

A NOTE ON PRESCRIBED GAUSSIAN CURVATURE ON S^2 *

Hong Chongwei

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica

Received June 11, 1987

1. Introduction and Main Results

Given $R(x) \in C^2(S^2)$ where $S^2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |x| = 1\}$, we want to find a condition on $R(x)$ so that there exists a metric g on S^2 with scalar curvature (i. e. twice the Gaussian curvature) $R(x)$, which is pointwise conformal to the standard metric g_0 , so $g = e^u g_0$ for some function u .

This problem is equivalent to the existence of a solution of Eq. (cf. [1])

$$\Delta u(x) - 2 + R(x)e^{u(x)} = 0 \quad x \in S^2 \quad (1.1)$$

where we use the sign convention for Laplacian Δ so that $\Delta u = u_{xx} + u_{yy}$ on flat \mathbb{R}^2 .

For known results of this interesting problem, confer [1] - [13]. In this paper we prove

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $R(x) \in C^2(S^2)$ satisfies

i) \exists a curve $\Gamma \in C([0, 1], S^2)$, $\Gamma(0) = a \neq b = \Gamma(1)$, $0 < R(b) \leq R(a)$, $b \in S^2$ is a nondegenerate local maximum point of $R(x)$.

ii) $\min_{x \in \Gamma} R(x) = m < R(b)$ and $\forall x \in \Gamma \cap R^{-1}(m)$ either $\nabla R(x) \neq \vec{0}$ or $\nabla R(x) = \vec{0}$, $\Delta R(x) > 0$.

iii) There is no critical point of $R(x)$ on $R^{-1}(m, R(b))$ except a finite number of nondegenerate local maximum points.

Then Eq. (1.1) has a solution.

Remark 1.1. If $\min_{x \in \Gamma} R(x) \leq 0$, assumption ii) can be omitted and assume iii) on $R^{-1}(0, R(b))$, then Theorem 1.1 remains true.

Remark 1.2. Notice that Theorem 1.1 permits $R(b) < R(a) < \max_{x \in S^2} R(x)$, $a \in S^2$ need not be a critical point of $R(x)$, $R(x)$ can be arbitrary on $S^2 \setminus$ a neighborhood of Γ provided iii) holds.

To solve Eq. (1.1), we look for a critical point of

$$J(u) \triangleq \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} |\nabla u|^2 + 2 \int_{S^2} u - 8\pi \log \int_{S^2} Re^u \triangleq I(u) - 8\pi \log \int_{S^2} Re^u$$

defined on $H \triangleq \{u \in H^1(S^2) \mid \int_{S^2} Re^u > 0\}$. If $J'(u_0) = 0$, then $u = u_0 + C$ some constant C is a solution of Eq. (1.1).

Set $B_r \triangleq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |x| < r\}$ and $B_1 \triangleq B$. Define $P(u) \triangleq \int_{S^2} xe^u / \int_{S^2} e^u \in B$, $\forall u \in H^1(S^2)$. Throughout this paper we assume $R(x) \in C^2(S^2)$. It is worth while noticing the function $m(x) \triangleq \inf_{u \in H, P(u)=x} J(u)$, $x \in B$. In section 2 we prove:

* The project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China

Lemma 2.1. If $R(x) > 0, \forall x \in S^2$, then $m(x) \in C(\bar{B}) \cap C^{1-\epsilon}(B)$ and $m(x) = -8\pi \log(4\pi R(x)), \forall x \in S^2$.

In section 3 we prove the following inequality:

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $b \in S^2$ is a nondegenerate local maximum point of $R(x), R(b) > 0$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ depending on R such that $\forall 0 < \epsilon \leq \delta, \exists 0 < \mu = \mu(R, \delta, \epsilon) < 4\pi$ so that the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{S^2} R(x) e^{u(x)} \leq \mu R(b) \exp\left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{S^2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} u\right), \quad \forall u \in H^1(S^2) \text{ with } \epsilon \leq |P(u) - b| \leq \delta \quad (1.2)$$

In section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1 using Lemma 2.1, 3.1 and minimax argument on H .

2. Function $m(x)$ on Unit Ball \bar{B}

In what follows we denote various constants by the same C . Set

$$\varphi_{\lambda y}(x) = \log \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{(1 - \lambda \cos d(x, y))^2}, \quad x, y \in S^2, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < 1$$

where $d(x, y)$ is the distance on (S^2, g_0) between two points x, y , then (cf. [6]) $u(x) = \varphi_{\lambda y}(x)$ satisfies Eq. (1.1) with $R(x) = 2$.

$$\int_{S^2} \exp(\varphi_{\lambda y}(x)) = 4\pi, \quad I(\varphi_{\lambda y}(x)) = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

Direct computation shows

$$P(\varphi_{\lambda y}) = C(\lambda) y \in B, \quad C(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - 1 \right) \log \frac{1 - \lambda}{1 + \lambda} \quad (2.2)$$

and there is a homeomorphism $h: B \rightarrow B: \forall \lambda y \in B, (\lambda, y) \in [0, 1) \times S^2, h(\lambda y) \triangleq P(\varphi_{\lambda y})$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. 1° $J(u)$ is bounded below (cf. [10]) and $J(u) = J(u + C) \forall u \in H^1(S^2), C \in \mathbb{R}$. For fixed $x_0 \in B$ choose a minimizing sequence $\{u_i\} \subset H$,

$\int_{S^2} u_i = 0, P(u_i) = x_0, J(u_i) \rightarrow m(x_0)$. By Aubin [2 Theorem 6], we have

$$\int_{S^2} e^{u_i} \leq C \exp\left(\frac{1}{24\pi} \int_{S^2} |\nabla u_i|^2\right) \quad (2.3)$$

C is independent of i . From (2.3) and $J(u_i) \leq C$ we derive $\|u_i\|_{H^1} \leq C$. We can extract a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_i\}$, such that $u_i \rightarrow u_0 \in H^1(S^2)$. Since $u \in H^1: u \rightarrow e^u \in L^1$ is compact (cf. [1 Theorem 2.46]) and J is weakly lower semicontinuous on H , we get $J(u_0) = m(x_0), P(u_0) = x_0$, i. e. $\inf_{u \in H, P(u) = x_0} J(u) =$

$m(x_0)$ is attained by u_0 .

2° We prove that $m(x) \in C(B)$. Suppose that $J(u_i) = m(x_i), P(u_i) = x_i \rightarrow x \in B, \int_{S^2} u_i = 0$, using $\varphi_{\lambda y}(x)$ it is easy to see that we can assume $J(u_i) \leq C$, again (2.3) holds, the same reasoning as in 1° shows $\liminf_{x_i \rightarrow x} m(x_i) \geq m(x)$. On the other

hand, if $J(u_0) = m(x_0), P(u_0) = x_0$, set $P(u) = p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$, by definition $\int_{S^2} (x - p) e^u = 0$, using implicit function theorem we see that there exists a neighborhood U of u_0 in $H^1(S^2)$ such that (v, p) is a coordinate system of U , where v is some subspace of $H^1(S^2)$ with codimension 3. Noticing the continuity of J at $u_0 \in H$, we obtain $\lim_{x_i \rightarrow x_0} m(x_i) \leq m(x_0)$, hence $m(x) \in C(B)$.

3° $\forall \{u_i\} \subset H$ such that $P(u_i) = x_i \rightarrow x_0 \in S^2$ and $\int_{S^2} e^{u_i} = 4\pi$, by [5 Lemma

1. 1] we have $\int_{S^2} R(x) e^{u_i(x)} \rightarrow 4\pi R(x_0)$, Since $I(u_i) \geq 0$ (cf. [12]), we get

$$\lim_{x_i \rightarrow x_0 \in S^2} m(x_i) \geq -8\pi \log(4\pi R(x_0))$$

On the other hand, by (2. 1), (2. 2) we have

$$J(\varphi_{\lambda y}) \rightarrow -8\pi \log(4\pi R(x_0)) \quad \text{if } \lambda y \rightarrow x_0 \in S^2$$

thus $\lim_{x_i \rightarrow x_0 \in S^2} m(x_i) \leq -8\pi \log(4\pi R(x_0))$, therefore defining

$$m(x) = -8\pi \log(4\pi R(x)), \quad \forall x \in S^2$$

we have $m(x) \in C(\bar{B})$

4° \forall fixed $0 < r < 1$, we prove $m(x) \in C^{1-\theta}(\bar{B}_r)$, i. e.

$$\frac{|m(x) - m(y)|}{|x - y|} \leq C(r) \quad \forall x, y \in \bar{B}_r \quad (2. 4)$$

If (2. 4) were false, then $\exists x_i, y_i \in \bar{B}_r, i = 1, 2, \dots, |x_i - y_i| \rightarrow 0, y_i \rightarrow z \in \bar{B}_r$ and

$$0 < \frac{m(x_i) - m(y_i)}{|x_i - y_i|} \rightarrow +\infty \quad (2. 5)$$

By 1° assume $J(u_i) = m(y_i), P(u_i) = y_i$, from 1°, 2° we can assume $u_i \rightarrow u_0 (H^1(S^2)), P(u_0) = z \in \bar{B}_r, J(u_i) \rightarrow J(u_0) = m(z)$, thus $\int_{S^2} |\nabla u_i|^2 \rightarrow$

$\int_{S^2} |\nabla u_0|^2$ and $\|u_i\|_{H^1} \rightarrow \|u_0\|_{H^1}$, hence $u_i \rightarrow u_0 (H^1(S^2))$ strongly. In a neighborhood U of $u_0 \in H^1(S^2)$, as in 2°, using coordinate system $u = (v, p), P(u) = p, u_i = (v_i, y_i)$, noting $J \in C^1(H^1(S^2))$, we should have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \frac{m(x_i) - m(y_i)}{|x_i - y_i|} &= \frac{m(x_i) - J(u_i)}{|x_i - y_i|} \\ &\leq \frac{J(v_i, x_i) - J(v_i, y_i)}{|x_i - y_i|} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial p}(v_i, y_i + \theta(x_i - y_i)) \leq C, \quad 0 < \theta < 1 \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (2. 5) and completes the proof.

When $R(x) \leq 0$ somewhere, set $V \triangleq \{x \in S^2 | R(x) > 0\} \cup \{P(u) | u \in H\}$, V is an open set of \bar{B} . The same argument as above with slight modification proves the following

Lemma 2. 1'. If $R(x) \in C^1(S^2)$, then $m(x) \in C(\bar{B} \cap V) \cap C^{1-\theta}(B \cap V)$ and $m(x) = -8\pi \log(4\pi R(x)) \quad \forall x \in S^2 \cap V$.

Remark 2. 1. We don't know whether or not $m(x) \in C^1(B \cap V)$, if it were the case, then we could reduce the minimax argument on H to that on $\bar{B} \cap V$ and get more results.

3. An Inequality

To prove Lemma 3. 1, first we prove

Lemma 3. 2. Suppose $R(b) > 0, b \in S^2$ then $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta = \delta(R, \varepsilon) > 0$, such that $\forall u \in H$ with $|P(u) - b| \leq \delta$ and $J(u) = m(P(u))$, $\exists \varphi_{\lambda x}, (\lambda, x) \in [0, 1] \times S^2$ (see section 2) so that

$$|P(\varphi_{\lambda x}) - b| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{S^2} |\nabla(u - \varphi_{\lambda x})|^2 \leq \varepsilon \quad (3. 1)$$

Proof. 1° $\forall \{u_i\} \subset H$ with $P(u_i) \rightarrow b, \int_{S^2} e^{u_i} = 4\pi, J(u_i) = m(P(u_i))$, by proof of Lemma 2. 1, 3°, we have $\int_{S^2} R(x) e^{u_i(x)} \rightarrow 4\pi R(b)$. On the other hand, by

Lemma 2. 1. $J(u_i) \rightarrow -8\pi \log(4\pi R(b))$, hence $I(u_i) \rightarrow 0$.

2° Use spherical coordinates $x = (\theta, \psi) \in S^2$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \psi < 2\pi$ with North Pole $y = (0, \psi)$, consider the transformation (cf. [6] proof of Lemma 2. 3)

$$T_{\lambda y}: H^1(S^2) \rightarrow H^1(S^2), T_{\lambda y}u(\theta, \psi) = u \circ F_{\lambda y}(\theta, \psi) + \varphi_{\lambda y}, (\lambda, y) \in (0, 1) \times S^2$$

where $F_{\lambda y}(\theta, \psi) = \left(2 \operatorname{arctg} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}} \operatorname{tg} \frac{\theta}{2} \right), \psi \right)$.

By [5] Prop. 3. 3 and proof of Prop. 3. 1. $\exists T_{\lambda_i y_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$I(T_{\lambda_i y_i} u_i) = I(u_i) \rightarrow 0, \int_{S^2} \exp(T_{\lambda_i y_i} u_i) = \int_{S^2} e^{u_i} = 4\pi \text{ and } P(T_{\lambda_i y_i} u_i) = \vec{0}$$

The argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2. 1, 1° shows $\|T_{\lambda_i y_i} u_i\|_{H^1} \leq C$ and we can assume $T_{\lambda_i y_i} u_i \rightarrow u_0 (H^1(S^2))$. Since $\inf_{|e^* - 4\pi, P(u) = \vec{0}} I(u)$ is attained by unique $u = 0$,

we have $T_{\lambda_i y_i} u_i \rightarrow 0 (H^1(S^2))$ thus $\int_{S^2} |\nabla(T_{\lambda_i y_i} u_i)|^2 \rightarrow 0$. From conformal invariance of $\int_{S^2} |\nabla v|^2$, we get

$$\int_{S^2} |\nabla(u_i + \varphi_{\lambda_i y_i} \circ F_{\lambda_i y_i}^{-1})|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

Direct computation shows $\varphi_{\lambda(-y)} \circ F_{\lambda y} + \varphi_{\lambda y} = 0$, hence

$$\int_{S^2} |\nabla(u_i - \varphi_{\lambda_i x_i})|^2 \rightarrow 0, \text{ where } x_i = -y_i \quad (3. 2)$$

3° We prove $P(\varphi_{\lambda_i x_i}) \rightarrow b$. Otherwise, choose a subsequence, if necessary, we should have either a) $\varphi_{\lambda_i x_i} \rightarrow \varphi_{\lambda_0 x_0}$ or b) $P(\varphi_{\lambda_i x_i}) \rightarrow e \in S^2$, $e \neq b$. The case a) contradicts (3. 2) and the fact $\int_{S^2} |\nabla u_i|^2 \rightarrow +\infty$. By [5] Prop. 4. 4 with $J(u)$ replaced by $K(u) \triangleq I(u) - 8\pi \log \int_{S^2} e^u$, we get $e = b$ i. e. $P(\varphi_{\lambda_i x_i}) \rightarrow b$. Lemma 3. 2 follows from 2° and 3°.

Proof of Lemma 3. 1.

1° Choose small $\varepsilon > 0$ in Lemma 3. 2 ($\varepsilon > 0$ to be determined later), $\forall u \in H$ with $J(u) = m(P(u))$ and $|P(u) - b| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$, $\int_{S^2} e^u = 4\pi$ (see Lemma 3. 2) $\exists C = C(u, \varphi_{\lambda x})$, such that

$$\int_{S^2} (u - \varphi_{\lambda x} - C) = 0 \quad (3. 3)$$

Using spherical coordinates on S^2 as above with North Pole $x = (0, \psi)$, then $\exp \varphi_{\lambda x} = \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{(1 - \lambda \cos \theta)^2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^2} R(y) e^{u(y)} - 4\pi R(b) &= \int_{S^2} (R(y) - R(b)) e^{\varphi_{\lambda x}} \cdot e^C \cdot e^{u - \varphi_{\lambda x} - C} \\ &= (1 - \lambda^2) e^C \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi (R(y) - R(b)) \frac{\sin \theta \cdot e^{u - \varphi_{\lambda x} - C}}{(1 - \lambda \cos \theta)^2} d\theta d\psi \\ &= (1 - \lambda^2) e^C \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\mu + \int_0^{2\pi} \int_\mu^\pi \right) \triangleq (1 - \lambda^2) e^C (I + II) \end{aligned}$$

Since b is a nondegenerate local maximum point of $R(y)$, $\exists a, r > 0$ such that $R(y) - R(b) \leq -a(d(y, b))^2$ if $d(y, b) = \operatorname{dist}(y, b) \leq r$. Let $\mu = \frac{r}{2}$ be fixed. It is easy to see that $|II| \leq C_1$, we have $B(t, \lambda, b) \triangleq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_t^\pi \frac{\sin \theta \cdot (d(y, b))^2}{(1 - \lambda \cos \theta)^2} \rightarrow +\infty$, as

$\lambda \rightarrow 1, t \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $b \in S^2$. Otherwise $\exists \lambda_i \rightarrow 1, t_i \rightarrow 0, b_i \rightarrow b_0 \in S^2, k > 0$ such that $B(t_i, \lambda_i, b_i) \leq k, \forall i$ then $\forall 0 < \nu < \mu$, we should have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin\theta \cdot (d(y, b_0))^2}{(1 - \cos\theta)^2} \leq k$$

This is impossible since the integral

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin\theta (d(y, b_0))^2}{(1 - \cos\theta)^2} d\theta d\psi$$

is divergent. We claim that $\forall M > 0, \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $I \leq -M$ if $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ (see Lemma 3.2). In fact choose t_0, λ_0 so that

$$B(t, \lambda, b) \geq \frac{2M}{a} \quad \text{if } 0 < t \leq t_0 \quad \lambda_0 \leq \lambda < 1 \quad (3.4)$$

Let t_0 be fixed, when $\varepsilon \leq \frac{r}{4}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{a}I &\geq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin\theta (d(y, b))^2}{(1 - \lambda \cos\theta)^2} \cdot e^{u - \varphi_{\lambda x} - c} d\theta d\psi \\ &\geq B(t_0, \lambda, b) + \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{t_0}^\pi \frac{\sin\theta (d(y, b))^2}{(1 - \lambda \cos\theta)^2} (e^{u - \varphi_{\lambda x} - c} - 1) \\ &\triangleq B(t_0, \lambda, b) + \text{III} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.1), (3.3) noting $v \in H^1(S^2) : v \rightarrow e^v \in L^1$ is compact, we get

$$|\text{III}| \leq C(t_0) \int_{S^2} |e^{u - \varphi_{\lambda x} - c} - 1| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

Hence $\exists \varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$|\text{III}| \leq \frac{M}{a} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1 \quad (3.6)$$

It is easy to see that $\exists \varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ if $|P(\varphi_{\lambda x}) - b| \leq \varepsilon_2$. From (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) we get $I \leq -M$ if $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \triangleq \min(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$. Therefore, letting $M = C_1 + 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^2} R(y) e^u &< 4\pi R(b) \quad \forall u \in H^1(S^2) \quad \text{with } J(u) = m(P(u)), \quad \int_{S^2} e^u = 4\pi \\ &\text{and } |P(u) - b| \leq \delta_0 \triangleq \delta(R, \varepsilon_0) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

2° By (3.7) noting $I(u) \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in H^1$ with $\int_{S^2} e^u = 4\pi$ (cf. [12]) we have

$J(u) > -8\pi \log(4\pi R(b)) \quad \forall u \in H^1(S^2)$ with $J(u) = m(P(u)), |P(u) - b| \leq \delta_0$. Using Lemma 2.1' we have $\forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta_0, \exists \beta = \beta(R, \delta_0, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\geq -8\pi \log(4\pi R(b)) + \beta \quad \forall u \in H^1(S^2) \\ &\text{with } J(u) = m(P(u)), 0 < \varepsilon \leq |P(u) - b| \leq \delta_0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Noting the definition of $m(x)$, we see that (3.8) holds $\forall u \in H^1(S^2)$ with $0 < \varepsilon \leq |P(u) - b| \leq \delta_0$. This is equivalent to (1.2).

Remark 3.1. By the way, we have

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $b \in S^2$ is a nondegenerate local minimum point of $R(x)$, $R(b) > 0$, then $\exists \delta > 0$ depending on R , such that $\forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta \quad \exists \beta = \beta(R, \varepsilon, \delta) > 0$ such that

$$J(\varphi_{\lambda x}) \leq -8\pi \log(4\pi R(b)) - \beta \quad \text{if } \varepsilon \leq |P(\varphi_{\lambda x}) - b| \leq \delta$$

Proof. It's similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1, 1° and simpler, notice that $\Phi(y) \triangleq J(\varphi_{\lambda x}) (P(\varphi_{\lambda x}) = y)$ is continuous in a neighborhood of b in \bar{B} . We omit the detailed proof since we don't use it in this paper.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, using Lemma 3.1 for $b \in S^2$ we obtain

$\delta, \rho > 0$ such that

$$J(u) \geq -8\pi \log(4\pi R(b)) + \rho \triangleq s + \rho \quad \forall u \in H^1(S^2) \text{ with } |P(u) - b| = \delta \quad (4.1)$$

Choose $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$ sufficiently close to 1 so that

$$J(\varphi_{\lambda_0 a}) \leq s + \frac{\rho}{2}, \quad 0 < |P(\varphi_{\lambda_0 a}) - b| < \delta, \quad |P(\varphi_{\lambda_0 a}) - b| > \delta \quad (4.2)$$

and $J(\varphi_{\lambda_0 a}) \leq s + \frac{\rho}{2}$. Let $\varphi_{\lambda_0 a} \triangleq p, \varphi_{\lambda_0 b} \triangleq q$ be fixed.

$$\Lambda \triangleq \{h \in C([0, 1], H) \mid h(0) = p, h(1) = q\}$$

Because $R(x)$ may be negative somewhere on S^2 , we need

Lemma 4.1. Λ is nonempty.

Proof. e. g.

$$h_0(t) \triangleq \log[(1-t) \exp \varphi_{\lambda_0 a} + t \exp \varphi_{\lambda_0 b}] \in \Lambda$$

Define

$$v = \inf_{h \in \Lambda} \max_{t \in (0, 1)} J(h(t)) \quad (4.3)$$

By (4.1), (4.2), $v \geq s + \rho$

Lemma 4.2. If $m = \min_{x \in \Gamma} R(x) > 0$, then $v < -8\pi \log(4\pi m)$

Proof. Set

$$\eta(x) \in C^{1-0}(S^2) : \eta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } R(x) \geq R(b) \\ 1 & \text{if } R(x) \leq m \end{cases}$$

using the flow $\frac{dx}{dt} = \eta(x) \nabla R(x)$ on S^2 , noting that $\Gamma \cap R^{-1}(m)$ is compact, we see that Γ can be deformed a little with endpoints a, b fixed, which is still denoted by $\Gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow S^2$, so that $\Delta R(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma \cap R^{-1}(m)$. The same argument as in [5] proof of Lemma 4.5 shows that $\exists 0 < \lambda_1 < 1$ such that if $\lambda_1 < \lambda < 1$ then $J(\varphi_{\lambda \Gamma(t)}) < -8\pi \log(4\pi m) \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$. Connecting p with $\varphi_{\lambda a}$, q with $\varphi_{\lambda b}$, together with $\varphi_{\lambda \Gamma(t)}$ we get $h \in \Lambda$ such that

$$J(u) < -8\pi \log(4\pi m) \quad \forall u \in h(t)$$

Hence $v < -8\pi \log(4\pi m)$.

Lemma 4.3. If $J'(u) \neq \theta, \forall u \in H$, then $\exists h_n \in \Lambda, n = 2, 3, \dots$ such that

$$\max_{t \in (0, 1)} J(h_n(t)) \leq v + \frac{\rho}{n^2} \quad (4.4)$$

and $\forall w \in h_n(t), t \in [0, 1]$ if $J(w) \geq v - \frac{\rho}{n^2}$ then $\exists \bar{w} \in H$ such that

$$\|w - \bar{w}\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad |J(\bar{w}) - v| \leq \frac{\rho}{n^2}, \quad \|J'(\bar{w})\| \leq \frac{2\rho}{n} \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Since $\inf_{h \in \Lambda} \max_{t \in (0, 1)} J(h(t)) = v, \exists k_n \in \Lambda$ such that

$$\max_{t \in (0, 1)} J(k_n(t)) \leq v + \frac{\rho}{n^2}$$

Consider the Eq. on H :

$$\frac{du}{dt} = -\eta(u) \frac{J'(u)}{\|J'(u)\|} \quad u(0, v) = v$$

where $0 \leq \eta(u) \leq 1$,

$$\eta(u) \in C^{1-0}(H) : \eta(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \|u - p\| \geq 2\varepsilon \text{ and } \|u - q\| \geq 2\varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } \|u - p\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ or } \|u - q\| \leq \varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough so that $J(u) \leq s + \frac{5}{8}\rho$ if $\|u - p\| \leq 2\varepsilon$ or $\|u - q\| \leq 2\varepsilon$, then it's not difficult to see that $h_n(t) \triangleq u\left(\frac{1}{n}, k_n(t)\right), n = 2, 3, \dots$ satisfy (4.4)

and (4.5) with $\bar{w} = u\left(\tau, k_n(t_s)\right)$ for some $0 \leq \tau \leq \frac{1}{n}$, where $w = h_n(t_s)$.

Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1. 1

1° Assume $m > 0$. If $J'(u) \neq 0, \forall u \in H$, by Lemma 4. 3, $\exists h_n \in \Lambda, n = 2, 3, \dots$, satisfying (4. 4) (5. 5).

Set

$$A \triangleq \{x \in S^2 \mid -8\pi \log(4\pi R(x)) = v, \nabla R(x) \neq \vec{0}\}$$

we claim that $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\text{dist}(P(w), A) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall w = h_n(t), n \geq n_0, 0 < t < 1 \quad \text{with} \quad J(w) \geq v - \frac{\rho}{n^2} \quad (4. 6)$$

If (4. 6) were false, then $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0, w_i = h_{n_i}(t_i), i = 2, 3, \dots, n_i \rightarrow +\infty, 0 < t_i < 1$

$$J(w_i) \geq v - \frac{\rho}{n_i^2}, \quad \text{dist}(P(w_i), A) > \varepsilon_0 \quad (4. 7)$$

By Lemma 4. 3, $\exists \bar{w}_i, i = 2, 3, \dots$, satisfying (4. 5), then $|P(\bar{w}_i)| \rightarrow 1$, otherwise we should have a subsequence, still denoted by $\{\bar{w}_i\}$ with $|P(\bar{w}_i)| \leq 1 - \delta < 1$, using [5] Prop. 2. 1 for $\{\bar{w}_i\}$ we should have

$$\bar{w}_i \xrightarrow{H^1} \bar{w}_0 \quad \text{strongly and} \quad J'(\bar{w}_0) = \theta \quad \text{a contradiction.}$$

Using [5] Prop. 4. 3. for $\{\bar{w}_i\}$ we obtain

$$P(\bar{w}_i) \rightarrow E \triangleq \{x \in S^2 \mid -8\pi \log(4\pi R(x)) = v\} \quad \text{as} \quad i \rightarrow \infty$$

From $\|w_i - \bar{w}_i\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{1}{n_i}$ and (4. 7) noting $\int_{S^2} |\nabla w_i|^2 \rightarrow +\infty$, similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2. 1, 1° shows $|P(w_i)| \rightarrow 1$. Using [5] Prop 4. 4 for $\{w_i\}, \{\bar{w}_i\}$, we can derive $P(w_i) \rightarrow E$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. \forall nondegenerate local maximum point $y \in E$, applying Lemma 3. 1, noting (4. 4) we see that no subsequence of $P(w_i)$ can tend to y . Thus $P(w_i) \rightarrow A$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, contradicting (4. 7). Therefore (4. 6) is true. From (4. 6) applying [5] Lemma 5. 1 (a continuous flow on H) with slight modification (i. e. keep p, q fixed) for some $h_n \in \Lambda, n$ being sufficiently large, we get $\bar{h}_n \in \Lambda$ with $\max_{t \in (a, 1)} J(\bar{h}_n(t)) < v$ contradicting (4. 3). Hence J must have a critical point.

2° When $m \leq 0$, instead of Lemma 4. 2, using Lemma 4. 1, we see that $v < +\infty$, we don't need assumption ii) and assume iii) only on $R^{-1}(0, R(b))$, the rest of proof is the same as above.

References

- [1] Th. Aubin, Nonlinear analysis on manifolds, Monge-Ampere Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [2] Th. Aubin, Meilleures constantes dans le théorème d'inclusion de Sobolev, *J. Funct. Anal.* 32 (1979), 148—174.
- [3] S. Y. A. Chang, Extremal functions in a sharp form of Sobolev inequality, preprint.
- [4] Chen Wen-xiong, A problem concerning the scalar curvature on S^2 , preprint.
- [5] Chen Wen-xiong & Ding Weiyue, Scalar curvature on S^2 , to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [6] Hong Chongwei, A best constant and the Gaussian curvature, *Proc. A. M. S.* Vol. 97, No. 4 (1986), 737—747.
- [7] J. Kazdan and F. Warner, Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 99 (1974), 14—47.
- [8] J. Kazdan and F. Warner, Existence and conformal deformation of metrics with prescribed Gaussian and scalar curvature, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 101 (1975), 317—331.
- [9] J. Kazdan, Gaussian and scalar curvature, an update, Seminar on Differential

Geometry (S. T. Yau, ed.), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., (1982), 185-191.

[10] J. Moser, A sharp form of an inequality of N. Trudinger, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 20 (1971), 1077-1092.

[11] J. Moser, On a nonlinear problem in differential geometry, *Dynamical Systems* (M. Peixoto ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1973.

[12] E. Onofri, On the positivity of the effective action in a theory of random surface, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 86 (1982), 321-326.

[13] S. T. Yau, Survey on partial differential equations in differential geometry, *Seminar on Differential Geometry* (S. T. Yau ed.), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., (1982), 3-71.