

BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS FOR INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF ELLIPTIC TYPE^①

Ma Tian and Yu Qingyu

(Dept. of Math., Lanzhou University)

(Received January 12, 1988; revised May 3, 1988)

Abstract In this paper we study the existence of solutions to the Dirichlet problem for a class of integro-differential equations of elliptic type by using the weakly continuous method.

Key Words Integro-differential equations; weakly continuous operator; Choquard equation; weak solutions.

Classifications 45K05; 35J60.

0. Introduction

The integro-differential equations of elliptic type occur in many practical models in nuclear physics, theory of quantum field and mechanics.

Ugowski [1] and Tsai Longyi [2] considered the following problem

$$a_{ij}(x)D_{ij}u + b_i(x)D_iu = f(x, u, K(u)), \quad x \in \Omega \quad (0.1)$$

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi(x) \quad (0.2)$$

where $K(u)$ denotes an integral operator, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a bounded region.

Ugowski discussed the existence of (0.1), (0.2) by using a successive approximation. Tsai Longyi discussed the existence of (0.1), (0.2) by combining methods of supersolution-subsolution and topological degree. Politjukov [3] defined a concept concerning ε -supersolution and ε -subsolution, and discussed parabolic equations by using this method.

What we shall discuss is the following problem

$$\sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D_\alpha (a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au, R(u)) D_\beta u) + \sum_{|\gamma| \leq n} (-1)^{|\gamma|} D_\gamma b_\gamma(x, Au, R(u)) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega \quad (0.3)$$

$$D_\gamma u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \forall |\gamma| \leq n-1 \quad (0.4)$$

where $Au = (D_\gamma u, |\gamma| \leq n-1)$, $R(u)$ is an integral operator acting on Au , and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is an arbitrary region.

1. The Existence Theorem of the Weakly Continuous Operator Equations

Let X be a linear space, X_1, X_2 be the completions of X with respect to the norm

① The project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

$\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ respectively, X with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$ be a separable linear normed space. X_1 be a reflexive Banach space. $x_n \rightharpoonup x_0$ denotes weak convergence and $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ denotes strong convergence.

Definition 1.1 A mapping $G: X_1 \rightarrow X_2^*$ is called weakly continuous if for any $x_n, x_0 \in X_1, x_n \rightharpoonup x_0$, there is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Gx_n, y \rangle = \langle Gx_0, y \rangle, \quad \forall y \in X_2$$

Theorem 1.2 Let $G: X_1 \rightarrow X_2^*$ be a weakly continuous mapping. If there exists a bounded open set Ω of $X_1, 0 \in \Omega$, such that

$$\langle Gu, u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in \partial\Omega \cap X \quad (1.1)$$

then $Gu=0$ has a solution u_0 in X_1 , and $u_0 \in \overline{\text{co}\Omega}$.

Proof Take $\{e_i\} \subset X$, such that it is dense in X_2 , and denote $\tilde{X}_n = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, \tilde{X}_n has the same norm as that of X_1 . Define the mapping $A_n: \tilde{X}_n \rightarrow \tilde{X}_n^*$ as

$$\langle A_n u, v \rangle = \langle Gu, v \rangle, \quad \forall u, v \in \tilde{X}_n$$

It is easy to derive the continuity of A_n from the weak continuity of G . By (1.1) we have

$$\langle A_n u, u \rangle = \langle Gu, u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in \partial\Omega \cap \tilde{X}_n$$

Using the acute angle principle [4] of the topological degree, there exists $u_n \in \partial\Omega \cap \tilde{X}_n$ such that $\langle A_n u_n, v \rangle = \langle Gu_n, v \rangle = 0, \quad \forall v \in \tilde{X}_n$.

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in X_1 and X_1 is reflexive, let, say, $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \in X_1$, hence it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Gu_k, v \rangle = \langle Gu_0, v \rangle = 0, \quad \forall v \in \tilde{X}_n$$

Because $\bigcup_n \tilde{X}_n$ is dense in X_2 , we have

$$\langle Gu_0, v \rangle = 0, \quad \forall v \in X_2$$

i. e., $Gu_0 = 0$. Therefore the theorem is proved.

2. The Elliptic Dirichlet Problem

We consider the following problem

$$\sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} (-1)^\alpha D_\alpha (a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au, R(u)) D_\beta u) + \sum_{|\gamma| \leq n} (-1)^{|\gamma|} D_\gamma b_\gamma(x, Au, R(u)) = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega \quad (2.1)$$

$$D_\gamma u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad |\gamma| \leq n-1 \quad (2.2)$$

where $Au = \{D_\alpha u \mid |\alpha| \leq n-1\}$, $R(u)$ is an integral operator acting on Au and $\Omega \subset R^n$ is any region.

First of all, some comments must be made for the related notations of the anisotropic Sobolev space. We denote

$$W_{|\alpha| \leq k}^{p_0}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^{p_0}(\Omega), p_0 \geq 1 \mid D_\alpha u \in L^{p_0}(\Omega), |\alpha| \leq k, p_\alpha \geq 1 \text{ or } p_\alpha = 0\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\| = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \text{sign } p_\alpha \|D_\alpha u\|_{L^{p_\alpha}}$$

Note that when $\forall |\alpha| > 0, p_\alpha = 0, W_{|\alpha| \leq k}^{p_\alpha}(\Omega) = L^{p_0}(\Omega)$.

When $|\alpha| = k$, and all $p_\alpha = p$, it is denoted by $W_{k, |\alpha| \leq k-1}^{p, p_\alpha}(\Omega)$. $\dot{W}_{|\alpha| \leq k}^{p_\alpha}(\Omega)$ denotes a completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ under the norm $W_{|\alpha| \leq k}^{p_\alpha}(\Omega)$.

$q_\theta (|\theta| \leq k)$ is called the critical embedding index from $W_{|\alpha| \leq k}^{p_\alpha}(\Omega)$ to $L^p(\Omega)$, if q_θ is the maximum number of index p of $D_\theta u \in L^p(\Omega)$ for any $u \in W_{|\alpha| \leq k}^{p_\alpha}(\Omega)$ and it is continuous as an embedding operator.

• For example, when Ω is bounded and smooth, the space

$$Y = \{u \in L^k(\Omega), k \geq 1 \mid D_i u \in L^2(\Omega), 1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

with the norm $\|u\| = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^k}$ is an anisotropic Sobolev space with the critical

embedding indexes $q_i = 2 (1 \leq i \leq m), q_0 = \max\left\{k, \frac{2m}{m-2}\right\}$ from Y to $L^p(\Omega)$.

We assume that all functions $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the Caratheodory condition. We shall introduce several lemmas as follows.

Lemma 2.1 Assume that $\text{mes } G < \infty, G \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is measurable. If the sequence $(v_{1k}(x), \dots, v_{Nk}(x))$ converges to $(v_1(x), \dots, v_N(x))$ in measure on G , then $f(x, v_{1k}, \dots, v_{Nk})$ converges to $f(x, v_1, \dots, v_N)$ in measure on G (see [4]).

Lemma 2.2 Assume that $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a measurable set of finite measure and $\{f_k\} \subset L^p(E)$ is bounded, $p > 1$. If $f_0 \in L^p(E)$ such that f_n converges to f_0 in measure on E , then for any $1 \leq q < p, f_n \rightarrow f_0$ is in $L^q(E)$.

This lemma is a particular case of Theorem 8.22 in [5].

Lemma 2.3 Assume that $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following condition

$$|f(x, z_1, \dots, z_N)| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^N |z_i|^{p_i/q} + b(x) \quad (2.3)$$

where $p_i > 1, q > 1, C > 0$ are constants, $b \in L^q(\Omega)$. If $\{u_{ik}\} \subset L^{p_i}(\Omega) (1 \leq i \leq N)$ are bounded and $u_i \in L^{p_i}(\Omega)$, for any bounded subregion Ω_0 of $\Omega, u_{ik}(x)$ converges to u_i in measure on Ω_0 , then for any $v \in L^q(\Omega), 1/q + 1/q' = 1$, there is

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{1k}, \dots, u_{Nk}) v dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_1, \dots, u_N) v dx \quad (2.4)$$

Proof Define a mapping $f: L^{p_1}(\Omega) \oplus \dots \oplus L^{p_N}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ by

$$(fu, v) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_1, \dots, u_N) v dx, \quad v \in L^q(\Omega)$$

By (2.3), f is a bounded mapping. Since $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^q(\Omega)$, it suffices to prove that (2.4) is true for any $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

For any $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, there exists a bounded subregion Ω_0 of Ω such that $\text{supp } v \subset \Omega_0$. Therefore what we must do is to verify the following equality

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_0} f(x, u_{1k}, \dots, u_{Nk}) v dx = \int_{\Omega_0} f(x, u_1, \dots, u_N) v dx \quad (2.5)$$

According to the assumption and (2.3), $\{f(x, u_{1k}, \dots, u_{Nk})\}$ is bounded in $L^q(\Omega_0)$. By Lemma 2.1, $f(x, u_{1k}, \dots, u_{Nk})$ converges to $f(x, u_1, \dots, u_N)$ in measure on Ω_0 . Hence, by Lemma 2.2, $\forall \tilde{q} \in (1, q), f(x, u_{1k}, \dots, u_{Nk})$ converges to $f(x, u_1, \dots, u_N)$ in $L^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega_0)$. This shows that (2.5) holds. Therefore the proof of the lemma is com-

pleted.

For (2.1), (2.2), we need some assumptions as follows.

(A₁) There exists a set of differentiable functions $B_i(x, \eta, R)$, $0 \leq i \leq m$ such that $B_i(x, 0, R) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and

$$\sum_{|\gamma|=n} b_\gamma(x, Au, R(u)) D_\gamma u = \sum_{i=1}^m D_i B_i(x, Au, R(u)) + B_0(x, Au, R(u))$$

(A₂) There exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, \eta, R) \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \sum_{|\lambda| \leq n-1} |D_\lambda u|^{p_\lambda} - f_1(x) \\ \leq \sum_{|\lambda| \leq n-1} b_\lambda(x, Au, R(u)) D_\lambda u + B_0(x, Au, R(u)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $p_0 > 1$, either $p_\lambda > 1$, or $p_\lambda = 0$ for $0 < |\lambda| \leq n-1$, and $f_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$.

(A₃) The constructivity condition

$$|a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au, R(u))| \leq C_3 \sum_{|\gamma| \leq n-1} |D_\gamma u|^{S_\gamma} + g_1(u) \quad (2.8)$$

$$|b_\lambda(x, Au, R(u))| \leq C_2 \sum_{|\gamma| \leq n-1} |D_\gamma u|^{T_\gamma} + g_2(u) \quad (2.9)$$

where $T_\gamma < q_\gamma$, $S_\gamma < q_\gamma/2$. q_γ is a critical embedding index from $\dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_\lambda}(\Omega)$ to $L^f(\Omega)$. $g_1: \dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_\lambda}(\tilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow L^{p_1}(\tilde{\Omega})$ and $g_2: \dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_\lambda}(\tilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow L^{p_2}(\tilde{\Omega})$ are bounded integral operators, $2 < p_1 \leq \infty$, $1 < p_2 \leq \infty$, and $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ is any bounded subregion.

(A₄) The integral operator $R(u) = \int_\Omega G(x, y, Au(y)) dy$ satisfies the following condition

$$|G(x, y, \eta)| \leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq n-1} |f_\gamma(x, y)| |\eta_\gamma|^{\bar{S}_\gamma} + f_2(x, y) \quad (2.10)$$

where $2 \leq \bar{S}_\gamma < q_\gamma$, q_γ is the same as in (A₃), $f_\gamma(x_0, y) \in L^{t_\gamma}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0)$, $f_\gamma(x_0, y) \in L_{loc}^{r_\gamma}(\Omega)$, for any $x_0 \in \Omega$, and Ω_0 the neighbourhood of x_0 . $t_\gamma, r_\gamma > q_\gamma (q_\gamma - \bar{S}_\gamma)^{-1}$. $F_2(x) = \int_\Omega f_2(x, y) dy \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$.

Let $X_1 = \dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_\lambda}(\Omega)$. $u \in X_1$ is called the weak solution of the problem (2.1), (2.2), if for any $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \left[\sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au, R(u)) D_\beta u D_\alpha v \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{|\gamma| \leq n} b_\gamma(x, Au, R(u)) D_\gamma v - f v \right] dx = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Remark 2.4 If $p_\lambda = 0$ in (2.7) and $\forall 0 < |\lambda| \leq n-1$, X_1 is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ under the following norm: $\|u\| = \|D^n u\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^0}$.

Theorem 2.5 Under the assumptions of (A₁) – (A₄), if $f \in L^{f_0}(\Omega)$, then the problem (2.1), (2.2) has a weak solution in X_1 .

Proof Take a bounded subregion $\Omega_k \subset \Omega$, $\Omega_k \subset \Omega_{k+1}$, $\bigcup_k \Omega_k = \Omega$, for any k . We shall prove first that there exists $u_k \in \dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_\lambda}(\Omega_k)$ which satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega_k} \left[\sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au_k, R(u_k)) D_{\beta} u_k D_{\alpha} v + \sum_{|\gamma| \leq n} b_{\gamma}(x, Au_k, R(u_k)) D_{\gamma} v - fv \right] dx = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

$\forall v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and there is

$$\left[\int_{\Omega_k} \sum_{|\alpha|=n} |D_{\alpha} u_k|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} + \sum_{|\lambda| \leq n-2} \|D_{\lambda} u_k\|_{L^{\lambda}(\Omega_k)} \leq C \quad (2.13)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of k .

Denote X_2 as the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_k)$ under the norm C^n and the left side of (2.12) as $\langle Fu, v \rangle_k$. By the conditions (A₃) and (A₄), it is easy to derive that $\langle Fu, v \rangle_k$ defines a bounded mapping $F: \dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_{\lambda}}(\Omega_k) \rightarrow X_2^*$.

By (A₁) and (A₂), for any $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Fu, u \rangle_k &= \int_{\Omega_k} \left[\sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au, R(u)) D_{\alpha} u D_{\beta} u + \sum_{|\gamma| \leq n-1} b_{\gamma}(x, Au, R(u)) D_{\gamma} u + B_0(x, Au, R(u)) - f(x)u \right] dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_k} \left[C_1 \sum_{|\alpha|=n} |D_{\alpha} u|^2 + C_1 \sum_{|\lambda| \leq n-1} |D_{\lambda} u|^{p_{\lambda}} - f_1(x) - \frac{1}{p_0 \varepsilon} |f|^{p_0} - \frac{\varepsilon}{p_0} |u|^{p_0} \right] dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary positive number. Since $f_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$, there exists a constant $M > 0$ independent of k , such that when $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_k)$, $\|u\|_{\dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_{\lambda}}} = C$, there is $\langle Fu, u \rangle \geq 0$.

By Theorem 1.2, if it can be proved that $F: \dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_{\lambda}}(\Omega_k) \rightarrow X_2^*$ is weakly continuous, then there exists $u_k \in \dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_{\lambda}}(\Omega_k)$ which satisfies (2.12). From the inequality (2.14) and the result of Theorem 1.2, it follows again that u_k satisfies (2.13).

In what follows we shall prove the weak continuity of F .

Assume that $u^N(x) \rightarrow u_k(x)$ is in $\dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_{\lambda}}(\Omega_k)$ (k is fixed), hence it is obvious that Au^N converges to Au_k in measure on Ω_k . First, we shall prove that the integral operator $R(u^N)$ converges to $R(u_k)$ in measure on Ω_k . What we have to do is to verify that for each term of $R(u)$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_k} G(x_0, y, Au^N(y)) dy = \int_{\Omega_k} G(x_0, y, Au_k(y)) dy \quad (2.15)$$

holds for any $x_0 \in \Omega_k$.

In fact if we make an extension for $u \in \dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_{\lambda}}(\Omega_k)$ that

$$\tilde{u}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & x \in \Omega_k \\ 0, & x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_k \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

then $\tilde{u} \in \dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_{\lambda}}(\Omega)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} [G(x, y, Au^N(y)) - G(x, y, A\tilde{u}_k(y))] dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega_k} [G(x, y, Au^N(y)) - G(x, y, Au_k(y))] dy \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.15) can be derived from Lemma 2.1—2.3 and the condition (A₄).

Therefore it follows that $R(u^N)$ converges to $R(u_k)$ in measure on Ω_k .

We verify next that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_k} \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au^N, R(u^N)) D_\alpha u^N D_\beta v dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_k} \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au_k, R(u_k)) D_\alpha u_k D_\beta v dx \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_k} b_\gamma(x, Au^N, R(u^N)) D_\gamma v dx = \int_{\Omega_k} b_\gamma(x, Au_k, R(u_k)) D_\gamma v dx \quad (2.18)$$

We obtain (2.18) from Lemmas 2.1—2.3 and (2.9). For (2.17), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_k} \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} [a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au^N, R(u^N)) D_\alpha u^N D_\beta v - a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au_k, R(u_k)) D_\alpha u_k D_\beta v] dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_k} \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} [a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au^N, R(u^N)) - a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au_k, R(u_k))] D_\alpha u^N D_\beta v dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega_k} \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au_k, R(u_k)) D_\beta v (D_\alpha u^N - D_\alpha u_k) dx \end{aligned}$$

Since $u^N \rightarrow u_k$ is in $\dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_k}(\Omega_k)$, then for any $|\alpha| = n$, $D_\alpha u^N \rightarrow D_\alpha u_k$ is in $L^2(\Omega_k)$. For any $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and $u \in \dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_k}(\Omega_k)$, by the condition (2.8), $D_\beta v a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au, R(u)) \in L^2(\Omega_k)$. Therefore we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_k} \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au_k, R(u_k)) D_\beta v (D_\alpha u^N - D_\alpha u_k) dx = 0$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega_k} \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} (a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au^N, R(u^N)) - a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au_k, R(u_k))) D_\alpha u^N D_\beta v dx \right| \\ & \leq C \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} \left[\int_{\Omega_k} |a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au^N, R(u^N)) - a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au_k, R(u_k))|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\int_{\Omega_k} |D_\alpha u^N|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

By (2.8), for any $u \in \dot{W}_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_k}(\Omega_k)$, $a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au, R(u)) \in L^p(\Omega_k)$, $p > 2$ is a constant. Again by Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_k} |a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au^N, R(u^N)) - a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au_k, R(u_k))|^2 dx = 0$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|=n} \int_{\Omega_k} [a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au^N, R(u^N)) - a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au_k, R(u_k))] D_\alpha u^N D_\beta v dx = 0$$

Therefore (2.17) holds.

Let $\{u_k(x)\}$ be a sequence satisfying (2.12) and (2.13). For $\{u_k\}$ we make an extension of (2.16) which we still denote by $\{u_k(x)\}$, then $\{u_k(x)\} \subset W_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_k}(\Omega)$ is bounded. We may assume that $u_k(x) \rightarrow u_0(x)$ is in $W_{n, |\lambda| \leq n-1}^{2, p_k}(\Omega)$, then Au_k converges to Au_0 in measure on any bounded subregion of Ω . From the condition (A₃) it follows that $a_{\alpha, \beta}(x, Au_k, R(u_k)) \in L_{loc}^T(\Omega)$ is bounded, $T > 2$ is a real number. $b_\gamma(x, Au_k, R(u_k)) \in$

$L^s_{loc}(\Omega)$ is bounded, $S > 1$ is a real number. Again since for any $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, there exists a natural number k such that $\text{supp } v \subset \Omega_k$, what we shall do is to prove that for any bounded subregion $\tilde{\Omega}$ of Ω , $R(u_k)$ converges to $R(u_0)$ in measure on $\tilde{\Omega}$.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $x_0 \in \Omega$, there exists $\Omega_\varepsilon \subset \Omega$ which is bounded, $x_0 \in \Omega_\varepsilon$, such that

$$\left[\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} |f_\gamma(x_0, y)|^{t'_\gamma} dy \right]^{1/t'_\gamma} < \varepsilon \quad (2.19)$$

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} |f_2(x_0, y)| dy < \varepsilon \quad (2.20)$$

Because $t'_\gamma > q_\gamma (q_\gamma - \bar{S}_\gamma)^{-1}$, $2 \leq t'_\gamma$, $\bar{S}_\gamma < q_\gamma$, $\left[\frac{1}{t'_\gamma} + \frac{1}{t_\gamma} = 1 \right]$, by the interpolation inequality and the embedding theorem, it is obtained that

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} |f_\gamma(x_0, y) D_\gamma u(y)|^{\bar{S}_\gamma} dy \leq \varepsilon C \|u\|_{W^{2, r_\gamma}_{|\alpha| \leq n-1}} \quad (2.21)$$

where $C > 0$ is a Sobolev embedding constant.

On the other hand, $r_\gamma > q_\gamma (q_\gamma - \bar{S}_\gamma)^{-1}$, $r'_\gamma \bar{S}_\gamma < q_\gamma$. By Young's inequality, from (2.10) we have

$$|G(x_0, y, \eta)| \leq C_1 \sum_{|\gamma| \leq n-1} |\eta_\gamma|^{S'_\gamma} + \tilde{f}(x_0, y) \quad (2.22)$$

where $S'_\gamma = r'_\gamma \bar{S}_\gamma$, $\tilde{f}(x_0, y) \in L^1(\Omega_\varepsilon)$. By the property of Caratheodory operator, (2.22) implies that there exists $N_0 > 0$ such that when $k > N_0$,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} [G(x_0, y, Au_k(y)) - G(x_0, y, Au_0(y))] dy \right| < \varepsilon$$

Combining (2.19) - (2.21), it gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Omega} [G(x_0, y, Au_k(y)) - G(x_0, y, Au_0(y))] dy \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} [G(x_0, y, Au_k(y)) - G(x_0, y, Au_0(y))] dy \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} G(x_0, y, Au_k(y)) dy \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} G(x_0, y, Au_0(y)) dy \right| \\ & \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon C \|u_k\|_{W^{2, r_\gamma}_{|\alpha| \leq n-1}} + \varepsilon C \|u_0\|_{W^{2, r_\gamma}_{|\alpha| \leq n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $R(u_k)$ converges to $R(u_0)$ in measure on any bounded subregion. Therefore the theorem is true.

The following theorem is obvious.

Theorem 2.6 Under the assumptions of (A_3) and (A_4) , $f \in L^r_0(\Omega)$. If there exists a constant $R > 0$ such that $\langle Fu, u \rangle \geq 0$, $\forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, $\|u\|_{X_1} = R$, then the problem (2.1), (2.2) has a weak solution u_0 in X_1 and $\|u_0\|_{X_1} \leq R$, where $\langle Fu, v \rangle$ denotes the left side of (2.11).

As an application, we consider the following Choquard equation

$$-\Delta u + \lambda u - u \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u^2(y)}{|x-y|} dy = f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (2.23)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Remark 2.7 The results of Theorem 2.8 still holds, if instead of $\lambda \geq \frac{3}{2} + \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\omega}{2C^4} \|f\|_{L^2}^4$, we take $\lambda \geq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\omega}{2C^4} \cdot \frac{2\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon} \|f\|_{L^2}^4$, $\frac{1}{2} < \varepsilon < 1$.

Theorem 2.8 If $\lambda \geq \frac{3}{2} + \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\omega}{2C^4} \|f\|_{L^2}^4$, then the problem (2.23) has a weak solution u_0 in $\dot{W}_2^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\|u_0\|_{W_2^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^2}$, where $\omega = \frac{4}{3}\pi$ is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^3 and C is the optimal Sobolev embedding constant from $\dot{W}_2^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof Denote $f_0(x, y) = |x - y|^{-1}$, then $G(x, y, u) = f_0(x, y)u^2$. A calculation yields $\bar{S}_0 = 2, q_0 = 6$ and $q_0(q_0 - \bar{S}_0)^{-1} = 1.5$. Obviously, when $t_0 > 3 > r_0 > 1.5, \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3, f_0(x_0, \cdot) \in L_{loc}^r(\mathbb{R}^3), f_0(x_0, \cdot) \in L^s(\Omega \setminus B(x_0)), B(x_0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \|y - x_0\| < 1\}$. Thus the condition (A_4) is satisfied. Let

$$b_0(x, u, k(u)) = \lambda u - u \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u^2(y)}{|x - y|} dy$$

We shall verify the condition (A_3)

$$|b_0(x, u, k(u))| \leq u^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2(y) |x - y|^{-1} dy \right]^2$$

Denote $g_0(u) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2(y) |x - y|^{-1} dy \right]^2$. It is easy to verify that $g_0: \dot{W}_2^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Finally we verify the acute angle condition. Let $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then

$$\langle Fu, u \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda|u|^2 - f(x)u] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2(y) |x - y|^{-1} dy dx$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2(y) |x - y|^{-1} dy dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2(x) \left[\int_{B(x)} u^2(y) |x - y|^{-1} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(x)} u^2(y) dy \right] dx \\ & \leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2(x) dx \right]^2 + \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2(x) dx \right] \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^4(x) dx \right]^{1/2} \omega^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Fu, u \rangle & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda u^2] dx - \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \omega \|u\|_{L^4}^4 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\right) \|u\|_{L^2}^4 \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Denote $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = M$. Take $\|u\|_{W_2^1}^2 = M, \varepsilon = \frac{C^4}{M\omega}$, where $C > 0$ is the optimal Sobolev embedding constant of $\|u\|_{W_2^1} \geq C\|u\|_{L^4}$. Hence it follows from (2.24) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Fu, u \rangle & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx \\ & \quad + \left(\lambda - \frac{3}{2} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\right) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} M - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \omega \|u\|_{L^4}^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \left(\lambda - \frac{3}{2} - M - \frac{\omega}{2C_4} M^2 \right) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} M - \frac{C^4}{2M} \|u\|_{L^4}^4$$

By the condition in the theorem, $\lambda \geq \frac{3}{2} + M + \frac{\omega}{2C_4} M^2$, and the fact: from $\|u\|_{W_2^1} = M^{1/2}$ it follows that $M^2 \geq C^4 \|u\|_{L^4}^4$, there is

$$\langle Fu, u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \|u\|_{W_2^1} = \|f\|_{L^2}$$

Using Theorem 2.5, this theorem follows.

References

- [1] Ugowski, On integro-differential equations of parabolic and elliptic type, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 22 (1970), 255—275.
- [2] Tsai Longyi, On the solvability of nonlinear integro-differential operators, *Chinese J. Math.*, 11:1(1983), 75—84.
- [3] Politjukov V. P., On the theory of upper and lower solutions and the solvability of quasilinear integro-differential equations, *Mat. USSR. Sbornik*, 35(1979), 499—507.
- [4] Chen Wenyuan, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Gansu People's Publishing House(1982).
- [5] Adams R. A., *Sobolev Spaces*, Acad. Press. New York (1975).