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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the discontinuous Galerkin (DG) methods for delay differential equations. By an orthogonal analysis in each element, the superconvergence results of the methods are derived at nodal points and eigenpoints. Numerical experiments will be carried our to verify the effectiveness and the theoretical results of the proposed methods.
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## 1. Introduction

Delay differential equations frequently arise in a fast variety of scientific problems, such as relativistic dynamics, nuclear reactor, neural network, electric circuit and viscoelasticity mechanics, see, e.g., $[11,14]$. The last several decades have witnessed a fast development in computational implementation and numerical analysis for various delay differential equations, see, the monographs e.g., $[2,3]$ and the references therein. It is noted that most authors have employed finite difference methods, such as linear multistep methods, one-leg methods, RungeKutta methods and general linear methods, see, e.g., [19, 20].

Besides the finite difference methods, it is well-known that the finite element methods are also a class of effective numerical methods for solving differential equations, and usually some superconvergence results are available, see, e.g., $[1,4-6,9,13,15-17]$. Up to now, however, there have been very few papers on finite elements for solving delay differential equations (DDEs). Generally speaking, solution behaviors of the DDEs are more complicated than those for the standard differential equations since the former depends not only on the present but also on the history. The presence of a delay term could change a system's dynamic properties such as stability, oscillation, bifurcation, chaos and etc. In [10, 18] continuous Galerkin finite element (CGFE) methods are applied to DDEs with one-delay and multi-delay, are respectively, and a number of superconvergence results of the CGFE methods are obtained. In [12], continuous and discrete finite element approximations to a class of parabolic delay differential equations are investigated optimal error estimates in $L^{2}, H^{1}$ and $L^{\infty}$ norms are obtained.

As an important subclass of finite element methods, the discontinuous Galerkin (DG) methods have been found very useful in scientific engineering. For detail description of the method as well as its development, we refer the readers to the review paper [7] and the special issue of

[^0]Journal of Scientific Computing [8]. Up to now, the DG methods have been proved locally conservative, stable, and high-order accurate. Since the DG methods have many desired properties, it will be interesting to apply such methods to DDEs. In [15], we proved that the DG methods have the ability to preserve stability of the underlying systems. Following our earlier work, the superconvergence results of the methods will be derived at nodal points and eigenpoints in this work.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a class of DG methods for DDEs. In Section 3, we analyze errors of the methods and prove that the DG methods have superconvergence at nodal points and eigenpoints. In Section 4, numerical experiments will be used to confirm the effectiveness and the theoretical results of the methods. Finally, conclusions and discussions for this paper are summarized in Section 5.

## 2. Delay Differential Equations and Their DG Methods

In this section, we will give a discretization scheme based on the DG methods for a class of linear DDEs. Consider the following DDEs with delay $\tau>0$ :

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime}(t)+a(t) u(t)+b(t) u(t-\tau)=f(t), & t_{0} \leq t \leq T  \tag{2.1}\\ u(t)=\psi(t), & t_{0}-\tau \leq t \leq t_{0}\end{cases}
$$

where the functions $a(t), b(t), f(t), \psi(t)$ are assumed to be continuous on their respective domains so that the above delay system has a unique solution $u \in H^{1}\left(\left[t_{0},+\infty\right)\right)$. When $\tau \geq T-t_{0}$, system (2.1) becomes a linear ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}(t)+a(t) u(t)=-b(t) \psi(t-\tau)+f(t), \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq T  \tag{2.2}\\
u\left(t_{0}\right)=\psi\left(t_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Such an ODE system has been solved by CGFE methods and DG methods in many references, see, e.g. [1, 5, $7,9,13,17]$. In this work, we always assume $\tau \leq T-t_{0}$ so that the non-degenerate delay systems can be considered.

For the discretization of system (2.1) by a class of DG methods, we divide the interval $\left[t_{0}, T\right]$ with a uniform mesh:

$$
\mathcal{J}^{h}: \quad t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N}
$$

where $t_{n}=t_{0}+2 n h, h=\tau /(2 k), k$ is a given positive integer and the maximum index $N$ satisfies $t_{N-1}<T \leq t_{N}$. Moreover, we write that the element $J_{n}=\left(t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]$, the half-integer node $t_{n-1 / 2}=\left(t_{n}+t_{n-1}\right) / 2\left(=t_{0}+(2 n-1) h\right)$ and the extended interval $J=\left[t_{0}, t_{N}\right]$, and define $m$-degree discontinuous finite element space as follows:

$$
S^{h}=\left\{v:\left.v\right|_{J_{n}} \in P_{m}\left(J_{n}\right), n=1,2, \cdots, N\right\}
$$

where $P_{m}\left(J_{n}\right)$ denotes the set of all polynomials of degree $\leq m$ on $J_{n}$. Note that when a function $U \in S^{h}$, it implies that $U$ is allowed to be discontinuous but left-continuous at the nodal points $t_{n}$, i.e. $U\left(t_{n}\right)=U\left(t_{n}-0\right)$. For brevity, we introduce the following notations:

$$
U_{n}^{-}=U\left(t_{n}-0\right)=U\left(t_{n}\right)=U_{n}, \quad U_{n}^{+}=U\left(t_{n}+0\right), \quad\left[U_{n}\right]=U_{n}^{+}-U_{n}^{-}
$$

In general case, the span $\left[U_{n}\right] \neq 0$. As there is no request that $U \in S_{h}$ is continuous at the nodal points, $U$ has $(m+1)$-degree of freedom on an element $J_{n}$.

Multiplying by $\eta \in S^{h}$ and integrating over the element $J_{n}$, (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{n}}\left[u^{\prime}(t)+a(t) u(t)+b(t) u(t-\tau)\right] \eta(t) d t=\int_{J_{n}} f(t) \eta(t) d t, \quad n \geq 1 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this, an $m$-degree DG solution $U \in S^{h}$ can be defined for $n \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{n}}\left[U^{\prime}(t)+a(t) U(t)+b(t) U(t-\tau)\right] \eta(t) d t+\left[U_{n-1}\right] \eta_{n-1}^{+}=\int_{J_{n}} f(t) \eta(t) d t \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{n-1}^{+}=\eta\left(t_{n-1}+0\right) \in S^{h}$. When $t_{0}-\tau \leq t \leq t_{0}$, we set $U(t)=u(t)=\psi(t)$. Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)+a(t) u(t)=-b(t) \psi(t-\tau)+f(t) \equiv g(t), \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{0}+\tau \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq. (2.3) can be split into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{n}}\left[U^{\prime}(t)+a(t) U(t)\right] \eta(t) d t+\left[U_{n-1}\right] \eta_{n-1}^{+}=\int_{J_{n}} g(t) d t, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{n}}\left[U^{\prime}(t)+a(t) U(t)+b(t) U(t-\tau)\right] \eta(t) d t+\left[U_{n-1}\right] \eta_{n-1}^{+}=\int_{J_{n}} f(t) \eta(t) d t, \quad k<n \leq N . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, write the errors $e(t)=u(t)-U(t), e_{n}=u\left(t_{n}\right)-U\left(t_{n}\right)$. Then subtracting (2.6) from (2.3) and (2.7) from (2.3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{n}}\left[e^{\prime}(t)+a(t) e(t)\right] \eta(t) d t+\left[e_{n-1}\right] \eta_{n-1}^{+}=0, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{n}}\left[e^{\prime}(t)+a(t) e(t)+b(t) e(t-\tau)\right] \eta(t) d t+\left[e_{n-1}\right] \eta_{n-1}^{+}=0, \quad k<n \leq N \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the interval $J_{n}$, take the transformation

$$
t=t_{n-1 / 2}+h s, \quad s \in[-1,1],
$$

and introduce the notations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{u}(s)=u\left(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}+h s\right), & \tilde{U}(s)=U\left(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}+h s\right), \\
\tilde{a}(s)=a\left(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}+h s\right), & \tilde{b}(s)=b\left(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}+h s\right), \\
\tilde{e}(s)=\tilde{u}(s)-\tilde{U}(s), & \tilde{\eta}(s)=\eta\left(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}+h s\right), \\
\tilde{u}_{\tau}(s)=u\left(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}+h s-\tau\right), & \tilde{U}_{\tau}(s)=U\left(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}+h s-\tau\right), \quad \tilde{e}_{\tau}(s)=\tilde{u}_{\tau}(s)-\tilde{U}_{\tau}(s) .
\end{array}
$$

Then (2.8) and (2.9) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\tilde{e}^{\prime}(s)+h \tilde{a}(s) \tilde{e}(s)\right] \tilde{\eta}(s) d s+\left[e_{n-1}\right] \eta_{n-1}^{+}=0, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1}\left(\left[\tilde{e}^{\prime}(s)+h \tilde{a}(s) \tilde{e}(s)+h \tilde{b}(s) \tilde{e}_{\tau}(s)\right] \tilde{\eta}(s) d s+\left[e_{n-1}\right] \eta_{n-1}^{+}=0, \quad k<n \leq N\right. \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. For the error analysis of discontinuous finite element, we consider Legendre polynomials on interval $[-1,1]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{n}(s)=\frac{1}{2^{n} n!} \frac{d^{n}}{d s^{n}}\left(s^{2}-1\right)^{n}, \quad n=0,1 \cdots, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfy $l_{n}( \pm 1)=( \pm 1)^{n}$ and the following orthogonal property (cf. [5])

$$
\left(l_{i}, l_{j}\right):=\int_{-1}^{1} l_{i}(s) l_{j}(s) d s= \begin{cases}0, & \text { for } i \neq j \\ \frac{2}{2 j+1}, & \text { for } i=j\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, motivated by the idea from references [1,5], another set of the Radau II polynomials associated with the Legendre polynomials:

$$
\varphi_{0}(s)=1, \quad \varphi_{i}(s)=l_{i}(s)-l_{i-1}(s), \quad i \geq 1
$$

will be also used in the subsequent analysis, where each polynomial $\varphi_{i}(s)$ has $i$ distinct zeros $s_{\alpha}(\alpha=1, \cdots, i)$ in $[-1,1]$. These polynomials have some quasiorthogonal properties, which play an important role in the following error analysis.

The lemma below was used to study the convergence of Galerkin methods for different differential equations by Chen [5]. Since the reference is not readily accessible to non-Chinese readers, we collect a concise proof here.

Lemma 2.1. (cf. [5]) Suppose the sufficiently smooth function $\tilde{u}(s)$ is expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}(s)=b_{0}(n)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i}(n) \varphi_{i}(s), \quad s \in[-1,1] . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then its coefficients $\left\{b_{i}(n)\right\}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}(n)=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{i}\right), \quad i \geq 0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For sufficiently smooth function $\tilde{u}(s)$ in $J_{n}$, expanding $\tilde{u}(s)$ as a Legendre series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}(s)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_{i}(n) l_{i}(s) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{i}(n)=\left(i+\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{-1}^{1} u(s) l_{i}(s) d s$. Using integration by parts, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}(n)=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{i}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{u}(s) & =b_{0}(n)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i}(n) \varphi_{i}(s) \\
& =b_{0}(n)-b_{1}(n)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(b_{i}(n)-b_{i+1}(n)\right) l_{i}(s) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_{i}(n) l_{i}(s) \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{i}(n)=b_{i}(n)-b_{i+1}(n)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}(n)=\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} B_{j}(n)=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{i}\right), \quad i \geq 0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
It is printed out that the relation (2.16), which is used to study the superconvergence of continuous Galerkin methods for DDEs, is also derived in [10] and [18].

## 3. Error Analysis

In this section, by means of the orthogonal analysis method, we will derive a superconvergence result of the DG methods.

Theorem 3.1. The m-degree finite element $U(t)$ defined by (2.4) for the DDEs (2.1) with a smooth solution $u$ has the following superconvergence estimate at nodal points $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ :

$$
\left|(u-U)\left(t_{n}\right)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m+1}\right), \quad n=1, \cdots, N
$$

and satisfies the superconvergence estimate at eigenpoints $\left\{t_{n-1 / 2}^{(\alpha)}\right\}$ :

$$
\left|(u-U)\left(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(\alpha)}\right)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{m+2}\right), \quad n=1, \cdots, N ; \quad \alpha=1, \cdots, m
$$

where $t_{n-1 / 2}^{(\alpha)}=t_{n-1 / 2}+h s_{\alpha}$ and $s_{\alpha}, \alpha=1, \cdots, m$, are the zeros of the $m$-degree polynomial $\varphi_{m}(s)$ in $[-1,1]$.

Proof. Following [5], we first construct the $m$-degree polynomial approximation of $u$ in the element $J_{n}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{I}\left(t_{n-1 / 2}+h s\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{j}(n) \varphi_{j}(s)-\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}^{*}(n) \varphi_{j}(s), \quad s \in[-1,1] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{j}^{*}(n)$ are some coefficients to be defined later. Then the remainder is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\sigma}(s):=\left(u-u_{I}\right)\left(t_{n-1 / 2}+h s\right)=\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} b_{j}(n) \varphi_{j}(s)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}^{*}(n) \varphi_{j}(s) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the facts $\varphi_{j}(1)=0, \varphi_{j}(-1)=2(-1)^{j}(j \geq 1)$ that

$$
\tilde{\sigma}(1)=0, \quad \tilde{\sigma}(-1)=2 \sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^{j} b_{j}^{*}(n)+2 \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j} b_{j}(n) .
$$

Introduce the functionals

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
B_{n}(\sigma, \eta) & =\int_{J_{n}}\left[\sigma^{\prime}(t)+a(t) \sigma(t)\right] \eta(t) d t+\left[\sigma_{n-1}\right] \eta_{n-1}^{+}, & 1 \leq n \leq k,(3.3) \\
B_{n}(\sigma, \eta) & =\int_{J_{n}}\left[\sigma^{\prime}(t)+a(t) \sigma(t)+b(t) \sigma(t-\tau)\right] \eta(t) d t+\left[\sigma_{n-1}\right] \eta_{n-1}^{+}, & & k<n \leq N,(3.4)
\end{array}
$$

where $\sigma(t)=\tilde{\sigma}(s)\left(t=t_{n-1 / 2}+h s\right)$. Since $\tilde{\sigma}(1)=0$ means $\sigma_{n}^{-}=0$, an integration by parts yields that

$$
\int_{J_{n}} \sigma^{\prime}(t) \eta(t) d t+\left[\sigma_{n-1}\right] \eta_{n-1}^{+}=-\int_{J_{n}} \sigma(t) \eta^{\prime}(t) d t, \quad \forall n \geq 1
$$

This implies that (3.3) and (3.4) can be simplified as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{n}(\sigma, \eta)=-\int_{-1}^{1} \tilde{\sigma}(s)\left(\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}(s)-h \tilde{a}(s) \tilde{\eta}(s)\right) d s, & 1 \leq n \leq k, \\
B_{n}(\sigma, \eta)=-\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\tilde{\sigma}(s) \tilde{\eta}^{\prime}(s)-h \tilde{a}(s) \tilde{\sigma}(s) \tilde{\eta}(s)-h \tilde{b}(s) \sigma\left(t_{n-k-1 / 2}+h s\right) \tilde{\eta}(s)\right] d s, & k<n \leq N
\end{array}
$$

respectively. Inserting the test function $\tilde{\eta}(s)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \beta_{i} \varphi_{i}$ into (3.5) and (3.6) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{n}(\sigma, \eta)=-\sum_{i=0}^{m} \beta_{i}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{i j} b_{j}^{*}(n)+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{i j} b_{j}(n)\right], \quad 1 \leq n \leq k,  \tag{3.7}\\
& B_{n}(\sigma, \eta)=-\sum_{i=0}^{m} \beta_{i}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{i j} b_{j}^{*}(n)+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{i j} b_{j}(n)\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{m} \beta_{i}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{i j}^{\prime} b_{j}^{*}(n-k)+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{i j}^{\prime} b_{j}(n-k)\right], \quad k<n \leq N, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i j}=\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(s)-h a(s) \varphi_{i}(s)\right] \varphi_{j}(s) d s, \quad C_{i j}^{\prime}=h \int_{-1}^{1} b(s) \varphi_{i}(s) \varphi_{j}(s) d s \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (3.9), the basis functions satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{i}(s) \varphi_{j}(s) d s= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{2 i+1}+\frac{1}{2 i-1}, & \text { for } i=j \\
\frac{-2}{2 i+1}, & \text { for }|i-j|=1 \\
0, & \text { for }|i-j| \geq 2\end{cases}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_{i}^{\prime}(s) \varphi_{j}(s) d s= \begin{cases}-2, & \text { for } i=j \geq 1 \\
4, & \text { for } i=j+1 \\
0, & \text { for } i=0, \text { or } i<j \text { or }|i-j| \geq 2\end{cases} \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

By the orthogonality of $l_{i}(s)$, we can obtain the following estimates:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{i j} & = \begin{cases}-2+\mathcal{O}(h), & \text { for } i=j \geq 1, \\
4+\mathcal{O}(h), & \text { for } i=j+1, \\
\mathcal{O}\left(h^{|i-j|}\right), & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}  \tag{3.12}\\
C_{i j}^{\prime} & = \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}(h), & \text { for } i=j \text { or } j=i+1 \\
\mathcal{O}\left(h^{|i-j|}\right), & \text { for } i<j \text { or }|i-j| \geq 2\end{cases} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to determine the coefficients $b_{j+1}^{*}$, we set that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{i j} b_{j}^{*}(n)=-\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{i j} b_{j}(n), \quad i=1, \cdots, m, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{i j} b_{j}^{*}(n)=-\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{i j} b_{j}(n)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{i j}^{\prime} b_{j}^{*}(n-k)+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{i j}^{\prime} b_{j}(n-k), \\
i=1, \cdots, m, \quad k<n \leq N . \tag{3.15}
\end{array}
$$

It follows from (2.14) and (3.12) that the right-hand of the Eq. (3.14) satisfies for $i=1,2, \cdots, m$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{i j} b_{j}(n)=\left.\mathcal{O}\left(h^{j-i} \cdot h^{j}\right)\right|_{j=m+1}=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m+2-i}\right), \quad 1 \leq n \leq k \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A combination of (3.16) and (3.14) leads to a vectorial equation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-2+\mathcal{O}(h) & \mathcal{O}(h) & \mathcal{O}\left(h^{2}\right) & \cdots & \mathcal{O}\left(h^{m-1}\right) \\
4+\mathcal{O}(h) & -2+\mathcal{O}(h) & \mathcal{O}(h) & \cdots & \mathcal{O}\left(h^{m-2}\right) \\
\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2}\right) & 4+\mathcal{O}(h) & -2+\mathcal{O}(h) & \cdots & \mathcal{O}\left(h^{m-3}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathcal{O}\left(h^{m-1}\right) & \mathcal{O}\left(h^{m-2}\right) & \mathcal{O}\left(h^{m-3}\right) & \cdots & -2+\mathcal{O}(h)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{1}^{*}(n) \\
b_{2}^{*}(n) \\
b_{3}^{*}(n) \\
\vdots \\
b_{m}^{*}(n)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m+1}\right) \\
\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m}\right) \\
\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m-1}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{O}\left(h^{m+2}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

When $h$ is sufficiently small, the coefficient matrix are diagonally dominant. Noting the diagonal terms in the matrix equations are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ or observing from the last line, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}^{*}(n)=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m+2-j}\right), \quad j=1, \cdots, m, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we use mathematical induction to prove that (3.17) holds for $1 \leq n \leq N$. Assume that (3.17) holds for $k<n \leq \hat{n}(<N)$. Then, by (2.14), (3.12) and (3.13), we have for $n=\hat{n}+1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{i j} b_{j}(n)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{i j}^{\prime} b_{j}^{*}(n-k)+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{i j}^{\prime} b_{j}(n-k)=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m+2-i}\right), \quad i=1, \cdots, m \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with (3.15), implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{i j} b_{j}^{*}(n)=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m+2-i}\right), \quad i=1, \cdots, m ; \quad n=\hat{n}+1 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a similar derivation for (3.17), we find that (3.17) stays valid for $n=\hat{n}+1$. Therefore, (3.17) holds for all $n: 1 \leq n \leq N$.

The above arguments show that $B_{n}(\sigma, \eta)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}(\sigma, \eta)=-\beta_{0}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{0 j} b_{j}^{*}(n)+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{0 j} b_{j}(n)\right]=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m+2}\right) \beta_{0}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{n}(\sigma, \eta) & =-\beta_{0}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{0 j} b_{j}^{*}(n)+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{0 j} b_{j}(n)\right]+\beta_{0}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{0 j}^{\prime} b_{j}^{*}(n-k)+\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} C_{0 j}^{\prime} b_{j}(n-k)\right] \\
& =\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m+2}\right) \beta_{0}, \quad k<n \leq N . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

By the inverse estimate $\left|\beta_{0}\right| \leq \hat{c}\|\tilde{\eta}\|_{0, E}$ (e.g. [5]), (3.20) and (3.21), we know that there exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|B_{n}(\sigma, \eta)\right| & \leq c_{1} h^{2 m+2}\left(\int_{-1}^{1} \tilde{\eta}^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \leq c_{1} h^{2 m+1}\left(\int_{J_{n}} \eta^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[c_{1}^{2} h^{4 m+2}+\int_{J_{n}} \eta^{2} d t\right], \quad 1 \leq n \leq N \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following, we estimate the error $\theta(t):=\left(u_{I}-U\right)(t)$. With (2.8) and (2.9), we have by substituting $i$ for $n$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}(\theta, \eta)=-B_{i}(\sigma, \eta), \quad 1 \leq i \leq N \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $t_{0}<t \leq t_{0}+\tau$, taking both $\eta=\theta$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$ in (3.23) derives that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{i}^{-}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{i-1}^{+}\right)^{2}+\left(\theta_{i-1}^{+}-\theta_{i-1}^{-}\right) \theta_{i-1}^{+}=-B_{i}(\sigma, \theta)-\int_{J_{i}} a(t) \theta^{2} d t
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{i}^{-}\right)^{2}-\left(\theta_{i-1}^{-}\right)^{2}+\left(\theta_{i-1}^{+}-\theta_{i-1}^{-}\right)^{2}=-2 B_{i}(\sigma, \theta)-2 \int_{J_{i}} a(t) \theta^{2} d t . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing from 1 to $n(1 \leq n \leq k)$ for $i$ in (3.24) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}-\left(\theta_{0}^{-}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\theta_{i-1}\right]^{2}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i}(\sigma, \theta)-2 \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{n}} a(t) \theta^{2} d t \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the inequality (3.22) (with $\eta=\theta$ ) to (3.25) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\theta_{i-1}\right]^{2} \leq\left(\theta_{0}^{-}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \bar{a}_{1}+1\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{n}} \theta^{2} d t+c_{1} n h^{4 m+2}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{a}_{1}=\max _{t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{k}}|a(t)|$. Because $\theta_{0}^{-}=0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\theta_{i-1}\right]^{2} \geq 0$, the inequality (3.26) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{n}^{-}\right)^{2} \leq\left(2 \bar{a}_{1}+1\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{n}} \theta^{2} d t+c_{1} k h^{4 m+2}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $t>t_{0}+\tau$, taking both $\eta=\theta$ and $k<i \leq N$ in (3.23) follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{i}^{-}\right)^{2}-\left(\theta_{i-1}^{-}\right)^{2}+\left(\theta_{i-1}^{+}-\theta_{i-1}^{-}\right)^{2}=-2 B_{i}(\sigma, \eta)-2 \int_{J_{i}}\left[a \theta^{2}+b \theta(t-\tau)\right] d t \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing from $k+1$ to $n(k<n \leq N)$ for $i$ in (3.28) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}-\left(\theta_{k}^{-}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{n}\left[\theta_{i-1}\right]^{2}=-2 \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} B_{i}(\sigma, \eta)-2 \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{n}}\left[a \theta^{2}+b \theta(t-\tau)\right] d t . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the inequality (3.22) (with $\eta=\theta$ ) to (3.29), we obtain for $k<n \leq N$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{n}\left[\theta_{i-1}\right]^{2} \leq\left(\theta_{k}^{-}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \bar{a}_{2}+1\right) \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{n}} \theta^{2} d t+2 \bar{b}_{1} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{n}} \theta^{2}(t-\tau) d t+(n-k) c_{1} h^{4 m+2} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{a}_{2}=\max _{t_{k} \leq t \leq t_{N}}|a(t)|$ and $\bar{b}_{1}=\max _{t_{k} \leq t \leq t_{N}}|b(t)|$. Also, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{n}} \theta^{2}(t-\tau) d t=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{n-k}} \theta^{2}(t) d t \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{n}} \theta^{2} d t, \quad k<n \leq N \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.27), (3.30) and (3.31), it can be concluded that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{n}^{-}\right)^{2} \leq 2\left(\bar{a}_{1}+\bar{a}_{2}+\bar{b}_{1}+1\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{n}} \theta^{2} d t+c_{1} N h^{4 m+2}, \quad k<n \leq N . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{n}^{-}\right)^{2} \leq \tilde{c}_{1} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{n}} \theta^{2} d t+\tilde{c}_{2} h^{4 m+2}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}_{1}=2\left(\bar{a}_{1}+\bar{a}_{2}+\bar{b}_{1}+1\right)$ and $\tilde{c}_{2}=c_{1} N$. Taking

$$
\eta=\left(t-t_{n-1}\right) \theta^{\prime}(t) \in P_{m}\left(J_{n}\right)
$$

in the second inequality of (3.22), then, when $1 \leq n \leq k$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t \leq-\int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right) a \theta \theta^{\prime} d t+c_{1} h^{2 m+1}\left[\int_{J_{n}}\left[\left(t-t_{n-1}\right) \theta^{\prime}\right]^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \bar{a}_{1} \int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left|\theta \theta^{\prime}\right| d t+c_{1} h^{2 m+\frac{3}{2}}\left[\int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq \bar{a}_{1}\left[\varepsilon \int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} \int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right) \theta^{2} d t\right] \\
& \quad c_{1}\left[\varepsilon \int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t+\frac{1}{4 \varepsilon} h^{4 m+3}\right] \\
& \leq\left(\bar{a}_{1}+c_{1}\right) \varepsilon \int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t+\frac{\bar{a}_{1} h}{4 \varepsilon} \int_{J_{n}} \theta^{2} d t+\frac{c_{1}}{4 \varepsilon} h^{4 m+3} \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the inequality $\alpha \beta \leq \varepsilon \alpha^{2}+\beta^{2} / 4 \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon>0$ being an arbitrary constant. When $1 \leq n \leq k$, similarly, we can deduce that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t \leq\left(\bar{a}_{2}+\bar{b}_{1}+c_{1}\right) \varepsilon \int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t+\frac{\bar{a}_{2} h}{4 \varepsilon} \int_{J_{n}} \theta^{2} d t \\
+\frac{\bar{b}_{1} h}{4 \varepsilon} \int_{J_{n-k}} \theta^{2} d t+\frac{c_{1}}{4 \varepsilon} h^{4 m+3} \tag{3.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

Synthesizing (3.34) and (3.35) yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t \leq \bar{a} \varepsilon \int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t+\frac{\bar{b} h}{4 \varepsilon} \int_{J_{n}} \theta^{2} d t+\frac{\bar{b}_{1} h}{4 \varepsilon} \int_{J_{n-k}} \theta^{2} d t+\frac{c_{1}}{4 \varepsilon} h^{4 m+3} \\
1 \leq n \leq N \tag{3.36}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\bar{a}=\max \left\{\bar{a}_{1}+c_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}+\bar{b}_{1}+c_{1}\right\}$ and $\bar{b}=\max \left\{\bar{a}_{1}, \bar{a}_{2}\right\}$. Let $\varepsilon=1 /(2 \bar{a})$, then the inequality (3.36) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t \leq \bar{a} \bar{b} h \int_{J_{n}} \theta^{2} d t+\bar{a} \bar{b}_{1} h \int_{J_{n-k}} \theta^{2} d t+\bar{a} c_{1} h^{4 m+3}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the local inverse property (cf. [5]), there exists a constant $\bar{b}_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{J_{n}}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t & \leq \bar{b}_{2} h^{-1} \int_{J_{n}}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t \\
& \leq \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{b}_{2} \int_{J_{n}} \theta^{2} d t+\bar{a} \bar{b}_{1} \bar{b}_{2} \int_{J_{n-k}} \theta^{2} d t+\bar{a} \bar{b}_{2} c_{1} h^{4 m+2}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, the identity

$$
\theta(t)=\theta\left(t_{n}-0\right)+\int_{t_{n}}^{t} \theta^{\prime} d t, \quad t \in J_{n}
$$

implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{2} \leq 2\left|\theta_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}+2 h \int_{J_{n}}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t, \quad t \in J_{n} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{n}} \theta^{2} d t \leq 2 h\left|\theta_{n}^{-}\right|^{2}+2 h^{2} \int_{J_{n}}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t . \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

A combination of (3.33), (3.38) and (3.40) shows that there exist constants $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{n}} \theta^{2} d t \leq \gamma_{1} h \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{n}} \theta^{2} d t+\gamma_{2} h^{4 m+3} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $\alpha_{n}=\int_{J_{n}} \theta^{2} d t$. Then (3.41) can be read as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n} \leq \gamma_{1} h \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}+\gamma_{2} h^{4 m+3}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume the stepsize $h$ satisfy $0<\gamma_{1} h \leq \gamma_{0}<1$. Then applying the discrete Gronwall inequality to (3.42) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{n} & \leq \frac{\gamma_{2}}{1-\gamma_{1} h} \exp \left(\frac{\gamma_{1} n h}{1-\gamma_{1} h}\right) h^{4 m+3} \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma_{2}}{1-\gamma_{0}} \exp \left[\frac{\gamma_{1}\left(t_{N}-t_{0}\right)}{1-\gamma_{0}}\right] h^{4 m+3}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Namely, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{n}} \theta^{2} d t \leq \gamma_{3} h^{4 m+3}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{3}=\exp \left[\gamma_{1}\left(t_{N}-t_{0}\right) /\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right)\right]$. Substituting (3.33) into (3.44), we know that there exists a constant $\gamma_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\theta_{n}^{-}\right| \leq \gamma_{4} h^{2 m+1}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

A combination of (3.38), (3.39), (3.44) and (3.45) follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\theta| \leq \gamma h^{2 m+1}, \quad t \in J_{n} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma>0$ is a given constant.
Since $\sigma(t)=\left(u-u_{I}\right)(t)$ and $\theta(t)=\left(u_{I}-U\right)(t)$, the global error of the DG finite element is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(t)=(\sigma+\theta)(t)=\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} b_{j}(n) \varphi_{j}(s)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}^{*}(n) \varphi_{j}(s)+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m+1}\right) \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact of that $\varphi_{j}(1)=0$ for all $i$, we obtain the following superconvergence result at the nodal points:

$$
\left|(u-U)\left(t_{n}\right)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2 m+1}\right), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N
$$

Moreover, when taking $s=s_{\alpha}(\alpha=1, \cdots, m)$ in (3.47), we can conclude the superconvergence result at the eigenpoints $t_{n-1 / 2}^{(\alpha)}$ :

$$
\left|(u-U)\left(t_{n-1 / 2}^{(\alpha)}\right)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(h^{m+2}\right), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N ; \quad \alpha=1, \cdots, m
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 4. A Numerical Example

In this section, we present a numerical example to confirm the superconvergence result obtained in the last section. In the numerical experiment, high-order accurate quadrature approximations are used if needed so that error in integration is negligible compared with DG errors.

Consider the following delay differential equation with variable coefficients:

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime}(t)=e^{-t} \cos (\pi t) u(t)+t \sin (\pi t) u(t-1)+f(t), & 0<t \leq 6  \tag{4.1}\\ u(t)=\sin (\pi t), & -1 \leq t \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

where function $f(t)$ is defined such that the system has an exact solution $u(t)=\sin (\pi t)$.
Taking stepsizes $h=0.1,0.1 / 2,0.1 / 4,0.1 / 8,1 / 16$, and then applying the one-degree, twodegree DGFE methods to the above system, respectively, we can obtain several sets of finite element solutions. The errors $e(t)$ are plotted in Figs. 4.1 and 4.2. The figures shows that the DG methods are effective for solving the DDEs and superconvergence is observed at both nodal points and eigenpoints. In order to give a further observation for the superconvergence of the methods at the nodal points, we introduce a quantity

$$
p=\frac{\ln \left(\max _{1 \leq n \leq N}\left|e\left(t_{n}\right)\right|\right)}{\ln (h)}
$$

to characterize the convergence order of a DG method on the interval $(0,6]$. The convergence orders of one-degree and two-degree elements at nodal points are computed in Table 4.1, where it is found that the one-degree element has approximately convergence order 3 and the twodegree element has approximately convergence order 5 . This confirms the superconvergence result stated in Theorem 3.1.

Table 4.1: Convergence orders of DG methods at nodal points

| stepsize | 0.1 | $0.1 / 2$ | $0.1 / 4$ | $0.1 / 8$ | $0.1 / 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-degree element | 3.09 | 3.09 | 3.09 | 3.08 | 3.08 |
| 2-degree element | 5.93 | 5.70 | 5.56 | 5.23 | 5.10 |



Fig. 4.1. The errors $e(t)$ of one-degree element.

## 5. Conclusions and Discussions

In the present paper, the DG methods are investigated for solving first-order linear delay differential equations. For the error analysis, we assume that Eq. (2.1) has a smooth solution. The main superconvergence results are obtained with the help of an $m$-degree polynomial approximation of $u$ in the element $J_{n}$. The approximation is constructed by adding some lower order terms in the remainder of expansion so that the remainder satisfies some orthogonal condition in the element. Then a desired superclose function to DG solution is derived. The superconvergence results of the methods are derived by an orthogonal analysis in each element.


Fig. 4.2. The errors $e(t)$ of two-degree element.

For the case with multiple delays:

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime}(t)+a(t) u(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} b(t) u\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)=f(t), & t_{0} \leq t \leq T  \tag{5.1}\\ u(t)=\psi(t), & t \leq t_{0}\end{cases}
$$

and the general DDEs with variable delays:

$$
\begin{cases}u^{\prime}(t)+a(t) u(t)+b(t) u(t-\tau(t))=f(t), & t_{0} \leq t \leq T, \tau(t) \geq 0  \tag{5.2}\\ u(t)=\psi(t), & t \leq t_{0}\end{cases}
$$

the value $u\left(t-\tau_{i}\right)$ or $u(t-\tau(t))$, which is denoted by an $m$-degree polynomials, may be known when computing in the interval $J_{n}$. The inner product $\int_{J_{n}} b(t) u\left(t-\tau_{i}\right) \eta(t) d t$ or
$\int_{J_{n}} b(t) u(t-\tau(t)) \eta(t) d t$ can be computed analytically. Therefore, the DG methods may be also a good candidate to solve the above problems. However, it seems difficult to extend the superconvergence result to these cases. This will be investigated in future works.
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