## Inverse halogen bonds intermolecular interactions

Yan-Zhi Liu<sup>\*</sup>, Su-Rui Zhao, Xiao-Ning Dong, Kun Yuan, Hui-An Tang, Guo-Fang Zuo, Yuan-Cheng Zhu, and Xin-Wen Liu

College of Life-Science and Chemistry, Tianshui Normal University, Tianshui 741001, China Key Laboratory of New Molecules Materials Design & Function of Gansu Universities, Tianshui 741001, China

Received 15 November 2010; Accepted (in revised version) 13 December 2010 Published Online 28 March 2011

**Abstract.** A new number of inverse halogen bonds (IXBs) intermolecular interaction system of Y-F···DB<sub>2</sub> (D=C, N, O or S; B=O and S; Y=Cl) have been investigated at B3LYP/6-311++g(*d*, *p*) computational level. According to the BSSE corrected interaction energy, it can be concluded that the stability of the five IXBs complexes of the ClF···DB<sub>2</sub> system increases in the order of ClF···CS<sub>2</sub> < ClF···CO<sub>2</sub> < ClF···NO<sub>2</sub> < ClF···SO<sub>2</sub>. Comparing the ClF monomer with ClF moiety of the complexes, the chemical shifts of F atoms all increased by a certain degree and presented a trend to downfield. This indicates that the electrons flow from ClF to DB<sub>2</sub> (D=C, N, O or S; B=O or S) and the IXBs form between ClF and DB<sub>2</sub>.

PACS: 34.20.b, 31.15.ae

Key words: intermolecular interactions, inverse halogen bonds, electron density topological properties

# 1 Introduction

Intermolecular interaction plays an important role in the fields of physics, biology, chemistry and materials science. It has captured the interest of chemists for a long time and reports about its theory and experiment have been well presented [1–7]. It has been found that a lot of physical and chemical phenomena are closely related to the intermolecular weak interactions including hydrogen bond [8–10],  $\pi$ -cation [11], halogen bond (XB) [12–16], lithium bond [17–19], etc. Among these intermolecular interactions studied, halogen bond is an earlier and more extensively studied ones, and it is an interesting interaction analogous to hydrogen bond [20]. Halogen bonding is the noncovalent interaction between halogen atoms (Lewis acids) and neutral or anionic Lewis bases [21]. Most published works of XB are of

http://www.global-sci.org/jams

234

©2011 Global-Science Press

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. *Email address:* lyanzhi003@163.com (Y. Z. Liu)

the type D···X-Y (Y=C, N or halogen) in which X, the situs of electron acceptor, is Lewis acid (X=Cl, Br, I or F), and D (D=O, S, N or  $\pi$  electron system), the situs of electron donor, is Lewis base.



Figure 1: The electron transfer directions.

In the XB aforementioned, the D (D=O, S, N or  $\pi$  electron system) atom plays the role of electron donor for the XB systems, and X (X=Cl, Br, I or F) atom plays the role of electron acceptor (Fig. 1(a)). Our question is if there is an inverse electron transfer direction in some special D…X-Y systems? To answer this question, we propose to study a new class of unconventional XB where the halogen atom will provide electrons and another non-halogen atom will accept them (inverse halogen bond (IXB), Fig. 1(b)). The term IXB is used for defining any noncovalent interaction involving halogens as electron donors.

Recently, the inverse hydrogen bonds (IHBs) between XeH<sub>2</sub> and hydride and fluoride derivatives of Li, Be, Na and Mg have been studied theoretically [22]. So, we are interested in knowing if there are any IXBs interactions, and how the interactions take place. And we consider a particular set of molecules formed by "donors" and "acceptors" of electrons as shown in Table 1, where F atoms in Cl-F is electronically rich enough to provide electrons in the formation of a IXB, and D atoms in DB<sub>2</sub> (D=C, N, O or S, B=O or S) with electronically poor property are the halogen bond electron acceptors. Thus, given the absence of both experimental and theoretical studies on the inverse halogen bonds interactions of DB<sub>2</sub> with ClF, the present study reports the results of a DFT-B3LYP theoretical study on the nature of the mentioned interaction. In order to compare, the normal XBs, the CH<sub>3</sub>F…DB<sub>2</sub> (D=C, N, O or S; B=O or S) systems are also calculated.

### 2 Method and results

All possible geometries obtained by a full optimization on the surface of inverse halogenbonded and normal halogen-bonded systems obtained at the B3LYP/6-311++g (d,p) computational level are depicted in Fig. 2. The equilibrium geometries of ClF····CS<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>C····O<sub>3</sub> were confirmed to be transition states by analytical frequency computations, and the other equilibrium geometries as minima. Some of the key geometrical parameters optimized for these complexes, stretching frequencies and interaction energies ( $\delta E_{CP}$ ) with basis set superposition error (BSSE) corrections are gathered in Table 1.

It is found that for the Cl-F···DB<sub>2</sub> and  $H_3C$ -F···DB<sub>2</sub> systems the Cl-F or C-F bonds length (R) are elongated due to the formation of the inverse or normal halogen bonds. For the normal XBs of  $H_3C$ -F···DB<sub>2</sub> system, this bond elongation leads to a red shift of the C-F stretching



Figure 2: Geometries of the IXBs complexes (upper) and normal XBs (lower) calculated at B3LYP/6-311+g(d, p) computational levels.

Table 1: Bond lengths(Å), stretching frequencies (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>) and interaction energies ( $\Delta E$ , kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>) of Y-F···DB<sub>2</sub> (D=C, N, O and S; B=O and S; Y=Cl and CH<sub>3</sub>) at B3LYP/6-311++g (d, p) computational level.

	÷I.									
	Y-F	$DB_2$	d	R	$\Delta R$	v	$\Delta v$	$\Delta E$	BSSE	$\Delta E_{CP}$
ĺ	Cl-F	-	-	1.6788	-	739.69	-	-	-	-
		$CO_2$	3.1101	1.6792	0.0004	741.73	+2.04	-2.10	+0.81	-1.29
		$NO_2$	2.9268	1.6802	0.0014	737.24	-2.45	-2.73	+1.39	-1.34
		O <sub>3</sub>	2.97376	1.6792	0.0004	742.19	+2.50	-3.89	+2.39	-1.50
		$SO_2$	3.04820	1.6825	0.0037	731.61	-8.08	-5.24	+1.94	-3.30
		$CS_2$	3.62681	1.6789	0.0001	739.96	+0.27	-0.45	+0.56	+0.11
	H <sub>3</sub> C-F	-	-	1.3955	-	1033.40	-	-	-	-
		$CO_2$	2.8835	1.4009	0.0054	1020.09	-13.31	-6.08	+0.11	-5.97
		$NO_2$	2.9230	1.3998	0.0043	1022.45	-10.95	-5.48	+0.18	-5.30
		O <sub>3</sub>	2.8479	1.3985	0.0030	1025.86	-7.54	-6.27	+1.54	-4.73
		$SO_2$	2.8575	1.4074	0.0119	1001.58	-31.82	-13.77	+1.83	-11.94
		$CS_2$	3.9180	1.3954	0.0001	1032.96	-0.44	+0.07	-0.33	-0.26

frequency, and the maximum red-shifted value is  $31.82 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for the  $H_3C-F\cdots SO_2$  among the five complexes. However, in the case of the IXBs of  $Cl-F\cdots DB_2$  system, the shifting tendency is not so clear, except for in  $Cl-F\cdots SO_2$  and  $Cl-F\cdots NO_2$  complexes, a weak un-normal blue shift of Cl-F stretching frequency was obtained with the Cl-F bond elongation. So, for the inverse halogen bond systems, the relation between the length variation of electron donor and its stretching frequency shift is complicated and different from the conventional halogen bond

system (Fig. 1(a)). [23] In addition, it is reported [24] that van der Waals radii of F, N, O and S atoms are 1.47, 1.55, 1.52 and 1.80 Å, respectively. And the van der Waals radii of C atom is 1.70 Å. Seen from Table 1, it is clear that, except for the complex related to  $CS_2$ , which could not be exactly regarded as IXB non-covalent interaction, these inverse halogen bonds non-covalent distances d(F···D) are shorter than the sum of the relevant atomic van der Waals radii of F and D atoms. Further more, It should be noticed that the bond angles of Cl-F-D are all 180° in Cl-F···DB<sub>2</sub> system, however, the feature of bond angles of C-F-D in normal XBs of H<sub>3</sub>C-F···DB<sub>2</sub> system are not so all.

The interaction energies data without ( $\Delta E$ ) and with ( $\Delta E_{cp}$ ) BSSE correction shown in Table 1 indicate that the electron donors, ClF and H<sub>3</sub>CF are both weakly bound to DB<sub>2</sub>, the electron acceptors. Especially, the interaction energies in ClF…CS<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>CF…CS<sub>2</sub> are close to null. Over all, the interaction energies of H<sub>3</sub>CF…DB<sub>2</sub> of the normal XBs system are larger than that of ClF…DB<sub>2</sub> of the IXBs systems. The interaction energies with BSSE correction ( $\Delta E_{cp}$ ) is high to  $-11.94 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  between H<sub>3</sub>CF and SO<sub>2</sub>. It is easy find that the interaction energies are higher when SO<sub>2</sub> as the electron acceptor in ClF…DB<sub>2</sub> system or H<sub>3</sub>CF…DB<sub>2</sub> system. Here, according to the BSSE corrected interaction energy ( $\Delta E_{CP}$ ) calculated at B3LYP/6-311++g (d,p) level listed in Table 2, we can conclude that the stability of the five IXBs complexes of the ClF…DB<sub>2</sub> system increases in the order of ClF…CS<sub>2</sub> < ClF…CO<sub>2</sub>  $\approx$  ClF…O<sub>3</sub> < ClF…SO<sub>2</sub>, that of H<sub>3</sub>CF…DB<sub>2</sub> system with normal XBs increases in the order of H<sub>3</sub>CF…CS<sub>2</sub> < H<sub>3</sub>CF…O<sub>3</sub> < H<sub>3</sub>CF…O<sub>3</sub> < H<sub>3</sub>CF…NO<sub>2</sub> < H<sub>3</sub>CF…CO<sub>2</sub> < H<sub>3</sub>CF…CO<sub>2</sub> < H<sub>3</sub>CF…CO<sub>2</sub> < H<sub>3</sub>CF…SO<sub>2</sub>.

Table 2: Variations of the chemical shifts ( $\delta$ , ppm) of F atoms upon complexation at the B3LYP/6-311++g (d, p) computational level.

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Complexes} \rightarrow \\ \text{Atoms} \downarrow \end{array}$	ClF…CO <sub>2</sub>	$ClF \cdots NO_2$	ClF…O <sub>3</sub>	ClF…SO <sub>2</sub>	$H_3CF\cdots CO_2$	$H_2CF\cdots NO_2$	$H_3CF\cdots O_3$	$H_3CF\cdots SO_2$
F(620.8,460.0) <sup>a</sup>	627.2	627.2	631.8	634.4	455.0	455.2	455.0	440.0
$\Delta_F{}^b$	6.4	6.4	11.0	13.6	-5.0	-5.2	-5.0	-20.0

 $^{a}$  The absolute chemical shift of F atom in ClF is 620.8 ppm, and that of F atom in CH<sub>3</sub>F is 460.0 ppm.

<sup>b</sup> The variations of the chemical shift,  $\Delta_F = \delta_{complex} - \delta_{monomer}$ .

The electrons transfer direction is intensively related to the chemical shift. Variations of the chemical shifts ( $\delta$ , ppm) of F atoms upon complexation at the B3LYP/6-311++g (d, p) computational level are listed in Table 2. Comparing the ClF monomer with ClF fragment of the complexes, the chemical shifts of F atoms in complexes all increased by a certain degree and presented a trend to downfield. This indicates that the electrons flow from ClF to DB<sub>2</sub> (D=C, N, O or S; B=O or S). It is thus clear that the IXBs formed between ClF and DB<sub>2</sub>. On the contrary, comparing the H<sub>3</sub>CF monomer with H<sub>3</sub>CF moiety of the complexes, the chemical shifts of F atoms all decreased by a certain degree and presented a trend to upfield. This indicates that the electron flow from S; B=O or S). So, the normal XBs formed between H<sub>3</sub>CF and DB<sub>2</sub>.

A topological analysis of the electron density was performed using Bader's theory of atomin-molecules (AIM). Table 3 lists the electron density ( $\rho$ ) at inverse halogen bond critical points (BCP) and its Laplacian of electron density ( $\rho_{(r)}$ ) and ellipticities ( $\epsilon$ ).  $\lambda_i$  ( $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_3$ ) listed in Table 3 are the eigenvalues of the electron density Hessian matrix, and  $\nabla^2 \rho_{(r)} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$ . From Table 3, we can see  $\lambda_1 < 0$ ,  $\lambda_2 < 0$ ,  $\lambda_3 > 0$  in each complex, according to Bader's theory, [25] they can be labeled as (3,-1), this can indicate the presence of bond critical points (BCP) between the F atom and the D (D=C, N, O and S) atom. For example, for the F…S inverse halogen bond in ClF…SO<sub>2</sub>, its  $\rho_{(r)}$  is 0.0081 a.u., and its  $\nabla^2 \rho_{(r)}$  is 0.0334 a.u., respectively. It is noted that for H<sub>3</sub>CF…CS<sub>2</sub> normal XB complex BCP located between F atom and S atom instead of F atom and C atom, this is probably the steric restriction of the two S atoms. As some examples, the electron density maps obtained for the IXBs of ClF…SO<sub>2</sub> and ClF…CS<sub>2</sub>, and normal XBs of H<sub>3</sub>CF…NO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>CF…O<sub>3</sub> are shown in Fig. 3. Similar interactions of electrostatic nature have been described in the XeH<sub>2</sub>…Na<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> inverse hydrogen bond system. [8] The characteristics of the BCPs between the F atom and D (D=C, N, O and S) atom present small values of  $\rho$  and positive values of  $\nabla^2 \rho_{(r)}$ , this indicates that the charge density is in radiation at BCP and the inverse halogen bonds have more ionic property.



Figure 3: Electron density map of the partial IXBs complexes. Crosses (+) and triangles  $(\blacktriangle)$  indicate the position of the bond and atomic critical points.

In order to further investigate the property of the IXBs in the present study, the ellipticities that are the measurement of  $\sigma$  or  $\pi$  property for the interacting atoms are given. The smaller value of ellipticity, the stronger  $\sigma$  property is, and otherwise the stronger  $\pi$  property is. The ellipticities of IXBs critical points in ClF···CS<sub>2</sub> and ClF···CO<sub>2</sub> are obviously larger than those of in the others IXBs complexes, and these of normal XBs critical points in H<sub>3</sub>CF···CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>CF···CS<sub>2</sub> are also obviously larger than those of in the others normal XBs complexes. So

the covalent content of the IXBs of ClF···CS<sub>2</sub> and ClF···CO<sub>2</sub> complexes would mainly represent  $\pi$  property, and the same characteristic to the normal XBs systems of H<sub>3</sub>CF···CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>3</sub>CF···CS<sub>2</sub>.

Compound	Atom	$\rho_{(r)}$	$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\lambda_3$	$ abla^2  ho_{(r)}$	Ellipticity	Туре
$ClF\cdots CO_2$	F…C	0.0036	-0.0028	-0.0009	0.0234	0.0197	2.1871	BCP
$ClF \cdots NO_2$	$F \cdots N$	0.0059	-0.0047	-0.0046	0.0359	0.0266	0.0083	BCP
$ClF \cdots O_3$	F…O	0.0047	-0.0038	-0.0035	0.0303	0.0230	0.0957	BCP
$ClF\cdots SO_2$	F···S	0.0081	-0.0065	-0.0063	0.0462	0.0334	0.0446	BCP
$ClF\cdots CS_2$	F…C	0.0017	-0.0010	-0.0002	0.0093	0.0081	2.9122	BCP
$H_3CF\cdots CO_2$	F…C	0.0078	-0.0070	-0.0034	0.0459	0.0355	1.0712	BCP
$H_3CF \cdots NO_2$	$F \cdots N$	0.0071	-0.0060	-0.0043	0.0416	0.0313	0.3947	BCP
$H_3CF\cdots O_3$	F…O	0.0067	-0.0055	-0.0052	0.0422	0.0315	0.0541	BCP
$H_3CF\cdots SO_2$	F···S	0.0137	-0.0119	-0.0114	0.0716	0.0483	0.0456	BCP
$H_3CF\cdots CS_2$	F···S	0.0015	-0.0009	-0.0003	0.0085	0.0073	1.4887	BCP

Table 3: The electron density topological properties at the IXB' critical points of the ten complexes.

### 3 Conclusions

The inverse halogen bonds (IXBs) intermolecular interaction system of Y-F···DB<sub>2</sub> (D=C, N, O or S; B=O and S; Y=Cl) have been investigated at B3LYP/6-311++g (d, p) computational level. According to the BSSE corrected interaction energy ( $\Delta E_{CP}$ ), the stability of the five IXBs complexes of the ClF···DB<sub>2</sub> system increases in the order of ClF···CS<sub>2</sub> < ClF···CO<sub>2</sub>  $\approx$  ClF···NO<sub>2</sub> < ClF···CO<sub>3</sub> < ClF···SO<sub>2</sub>. Comparing the ClF monomer with ClF moiety of the complexes, the chemical shifts of F atoms all increased by a certain degree and presented a trend to downfield which indicates that the electrons flow from ClF to DB<sub>2</sub> (D=C, N, O or S; B=O or S) and the IXBs form between ClF and DB<sub>2</sub> when IXB complexes formed. The calculation on electron density topology properties indicates that the IXB interaction is mainly electrostatic, that is, IXB has more ionic property than covalent property.

**Acknowledgments.** This project is supported by "Qing Lan" Talent Engineering Funds of Tianshui Normal University and the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 51063006.

#### References

- [1] Z. L. Cai and J. R. Reimers, J. Phys. Chem. A 106 (2002) 8769.
- [2] C. K. Kim, K. A. Lee, C. K. Kim, et al., Chem. Phys. Lett. 391 (2004) 321.
- [3] J. M. Weber, J. A. Kelley, W. H. Robertson, and M. J. Johnson, J. Chem. Phys. 114 (2001) 2698.
- [4] F. Mohri, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem.) 770(2006) 179.
- [5] J. Reynisson and S. Steenken, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem.) 635 (2003) 133.

- [6] T. S. Thakur and G. R. Desiraju, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem.) 810 (2007) 143.
- [7] E. A. Woronowicz, W. H. Robertson, G. H. Weddle, and M. A. Johonson, J. Phys. Chem. A 106 (2002) 7086.
- [8] D. Stoilova, J. Mol. Struct. 798 (2006) 141.
- [9] Y. Yang and W. J. Zhang, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem.) 814(2007) 113.
- [10] Z. Dega-Szafran, E. Dulewicz, M. Szafran, et al., J. Mol. Struct. 828 (2006) 19.
- [11] R. C. Dunbar, J. Phys. Chem. A 104 (2000) 8067.
- [12] Y. Zhao, Y. L. Zeng, X.-Y. Zhang, et al., Acta Phys-Chim Sin. 22 (2006) 1526.
- [13] H. I. Bloemink, J. H. Hollway, and A. C. Legon, Chem. Phys. Lett. 250 (1996) 567.
- [14] K. E. Riley and P. Hobza, J. Chem. Thero. Comput. 4 (2008) 232.
- [15] J. A. Shea and W. H. Fylgare, J. Chem. Phys. 76 (1982) 4857.
- [16] F. Zordan and L. Brammer, Acta Cryst. B 60 (2004) 512.
- [17] Y. Li, D. Wu, Z. R. Li, et al., J. Chem. Phys. 125 (2006) 084317.
- [18] Y. Feng, L. Liu, J. T. Wang, et al., Chem Commun. 1 (2004) 88.
- [19] A. Vila, E. Vila, and R. A. Mosquera, Chem. Phys. 326 (2006) 401.
- [20] A. C. Legon, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38 (1999) 2686.
- [21] P. Metrangolo, H. Neukirch, T. Pilati, and G. Resnati, Acc. Chem. Res. 38 (2005) 386.
- [22] B. Fernando, S. Mohammad, A. Ibon, and E. Jose, Theor. Chem. Account. 121 (2008) 181.
- [23] K. Yuan, Y. Z. Liu, W. C. Ma, et al., Chinese J. Chem. 27 (2009) 900.
- [24] J. P. M. Lommerse, A.-J. Stone, R. Taylor, and F.-H. Allen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118 (1996) 3108.
- [25] R. F. W. Bader, Atoms in Molecules: a quantum Theory (Clarendon Press, New York, 1990).