemma was proved in Dang (2005).

**Lemma 1.1.** Condition 1.1 implies Condition 1.3. However, Condition 1.3 implies neither Condition 1.1 nor Condition 1.2.

Given Condition 3, based on the (n+1)-ray algorithm proposed in van der Laan and Talman (1979), the following theorem was proved in Dang (2005).

**Theorem 1.3.** If Condition 1.3 holds, there exists an integer point  $x^* \in H$  such that  $f(x^*) = 0$ .

In this paper, based on the 2-ray algorithm given in Yamamoto (1984), we will develop a simplicial algorithm for computing an integer zero point of the mapping satisfying Condition 3. The 2-ray algorithm is one of simplicial methods for computing a fixed point of a continuous mapping. The simplical methods were originated in Scarf (1967), and have been substantially developed after Scarf's seminal work (e.g., Allgower and Georg, 2000; Dang, 1991, 1995; Dang and Maaren, 1998; Eaves, 1972; Eaves and Saigal, 1972; Forster, 1995; Kojima and Yamamoto, 1982; Kuhn, 1968; van der laan and Talman, 1979, 1981; Merrill, 1972; Scarf, 1973, 1981; Todd, 1976; Yamamoto, 1983; etc.). The basic idea of the algorithm is as follows. It assigns to each integer point of H an integer label and subdivides H into integer simplices. Starting at  $x^0$ , the algorithm follows a finite simplicial path and terminates at an integer zero point of the mapping. An advantage of the 2-ray algorithm over the (n+1)-ray algorithm is that some more superior triangulations of  $R^n$  can be its underlying triangulations without any modifications.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. An integer labeling rule is introduced in Section 2. The algorithm is given in Section 3.

## 2. Integer Labeling

Let  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $N_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ , and  $u^i$  be the *i*th unit vector of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $I(0) = \emptyset$  and  $I(h) = \{1, 2, \dots, h\}$  for any  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$X(x^{0}, h, \alpha) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \alpha(x_{h} - x_{h}^{0}) \ge 0 \text{ and } x_{j} = x_{j}^{0}, j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I(h)\}.$$

To obtain a simplicial algorithm for computing an integer zero point of f, we need a triangulation of H that subdivides every integer unit cube contained in H into integer simplices. Here, an integer unit cube is a unit cube having only integer vertices and an integer simplex is a simplex having only integer vertices. There are several triangulations suitable for this purpose, which include the  $K_1$ -triangulation in Freudenthal (1942), the  $J_1$ -triangulation in Todd (1976), the  $D_1$ -triangulation in Dang (1991), etc. A specific choice of a triangulation plays however no dominant role at all in this paper though efficiency of simplicial methods depends critically on the underlying triangulation. We will choose the  $D_1$ -triangulation as an underlying triangulation of the algorithm. For completeness of the following discussions, we introduce the  $D_1$ -triangulation here.

A simplex of the  $D_1$ -triangulation of  $R^n$  is the convex hull of n+1 integer vectors,  $y^0$ ,  $y^1$ , ...,  $y^n$ , which are given as follows.

If 
$$p = 0$$
,  $y^0 = y$  and 
$$y^k = y + s_{\pi(k)} u^{\pi(k)}, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ and}$$
if  $p \ge 1$ ,  $y^0 = y + s$ , 
$$y^k = y^{k-1} - s_{\pi(k)} u^{\pi(k)}, \ k = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1, \text{ and}$$
$$y^k = y + s_{\pi(k)} u^{\pi(k)}, \ k = p, p + 1, \dots, n,$$

where y is an integer point of  $R^n$  with every component of  $y-x^0$  being an even number, p an integer with  $0 \le p \le n-1$ ,  $\pi = (\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n))$  a permutation of elements of  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and  $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)^{\top}$  a sign vector with  $s_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Let  $D_1$  be the set of all such simplices. Since a simplex of the  $D_1$ -triangulation is determined by y,  $\pi$ , s and p, we use  $D_1(y, \pi, s, p)$  to denote it.

We say that two simplices of  $D_1$  are adjacent if they have a common facet. We show how to generate all the adjacent simplices of a simplex of the  $D_1$ -triangulation of  $R^n$  in the following. For a given simplex  $\sigma = D_1(y, \pi, s, p)$  with vertices  $y^0, y^1, \ldots, y^n$ , its adjacent simplex opposite to a vertex, say  $y^i$ , is given by  $D_1(\bar{y}, \bar{\pi}, \bar{s}, \bar{p})$ , where  $\bar{y}, \bar{\pi}, \bar{s}, \bar{p}$  are generated according to the following table.

Let  $\mathcal{D}_1$  be the set of faces of simplices of  $D_1$ . A q-dimensional simplex of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  with vertices  $y^0, y^1, \ldots, y^q$  is denoted by  $\langle y^0, y^1, \ldots, y^q \rangle$ . The restriction of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  on  $X(x^0, h, \alpha)$  for any  $h \in N$  and  $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_1|X(x^0,h,\alpha) = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_1 \mid \sigma \subset X(x^0,h,\alpha) \text{ and } \dim(\sigma) = h\},\$$

where dim(·) stands for the dimension of a set. Obviously,  $\mathcal{D}_1|X(x^0, h, \alpha)$  is a triangulation of  $X(x^0, h, \alpha)$ .

i			$ar{y}$	$ar{\pi}$	$\bar{\pi}$	$\bar{p}$
0	n = 1		$y + 2s_{\pi(1)}u^{\pi(1)}$	$s - 2s_{\pi(1)}u^{\pi(1)}$	$\pi$	p
	$n \ge 2$	p = 0	y	s	$\pi$	1
		p = 1	y	s	$\pi$	0
		$p \ge 2$	y	$s - 2s_{\pi(1)}u^{\pi(1)}$	$\pi$	p
$i \ge 1$		p = 0	y	$s - 2s_{\pi(i)}u^{\pi(i)}$	$\pi$	p
		$i$	y	s	$\pi^a$	p
		i = p - 1	y	s	$\pi$	p-1
	i > p-1	$1 \le p < n - 1$	y	s	$\pi^b$	p+1
	i = n - 1	$1 \le p = n - 1$	$y + 2s_{\pi(n)}u^{\pi(n)}$	$s - 2s_{\pi(n)}u^{\pi(n)}$	$\pi$	p
	i = n	$1 \le p = n - 1$	$y + 2s_{\pi(n-1)}u^{\pi(n-1)}$	$s - 2s_{\pi(n-1)}u^{\pi(n-1)}$	$\pi$	p

Pivot Rules of the  $D_1$ -Triangulation

$$\pi^{a} = (\pi(1), \dots, \pi(i+1), \pi(i), \dots, \pi(n))$$

$$\pi^{b} = (\pi(1), \dots, \pi(p-1), \pi(i), \pi(p), \dots, \pi(i-1), \pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(n))$$

For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_1$ , let  $\operatorname{grid}(\sigma) = \max\{\|x - y\|_{\infty} \mid x \in \sigma \text{ and } y \in \sigma\}$ . We define  $\operatorname{mesh}(D_1) = \max_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_1} \operatorname{grid}(\sigma)$ . Clearly,  $\operatorname{grid}(\sigma) = 1$  for any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_1$ , and  $\operatorname{mesh}(D_1) = 1$ .

In our simplicial algorithm, we need an integer labeling rule that assigns an integer label to each integer point of H. Such an integer labeling rule is given in the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** For  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we assign to x an integer label l(x) given by l(x) = 0 if f(x) = 0, and

$$l(x) = \begin{cases} \min\{k \mid f_k(x) > 0\} & \text{if } f_j(x) > 0 \text{ for some } j \in N, \\ \\ n+1 & \text{if } f(x) \le 0 \text{ and } f(x) \ne 0. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.2.** For  $h = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , a simplex  $\sigma = \langle y^0, y^1, \dots, y^h \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is h-complete if  $\sigma$  carries h + 1 different nonzero integer labels and h of these labels are contained in I(h).

#### Definition 2.3.

- 1. A q-dimensional simplex  $\sigma = \langle y^0, y^1, \dots, y^q \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is complete if  $l(y^i) \neq l(y^j)$  for  $0 \leq i < j \leq q$ , and  $l(y^k) \neq 0$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, q$ .
- 2. A q-dimensional simplex  $\sigma = \langle y^0, y^1, \dots, y^q \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is 0-complete if  $l(y^i) \neq l(y^j)$  for  $0 \leq i < j \leq q$ , and there is some k satisfying that  $l(y^k) = 0$ .
- 3. For  $h = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , a simplex  $\sigma = \langle y^0, y^1, \dots, y^h \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is almost h-complete if  $\sigma$  carries either only all the integer labels in I(h), or all the integer labels in I(h-1), no integer labels 0 and h, and at least one integer label in  $N \setminus I(h)$ .

As a direct result of Definition 2.3, we have

**Lemma 2.1.** Every almost h-complete simplex has exactly two (h-1)-complete facets.

Let  $\partial H$  denote the boundary of H. Then, according to the assumption, for any integer point  $x \in \partial H$  with  $f(x) \neq 0$ ,

$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} (x_i - x_i^0) f_i(x) > 0.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** For any  $h \in N$ , there is no complete (h-1)-dimensional simplex in  $X(x^0, h, -1) \cap \partial H$  carrying only integer labels in I(h), and there is no complete (h-1)-dimensional simplex in  $X(x^0, h, 1) \cap \partial H$  carrying all the integer labels in I(h-1) and one integer label in  $N_0 \setminus I(h)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that there is a complete (h-1)-dimensional simplex in  $X(x^0, h, -1) \cap \partial H$  carrying only integer labels in I(h). Let  $\sigma = \langle y^1, y^2, \dots, y^h \rangle$  be such a complete simplex.

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $l(y^i)=i,\ i=1,2,\cdots,h$ . Since f(x) satisfies the direction preserving property and  $\operatorname{grid}(\sigma)=1$ , hence, for any  $i,\ f_k(y^i)\geq 0,\ k=1,2,\cdots,h$ . From  $l(y^h)=h$  and Definition 2.1, we derive  $f_k(y^h)\leq 0,\ k=1,2,\cdots,h-1,$  and  $f_h(y^h)>0$ . Then,  $f_k(y^h)=0,\ k=1,2,\cdots,h-1$ . From the definition of  $X(x^0,h,-1)$ , we obtain that, for any  $x\in X(x^0,h,-1),\ x_h-x_h^0\leq 0$ , and  $x_i-x_i^0=0,\ i\notin I(h)$ . Thus,

$$(y_j^h - x_j^0) f_j(y^h) \le 0, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore,

$$\max_{1 \le j \le n} (y_j^h - x_j^0) f_j(y^h) \le 0.$$

Since  $y^h \in \partial H$  and  $f(y^h) \neq 0$ , hence,

$$\max_{1 \le j \le n} (y_j^h - x_j^0) f_j(y^h) > 0.$$

A contradiction occurs. The first part of the lemma follows.

Suppose that there is a complete (h-1)-dimensional simplex in  $X(x^0, h, 1) \cap \partial H$  carrying all the integer labels in I(h-1) and one integer label in  $N_0 \setminus I(h)$ . Let  $\sigma = \langle y^1, y^2, \dots, y^h \rangle$  be such a complete simplex.

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $l(y^i) = i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$ , and  $l(y^h) \in N_0 \backslash I(h)$ . Since f(x) satisfies the direction preserving property and  $\operatorname{grid}(\sigma) = 1$ , hence, for any i,  $f_k(y^i) \geq 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$ . From  $l(y^h) \in N_0 \backslash I(h)$  and Definition 2.1, we derive  $f_k(y^h) \leq 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, h$ . Then,  $f_k(y^h) = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$ . From the definition of  $X(x^0, h, 1)$ , we obtain that, for any  $x \in X(x^0, h, 1)$ ,  $x_h - x_h^0 \geq 0$ , and  $x_i - x_i^0 = 0$ ,  $i \notin I(h)$ . Thus,

$$(y_j^h - x_j^0) f_j(y^h) \le 0, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore,

$$\max_{1 \le j \le n} (y_j^h - x_j^0) f_j(y^h) \le 0.$$

Since  $y^h \in \partial H$  and  $f(y^h) \neq 0$ , hence,

$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} (y_j^h - x_j^0) f_j(y^h) > 0.$$

A contradiction occurs. The second part of the lemma follows.

As a result of the direction preserving property and  $\operatorname{mesh}(D_1) = 1$ , we have

**Lemma 2.3.** There is no complete n-dimensional simplex contained in H.

*Proof.* Suppose that there is a complete *n*-dimensional simplex contained in H. Let  $\sigma = \langle y^0, y^1, \dots, y^n \rangle$  be such a complete simplex. Without loss of generality, we assume  $l(y^i) = i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $l(y^0) = n + 1$ . Then,

$$f_i(y^i) > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since f(x) satisfies the direction preserving property and  $||y^0 - y^i||_{\infty} = 1$ , hence,  $f_i(y^0) \ge 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . From  $l(y^0) = n + 1$ , we obtain that  $f(y^0) \le 0$  and  $f(y^0) \ne 0$ . A contradiction occurs. The lemma follows.

# 3. The Algorithm

In this section, based on the 2-ray algorithm given in Yamamoto (1984), the integer labeling rule in Definition 2.1, and the results in Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, a simplicial algorithm for computing an integer zero point of f is obtained, which is as follows.

**Initialization:** Let  $y^0 = x^0$  and compute  $l(y^0)$ . If  $l(y^0) = 0$ , the algorithm terminates and an integer zero point of f has been found. Otherwise, let  $maxK = l(y^0)$ , h = 1,

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } h = maxK, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

 $\tau_0 = \langle y^0 \rangle$ ,  $\sigma_0$  be the unique h-dimensional simplex in  $X(x^0, h, \alpha)$  having  $\tau_0$  as a facet,  $y^+$  be the vertex of  $\sigma_0$  opposite to  $\tau_0$ , and k = 0. Go to Step 1.

Step 1: Compute  $l(y^+)$ . If  $l(y^+) = 0$ , the algorithm terminates and an integer zero point of f has been found. If either  $l(y^+) = h$  and maxK > h or  $l(y^+) > h$  and maxK = h, then  $\sigma_k$  is h-complete and go to Step 3. Otherwise, proceed as follows. Let  $y^-$  be the unique vertex of  $\tau_k$  such that

$$l(y^{-}) = \begin{cases} l(y^{+}) & \text{if } l(y^{+}) \leq h, \\ \\ maxK & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $\tau_{k+1}$  the facet of  $\sigma_k$  opposite to  $y^-$ . Let  $maxK = l(y^+)$  if  $l(y^+) > h$ , and go to Step 2.

Step 2: If  $\tau_{k+1} \subset X(x^0, h-1, \alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}$ , go to Step 4. Otherwise, proceed as follows. Let  $\sigma_{k+1}$  be the unique simplex that is adjacent to  $\sigma_k$  and has  $\tau_{k+1}$  as a facet. Let  $y^+$  be the vertex of  $\sigma_{k+1}$  opposite to  $\tau_{k+1}$  and k = k+1. Go to Step 1.

**Step 3:** Let  $maxK = l(y^+)$  if  $l(y^+) > h$ . Let h = h + 1,  $\tau_{k+1} = \sigma_k$ , and

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } h = maxK, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\sigma_{k+1}$  be the unique h-dimensional simplex in  $X(x^0, h, \alpha)$  having  $\tau_{k+1}$  as a facet, and  $y^+$  be the vertex of  $\sigma_{k+1}$  opposite to  $\tau_{k+1}$ . Let k = k+1, and go to Step 1.

**Step 4:** Let  $\sigma_{k+1} = \tau_{k+1}$ ,  $y^-$  be the unique vertex of  $\sigma_{k+1}$  such that

$$l(y^{-}) = \begin{cases} h - 1 & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ maxK & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $\tau_{k+2}$  the facet of  $\sigma_{k+1}$  opposite to  $y^-$ . Let  $\max K = h-1$  if  $\alpha = -1$ . Let h = h-1 and k = k+1, and go to Step 2.

**Theorem 3.1.** If Condition 1.3 holds, the algorithm will terminate within a finite number of iterations at an integer point  $x^* \in H$  with  $f(x^*) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 2.2 implies that all the simplices generated by the algorithm are contained in H. Applying Lemma 2.3 and following an standard argument given in Todd (1976), one can derive that the algorithm will never cycle. Since H is bounded, hence, there is a finite number of simplices in H and the algorithm will terminate within a finite number of iterations. From Lemma 2.3, we know that there is no complete n-dimensional simplex in H. This result implies that the algorithm will terminate at an integer point  $x^* \in H$  with  $f(x^*) = 0$ . The theorem follows.

#### References

- [1] E.L. Allgower and K. Georg, Numerical Continuation Methods, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [2] C. Dang, The  $D_1$ -triangulation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for simplicial algorithms for computing solutions of nonlinear equations, *Mathematics of Operations Research*, **16** (1991), 148-161.
- [3] C. Dang, Triangulations and Simplicial Methods, Lecture Notes in Mathematical Systems and Economics, 421 (1995).
- [4] C. Dang, A constructive proof to the existence of an integer zero point of a mapping with the direction preserving property, Submitted, (2005).
- [5] C. Dang and H. van Maaren, A simplicial approach to the determination of an integral point of a simplex, *Mathematics of Operations Research*, **23** (1998), 403-415.
- [6] B.C. Eaves, Homotopies for the computation of fixed points. Mathematical Programming, 3 (1972),
   1-22.
- [7] B.C. Eaves and R. Saigal, Homotopies for the computation of fixed points on unbounded regions, *Mathematical Programming*, **3** (1972), 225-237.
- [8] P. Favati and F. Tardella, Convexity in nonlinear integer programming, *Ricerca Operativa*, **53** (1990), 3-44.
- [9] W. Forster, Homotopy methods, Handbook of Global Optimization, Eds. R. Horst and P.M. Pardalos, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (1995).
- [10] H. Freudenthal, Simplizialzerlegungen von Beschrankter Flachheit, Annals of Mathematics, 43 (1942), 580-582.
- [11] T. Iimura, A discrete fixed point theorem and its applications, *Journal of Mathematic Economics*, **39** (2003), 725-742.
- [12] T. Iimura, K. Murota, and A. Tamura, Discrete fixed point theorem reconsidered, METR 2004-09, University of Tokyo, (2004).
- [13] M. Kojima and Y. Yamamoto, Variable dimension algorithms: basic theory, interpretation, and extensions of some existing methods, *Mathematical Programming*, **24** (1982), 177-215.
- [14] H.W. Kuhn, Simplicial approximation of fixed points, Proceedings of National Academy of Science, 61 (1968), 1238-1242.
- [15] G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman, A restart algorithm for computing fixed points without an extra dimension, *Mathematical Programming*, 17 (1979), 74-84.
- [16] G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman, A class of simplicial restart fixed point algorithms without an extra dimension, *Mathematical Programming*, 20 (1981), 33-48.
- [17] G. van der Laan, A.J.J. Talman, and Z. Yang, Solving discrete zero point problems, TI2004-112/1, Tinbergen Institute, (2004).
- [18] O.H. Merrill, Applications and Extensions of an Algorithm that Computes Fixed Points of Certain Upper Semi-Continuous Point to Set Mappings, PhD Thesis, Department of Industrial and Operations Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, (1972).

[19] H.E. Scarf, The approximation of fixed points of a continuous mapping, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 15 (1967), 1328-1343.

- [20] H.E. Scarf (Collaboration with T. Hansen), The Computation of Economic Equilibria, Yale University Press, New Haven, (1973)
- [21] H.E. Scarf, Production sets with indivisibilities—part I: generalities, *Econometrica*, **49** (1981), 1-32.
- [22] M.J. Todd, The Computation of Fixed Points and Applications, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems 124, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [23] Y. Yamamoto, A new variable dimension algorithm for the fixed point problem, *Mathematical Programming*, **25** (1983), 329-342.
- [24] Y. Yamamoto, A variable dimension fixed point algorithm and the orientation of simplices, *Mathematical Programming*, **30** (1984), 301-312.