

EXISTENCE OF VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER FULLY NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS^①

Bian Baojun

(Dept. of Math., Zhejiang Univ., Hangzhou, China)

(Received Dec. 2, 1988; revised April 7, 1989)

Abstract We consider the problem of existence for viscosity solutions of second order fully nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations $F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = 0$. We prove existence results for viscosity solutions in $W^{1,\infty}$ under assumptions that function F satisfies the natural structure conditions. We do not assume F is convex.

Key Words Viscosity solutions; Second order fully nonlinear elliptic equations; Existence.

Classification 35J60.

1. Introduction

This paper deals with the problem of existence for solutions of second order fully nonlinear elliptic equations

$$F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (1.1)$$

with Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.2)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in R^n with $C^{1,1}$ boundary. Here F is a real function on $\Gamma = S(n) * R^n * R * \Omega$, $S(n)$ denotes the $n * n$ real valued symmetric matrices, and Γ will denote set $S(n) * R^n * R$. We assume g is a C^2 real function on $\bar{\Omega}$.

The existence results for such problems depend on both the properties of the function F and the space in which solutions are taken. Using the method of continuity, we can establish existence result for classical solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) under some conditions on F which include the convexity of F . Otherwise, some existence results of $W^{2,p}$ solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) can be obtained; for F "linear at infinity" ([6]), for F "close to linear" ([8]).

The definition of "viscosity solution" was introduced by [4] as a notion of weak solution for H-J equations in 1983. Under some assumptions, the uniqueness and exist-

^① This work is supported by The National Natural Science Foundation of China.

tence of viscosity solutions can be established. In [10] the definition of viscosity solution was extended to second order problems, and if F is convex, the uniqueness of viscosity solutions was proved. In 1986, R. Jensen [9] proved uniqueness of viscosity solutions for (1.1) and (1.2). He does not assume F is convex and not allow spatial dependence in x . We extended the result of [9] to the case that F can be dependent on x but we must assume F is uniformly continuity in x ([2]).

In this paper, we prove the following existence theorem.

Theorem *Let $F \in C^3(\Gamma)$ satisfy natural structure conditions and the following condition*

$$|F_{rx}|, |F_{rxx}|, |F_{px}|, |F_{pxx}|, |F_x|, |F_{xx}|, |F_{xxx}| \leq C(1 + |p|^2 + |r|)$$

and suppose that $g \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$. Then there exists a $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ viscosity solution for problem (1.1) and (1.2).

The method we use in the proof of the above theorem involves solving a sequence of approximate problems by the m -accretive operator technique, making $W^{1,\infty}$ estimates for $W^{2,p}$ ($p > 2n$) solutions and passing to limits by means of a modification of G. Minty's Hilbert space method.

2. Preliminaries

We begin by some definitions.

Definition 2.1 Let $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, the superdifferential $D^+ u(x)$ (subdifferential $D^- u(x)$) is defined as the set

$$\begin{aligned} D^+ u(x) &= \{(p, M) \in R^n * S(n); u(x+z) \\ &\leq u(x) + p * z + ((M/2) * z, z) + o(|z|^2)\} \\ D^- u(x) &= \{(p, M) \in R^n * S(n); u(x+z) \\ &\geq u(x) + p * z + ((M/2) * z, z) + o(|z|^2)\} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2 $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ is a viscosity supersolution (subsolution) of (1.1) if

$$\begin{aligned} F(M, p, u(x), x) &\leq 0 && \text{for all } (p, M) \in D^- u(x), x \in \Omega \\ F(M, p, u(x), x) &\geq 0 && \text{for all } (p, M) \in D^+ u(x), x \in \Omega \end{aligned}$$

$u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ is a viscosity solution of (1.1) if it is both a viscosity supersolution and subsolution.

For superdifferential and subdifferential, we have (see [6])

Lemma 2.3 Suppose $u \in W^{1,p}_g(\Omega)$ ($p > n$) and let $x_0 \in \Omega$. Then for any pair $(p, M) \in D^- u(x_0)$ (or $D^+ u(x_0)$), there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_k\} \subset C^\infty_g(\Omega)$ such that

- (i) $\varphi_k(x_0) \rightarrow u(x_0)$, $D\varphi_k(x_0) \rightarrow p$, $D^2\varphi_k(x_0) \rightarrow M$
- (ii) $\varphi_k(x_0) - u(x_0) = \|\varphi_k - u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} > \varphi_k(x) - u(x)$
(or $u(x_0) - \varphi_k(x_0) = \|u - \varphi_k\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} > u(x) - \varphi_k(x)$)

where $W^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = g\}$, $C_c^\infty(\Omega) = \{u \in C^\infty(\Omega) : u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$.

Let us now assume that F satisfies the uniform ellipticity

$$(F1) \quad \lambda I \leq (F_{r_{ij}}) \leq \Lambda I \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda < \infty$$

Proposition 2.4 Let $\varepsilon > 0$, F_ε be continuous functions on Γ satisfying (F1) and u_ε be viscosity solutions of

$$F_\varepsilon(D^2u_\varepsilon, Du_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

We assume that F_ε converges on compact subsets of Γ to some function F and that u_ε converges on compact subsets of Ω to some functions u , then u is a viscosity solution of (1.1).

Proof This is Proposition I.3 in P. L. Lions [10].

Constructing approximate solutions depends on a "quasilinearization" representation of fully nonlinear function F . This representation arose in L. C. Evans [6] for simpler functions.

Lemma 2.5 Suppose that (F1) holds. Then for $(r, p, u, x) \in \Gamma$

$$F(r, p, u, x) = \max_{(s,q,v) \in \Gamma'} \min_{(y,k,w) \in \Gamma'} \{a_{ij}(l, x)(r_{ij} - s_{ij}) + b_i(l, x)(p_i - q_i) + c(l, x)(u - v) + F(s, q, v, x)\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}(l, x) &= \int_0^l F_{r_{ij}}(A, B, C, x) dt, & b_i(l, x) &= \int_0^l F_{p_i}(A, B, C, x) dt \\ c(l, x) &= \int_0^l F_z(A, B, C, x) dt, & l &= ((s, q, v), (y, k, w)) \in \Gamma * \Gamma \\ A &= (1-t)s + ty, & B &= (1-t)q + tk, & C &= (1-t)v + tw \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

3. $W^{1,\infty}$ -estimates for $W^{2,p}$ -solutions

Letting $\Gamma_k = S(n) * R^n * [-k, k] * \Omega$ for $k \in R^+$, we adopt the following structural conditions in this section

$$(F2) \quad F_z(r, p, z, x) \leq -\mu_1, \quad |F(0, 0, 0, x)| \leq \mu_2$$

$$(F3) \quad |F(0, p, z, x)| \leq \mu_3(1 + |p|^2) \quad \forall (p, z, x) \in \Gamma_k$$

$$(F4) \quad |p| |F_{r_i}(r, p, z, x)|, \delta F(r, p, z, x) \leq \mu_4(|p|^2 + |r|)$$

for all $(r, p, z, x) \in \Gamma_k$ with $|p| \geq M$

where $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 = \mu_3(k), \mu_4 = \mu_4(k)$ and M are positive constants and

$$\delta F = F_z + \sup_{|\xi|=1} \{(|p \cdot \xi| + 1)^{-1} |F_\xi|\}$$

Consider now the Dirichlet problem of the elliptic equation

$$F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = 0 \quad \text{a. e. in } \Omega \quad (3.1)$$

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (3.2)$$

At first, a priori estimate for solutions of (3.1) and (3.2) follows from the maximum principle of Bony ([3]) and the local maximum principle for strong solutions ([12]).

Lemma 3.1 *Let $u \in W^{2,p}$ ($p > n$) satisfy (3.1) and (3.2) and suppose that (F1), (F2) and (F3) hold. Then*

$$\|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} + \|u\|_{C^1(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C \quad (3.3)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ depends on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_3(M_0)$ and $M_0 = \|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}$ and C depends, in addition, on μ_1, μ_2 and $\text{diam}\Omega$.

By means of well known barrier techniques the boundary gradient estimate of classical solutions may be extended to that of the $W^{2,p}$ ($p > n$) solutions ([13]).

Lemma 3.2 *Let $u \in W^{2,p}$ ($p > n$) satisfy (3.1) and (3.2) and suppose that (F1) and (F3) hold. Then there is a constant C depending only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_3(M_0), \|g\|_{C^2}$ and Ω such that*

$$|u(x) - g(y)| \leq C|x - y|, \quad \text{for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}, y \in \partial\Omega \quad (3.4)$$

Finally we have the following interior gradient estimates.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ ($p > 2n$) satisfy (3.1) and (3.2), and suppose that (F1) and (F4) hold. Then there exist constants C and θ depending only on n, λ, Λ , and $\mu_4(M_0)$ such that if $B = B_{2R}(y)$ is any ball in Ω and $\alpha = \text{osc}_B u \leq \theta$, then*

$$|Du(y)| \leq C\alpha^{1/2}/R + 24M \quad (3.5)$$

Further the estimate (3.5) remains valid if B is replaced by $B \cap \Omega$ for any ball $B = B_{2R}(y)$ with $y \in \Omega$ and $|Du| \leq M$ on $\partial\Omega \cap B$.

Proof Without loss of generality we can take $y = 0$. For $x \in B_R(0), \xi \in B_1(0)$, and $h \in (0, R)$ set

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1(x) &= (1 - |x|^2/R^2)^2, \eta_2(\xi) = (1 - |\xi|^2)^2, \eta = \eta_1\eta_2 \\ v &= v_h(x, \xi) = [u(x + h\xi) - u(x)]/h, \bar{w} = \eta_1 v^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Taking the difference quotients for (3.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij}D_{ij}\bar{w} + B_iD_i\bar{w} &= v^2[a_{ij}D_{ij}\eta_1 + b_iD_i\eta_1 - \frac{2}{\eta_1}a_{ij}D_i\eta_1D_j\eta_1] \\ &\quad + 2\eta_1(a_{ij}D_ivD_jv - cv^2 - fv) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$a_{ij} = \int_0^1 F_{r_{ij}}(D^2 u_\theta, Du_\theta, u_\theta, x + \theta h\xi) d\theta$$

$$b_i = \int_0^1 F_{r_i} d\theta, \quad c = \int_0^1 F_2 d\theta, \quad B_i = b_i - \frac{2}{\eta_1} a_{ij} D_j \eta_1$$

$$u_\theta = \theta u(x + h\xi) + (1 - \theta)u(x)$$

By the Sobolev imbedding theorem we know $u \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\beta = 1 - n/p$. By using structure condition (F1) and (F4), it follows that, on the set $S_0 = \{(x, \xi) \in B_R * B_1 : |Du| \geq 2M\}$ for $h < (M / \|u\|_{C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})})^{1/\beta}$

$$a_{ij} D_{ij} \bar{w} + B_i D_i \bar{w} \geq 2\lambda \eta_1 |Dv|^2 - 16\mu_4 \eta_1 (1 + v^2) (|Du|^2 + |D^2 u|) - v^2 [36n\Lambda/R^2 + 4\mu_4 \eta_1^{1/2}/R (|Du| + |D^2 u|/|Du|)] - \varepsilon_3 \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_3(h) = 4\mu_4(v^2 + 2 + \varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) + 4\mu_4 v^2/R[\varepsilon_1/M + \varepsilon_2(1 + |D^2 u|/M)]$$

$$\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(h) = |D^2 u(x + h\xi) - D^2 u(x)|$$

$$\varepsilon_2 = |Du(x + h\xi) - Du(x)|^2 + ||Du(x + h\xi)|^2 - |Du(x)|^2| + |Du(x + h\xi) - Du(x)| + ||v(x)|^2 - |D_\xi u(x)|^2| + |v(x) - D_\xi u(x)|$$

Now we set

$$M_R = \sup_{B_R} u, \quad M_h = \sup_{B_R \times B_1} \eta v^2, \quad \alpha = \text{osc}_{B_R} u$$

$$u^* = \exp((u - M_R)/\alpha), \quad w = w_h(x, \xi) = \eta v^2 + \alpha^{1/2} M_h u^*$$

$$S = \{(x, \xi) \in S_0 : w \geq \frac{3}{4} \sup_{B_R \times B_1} w\}$$

By the inequality $u^* \leq 1$, we infer that $3M_h/4 \leq w \leq \eta v^2 + \alpha^{1/2} M_h$ on the set S , that is $\eta v^2 \geq (3/4 - \alpha^{1/2})M_h$. Take $\theta_1 = (1/16)^2$, then for $\alpha \leq \theta_1$ we have $\eta v^2 \geq M_h/2$ on set S . Furthermore using $u^* \geq e^{-1} \geq 1/3$, we know that if $\alpha \leq \theta_1$ and $M_h \geq 2$ then

$$a_{ij} D_{ij} w + B_i D_i w \geq 2\lambda \eta |Dv|^2 - C_1 \frac{M_h}{\alpha^{1/2}} |D^2 u| + \frac{\lambda}{3\alpha^{3/2}} M_h |Du|^2 - v^2 [32\mu_4 \eta |Du|^2 + 36n\Lambda R^{-2} + 4\mu \eta^{1/2} R^{-1} |Du|] - \frac{M_h}{\alpha^{1/2}} [\mu_3 |Du|^2 + \frac{8n\Lambda}{\eta_1^{1/2} R} |Du|] - \varepsilon_4 \quad (3.9)$$

where C_1 is a constant depending on n, λ, Λ and μ_3 and

$$\varepsilon_4 = \varepsilon_3 - M_4 u^* \alpha^{-1/2} [(|Du| + |D^2u|/M) \varepsilon_2 + 2\varepsilon_1] + 2\mu_3 M_k^{1/2} |D^2u| (RM)^{-1} \varepsilon_2$$

Writing D_{i+n} for $\partial/\partial\xi_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$, and let σ, τ be indices running from 1 to $2n$, setting

$$A_{\sigma\tau} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} & \sigma = i, \tau = j \\ C_1 (2\alpha^{1/2})^{-1} \text{vsgn} \{ D_{ij} u(x + h\xi) \} & \sigma = n+i, \tau = j \text{ or } \sigma = i, \tau = n+j \\ C_1^2 v^2 (\lambda\alpha)^{-1} \delta_{ij} & \sigma = n+i, \tau = n+j \end{cases}$$

and $B_\sigma = 0, \sigma = n+i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$, then we obtain by calculation and estimate, if $\alpha \leq \theta_2$

$$A_{\sigma\tau} D_{\sigma\tau} w + B_\sigma D_\sigma w \geq |Du|^2 [\lambda (6\alpha^{3/2})^{-1} M_k - C_2 (\alpha^{1/2} R)^{-1} M_k^{1/2} - 36n\Lambda/R^2] - \varepsilon(h) \quad \text{for a. e. } (x, \xi) \in S_k \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= 4\mu_4 + 16n\Lambda + 24C_1 \\ S_k &= \{ (x, \xi) \in S : |\xi|^2 \leq k/(k+1) \}, k = 1, 2, \dots \\ \theta_2 &= \lambda^2 [6(33\mu_4 + 4C_1\lambda^{-1} + 16C_1^2(k+1)^2\lambda^{-1} \\ &\quad + (4n+16)C_1^2(k+1)\lambda^{-1})]^{-2} \\ \varepsilon(h) &= Ae_1(h) + Be_2(h) + Ch |\Delta u(x + h\xi)| \end{aligned}$$

A, B, C are all independent of h . Setting

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 &= 36(36n\Lambda + C_2)^2/\lambda^2, \quad \theta_k = \min\{\theta_1, \theta_2\} \\ C &= \max\{C_3, 1\}, \quad h_0 = \min\{R/2, (M/\|u\|_{C^{1,\beta}(\mathbb{D})})^{1/\beta}\} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have from (3.10) for $\alpha \leq \theta_k, h \leq h_0$ and $M_k \geq C\alpha/R^2 + 2$

$$A_{\sigma\tau} D_{\sigma\tau} w + B_\sigma D_\sigma w \geq -\varepsilon(h) \quad \text{for a. e. } (x, \xi) \in S_k \quad (3.11)$$

Using Alexandrov maximum principle we obtain from (3.11)

$$\sup_{S_k} w \leq \sup_{\partial S_k} w + B(h) \left[\int_{S_k} |\varepsilon(h)/D^*|^{2n} dx d\xi \right]^{1/(2n)} \quad (3.12)$$

where $D^* = \det(A_{\sigma\tau})$ and $B(h)$ depending only on $n, \text{diam}(S_k)$ and $\|B_i/D^*\|_{L^2}$. By es-

timination we obtain from the definition of $\varepsilon(h)$, B_k and A_{σ}

$$\delta_k = B(h) \left[\int_{S_k} |\varepsilon(h)/D^*|^{2n} dx d\xi \right]^{1/(2n)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.13)$$

provided $\alpha \leq \theta_k$, $M_k \geq C^\alpha/R^2 + 2$, uniformly with respect to k . Furthermore we have by the condition $u \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ ($\beta = 1 - n/p > 1/2$), $v_k(x, \xi) \rightarrow D_\xi u(x)$ ($h \rightarrow 0$) uniformly with respect to (x, ξ) , and $M_k \rightarrow \sup_{B_R \times B_1} \eta |D_\xi u(x)|^2 = M_1$.

Setting $A_k = \{(x, \xi) \in B_R * B_1 : |Du(x)| \geq 2M, |\xi|^2 \leq k/(k+1)\}$ we have

$$\sup_{A_k} w \leq \max \{ \sup_{A_k \setminus S_k} w, \sup_{S_k} w \}$$

Case 1 $\sup_{A_k} w \leq \sup_{A_k \setminus S_k} w$. This implies $\sup_{A_k} w \leq \frac{3}{4} \sup_{B_R \times B_1} w$. Setting

$$B_k = \{(x, \xi) \in B_R * B_1 : |\xi|^2 \leq k/(k+1)\}$$

and letting $h \rightarrow 0$, we thus obtain

$$\sup_{B_k} \eta |D_\xi u(x)|^2 \leq 4M^2 + \frac{3}{4} (1 + \alpha^{1/2}) (1 + \frac{1}{k})^3 \sup_{B_k} \eta |D_\xi u|^2$$

Using inequality $\alpha \leq \theta_1$ and taking $k = k_0 = [(20/17)^{1/3} - 1]^{-1}$ we have by calculation

$$\sup_{B_k} \eta |D_\xi u(x)|^2 \leq 64M^2$$

Consequently $|Du(0)| \leq 24M$.

Case 2 $\sup_{A_k} w \leq \sup_{S_k} w$. W. l. o. g. we can assume $M_k \geq C^\alpha/R^2 + 2$. By the inequality (3.12) we have

$$\sup_{A_k} w \leq \sup_{S_k} w + \delta_k$$

According to the structure of set ∂S_k and relation (3.13) we obtain by the same argument with the case 1

$$|Du(0)| \leq 24M$$

By combining the case 1 with case 2, it follows that, for $\alpha \leq \theta = \theta_{k_0}$ and $C = \max\{C_3(k_0), 1\}$

$$|Du(0)| \leq C\alpha^{1/2}/R + 24M$$

Now we obtain

Theorem 3.4 Let $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ ($p > 2n$) satisfy (1.1) and (1.2) and suppose that structure conditions (F1)–(F4) hold. Then there is positive constant C depending only on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4$ and $\text{diam}\Omega$ such that

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C$$

4. Existence Results

Let us assume that $F \in C^3(\Gamma)$ and augment conditions (F1)–(F4) by

$$(F5) \quad \begin{aligned} &|F_{rr}|, |F_{rrz}|, |F_{rrz}|, |F_{rrz}|, |F_{rz}|, |F_{rz}|, |F_{zz}| \\ &\leq \mu_5(1 + |p|^2 + |r|) \quad \text{for all } (r, p, z, x) \in \Gamma_{M_0} \end{aligned}$$

where μ_5 is a positive constant.

The purpose of this section and the fundamental result of this paper is the following existence theorem.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that F satisfies structure conditions (F1)–(F5). Then there exists a $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ solving (1.1) and (1.2).

Proof (See the appendix of [6] for accretive and m -accretive operator theory).

Let us define for $(r, p, z, x) \in \Gamma$

$$H(r, p, z, x) = F(r, p, z, x) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \text{trace}(r) \quad (4.1)$$

It is clear that H satisfies (F1)–(F5) (elliptic constant is changed) also. Define again

$$\bar{H}(r, p, z, x) = -H(-r, -p, -z, x) \quad (4.2)$$

then \bar{H} satisfies (F1)–(F5) and (3.1) can be rewritten into

$$-\frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta u + \bar{H}(-D^2u, -Du, -u, x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \quad (4.3)$$

Define a_{ij}, b_i, c by (2.1) with $F = \bar{H}$ and

$$f(l, x) = \bar{H}(s, q, v, x) - a_{ij}(l, x)S_{ij} - b_i(l, x)q_i - c(l, x)v$$

By Lemma 2.5 (applied to \bar{H} instead of F)

$$\bar{H}(-D^2u, -Du, -u, x) =$$

$$= \max_{(s,q,v) \in \Gamma'} \min_{(y,k,w) \in \Gamma'} \{ -a_{ij}(l,x)D_{ij}u - b_i(l,x)D_iu - c(l,x)u + f(l,x) \} \quad (4.4)$$

Hypothesis (F1) and (4.2) imply

$$\frac{\lambda}{2}I \leq (a_{ij}(l,x)) \leq (\Lambda - \frac{\lambda}{2})I$$

Let

$$L_l u = -a_{ij}(l,x)D_{ij}u - b_i(l,x)D_iu - c(l,x)u$$

for $u \in D(L_l) = \{u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega) \ (n \leq p < \infty), L_l u \in C(\bar{\Omega})\}$, Then L_l is the uniformly elliptic linear operator for each $l \in \Gamma' \times \Gamma'$ and

$$\bar{H}(-D^2u, -Du, u, x) = \max_{(s,q,v) \in \Gamma'} \min_{(y,k,w) \in \Gamma'} \{L_l u + f(l,x)\} \quad (4.5)$$

is the max-min of affine elliptic operator.

According to standard elliptic theory the operator $L_l: D(L_l) \subset C(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C(\bar{\Omega})$ is m -accretive in $C(\bar{\Omega})$ ([5]). Fix $\theta > 0$, let $J_\theta(l)$ denote the θ -th resolvent of L_l and $A_\theta(l)$ denote θ -th Yosida approximation of L_l . We know that each $A_\theta(l)$ is a defined everywhere, Lipschitz, accretive operator on $C(\bar{\Omega})$ ([6]).

Next let $\varepsilon > 0$ and select some smooth function $\beta = \beta_\varepsilon(x)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(x) &= x, & |x| &\leq 1/\varepsilon - 1 \\ \beta(x) &= 1/\varepsilon, & |x| &\geq 1/\varepsilon \\ 0 &\leq \beta \leq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Now we define the nonlinear operator

$$B_\theta(u) = \beta \{ \max_{(s,q,v) \in \Gamma'} \min_{(y,k,w) \in \Gamma'} (A_\theta(l)u + f(l,x)) \} \quad (4.7)$$

Since $f(l,x)$ is uniformly continuous with respect to x for $|s|, |q|, |v|, |y|, |k|, |w| \leq (1/\theta)^{1/5}$, B_θ is defined on all of $C(\bar{\Omega})$. Furthermore B_θ is Lipschitz (since each $A_\theta(l)$ is Lipschitz with the same constant $2/\theta$) and B_θ is accretive on $C(\bar{\Omega})$ (see [6]).

Hence the Perturbation Lemma (applied to $A = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta$ and $B = B_\theta$) from Evans [6] implies the existence of unique $u_\theta = u_\theta(\varepsilon) \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ solving

$$\theta u_\theta - \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta u_\theta + B_\theta(u_\theta) = 0 \quad \text{a. e. in } \Omega \quad (4.8)$$

Since $|B_\theta| \leq \sup |\beta_\varepsilon| \leq 1/\varepsilon$, we have

$$\|u_\theta\|_{W^{2,p}} \leq C(p, \varepsilon) \quad \text{for each } p \geq n \quad (4.9)$$

the constant depends only on p and ε .

Owing to (4.9) there exists a sequence $\theta_k \rightarrow 0$ and a function $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\theta_k} &\rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } W^{2,p}(\Omega) \quad (p \geq n) \\ Du_{\theta_k} &\rightarrow Du \quad \text{uniformly on } \bar{\Omega} \\ u_{\theta_k} &\rightarrow u \quad \text{uniformly on } \bar{\Omega} \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

By calculation we have for some given $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\|\varphi\|_{C^1(\bar{\Omega})} \leq (1/\theta)^{1/5}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_\theta(l)\varphi - L_l\varphi\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} &\leq \theta \| (L_l)^2\varphi \|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \\ \max_{|s|, |q|, |r| \leq \theta^{-1/5}} \min_{|y|, |k|, |w| \leq \theta^{-1/5}} \{L_l\varphi + f(l, x)\} &= \bar{H}(-D^2\varphi, -D\varphi, -\varphi, x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (4.7) and structure condition (F5) we obtain for θ small enough

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_\theta(\varphi) - \beta(\bar{H}(-D^2\varphi, -D\varphi, -\varphi, x))\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \\ \leq \theta C \max_{\substack{|s|, |q|, |r| \leq \theta^{-1/5} \\ |y|, |k|, |w| \leq \theta^{-1/5}}} \{(1 + |s|^2 + |y|^2 + |k|^4 + |q|^4)\|\varphi\|_{C^1(\bar{\Omega})}\} \leq \bar{C}\theta^{1/5} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$B_\theta(\varphi) \rightarrow \beta(\bar{H}(-D^2\varphi, -D\varphi, -\varphi, x)) \quad (4.11)$$

uniformly on $\bar{\Omega}$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$.

Now according to the accretiveness of $-\frac{\lambda}{2}\Delta + B_\theta$ we have

$$[u_\theta - \varphi, -\frac{\lambda}{2}\Delta u_\theta + B_\theta(u_\theta) - (-\frac{\lambda}{2}\Delta\varphi + B_\theta(\varphi))]_+ \geq 0$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$; (4.8) then implies

$$[u_\theta - \varphi, -\theta u_\theta + \frac{\lambda}{2}\Delta\varphi - B_\theta(\varphi)]_+ \geq 0$$

Let $\theta = \theta_k \rightarrow 0$, by relations (4.10) and (4.11) and the upper semicontinuity of $[\cdot, \cdot]_+$ with respect to uniform convergence

$$[u - \varphi, \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta \varphi - \beta(\bar{H}(-D^2 \varphi, -D\varphi, -\varphi, x))]_+ \geq 0, \text{ for any } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \quad (4.12)$$

Fix a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ and a pair $(p, M) \in D^-u(x_0)$. By the characterization of $[\cdot, \cdot]_+$ ([6]) and Lemma 2.3 there exists a sequence $\varphi_k \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta \varphi_k(x_0) - \beta(\bar{H}(-D^2 \varphi_k(x_0), -D\varphi_k(x_0), -\varphi_k(x_0), x_0)) \leq 0 \quad (4.13)$$

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$ and use Lemma 2.3 to find

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} M - \beta(\bar{H}(-M, -p, -u(x_0), x_0)) \leq 0 \quad (4.14)$$

This inequality implies that u is a viscosity supersolution of the following equation

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta u - \beta(\bar{H}(-D^2 u, -Du, -u, x)) = 0 \quad (4.15)$$

In the same way we can prove u is a viscosity subsolution of (4.15), so that u is a viscosity solution of (4.15).

We can rewrite (4.15) into

$$G_\varepsilon[u] = \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta u - \beta_\varepsilon(-F(D^2 u, Du, u, x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta u) = 0 \quad (4.16)$$

Next we remove the β_ε from equation (4.16) and denote by u_ε the viscosity solution of (4.16) constructed above.

According to Theorem 3.4 (applied to G_ε instead of F) we have

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C \quad (4.17)$$

where C is independent of ε .

Estimate (4.17) implies the existence of a subsequence (also denoted by u_ε) and a function $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}_q(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon &\rightharpoonup u && \text{weakly in } W^{1,\infty} \\ u_\varepsilon &\rightarrow u && \text{uniformly on } \bar{\Omega} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore by (4.6) we see that G_ε converges on compact subsets of Γ to F . So according to Lemma 2.4 we know that u is the viscosity solution of (1.1) and (1.2).

This completes the proof of Theorem 4. 1.

References

- [1] Barbu V. , Nonlinear Semigroup and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, Noordhoff International Publishing, 1976.
- [2] Bian B. J. , The uniqueness of viscosity solutions of the second order fully nonlinear problem, *J. of P. D. E.* , Vol. 1, No. 1(1988), 77—84.
- [3] Bony J. M. , Principe du Maximum dans les espaces de Sobolev, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, 265 (1967), 333—336.
- [4] Crandall M. G. and Lions P. L. , Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 277(1983), 1—42.
- [5] Evans L. C. , A convergence theorem for solutions of nonlinear second order elliptic equations, *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, Vol. 27, No. 5(1978).
- [6] Evans L. C. , On Solving certain nonlinear P. D. E. by accretive operator methods, *Israel Journal of Math.* , Vol. 36, No. 3—4 (1980).
- [7] Fleming W. H. and R. W. Rishel, Deterministic and Stochastic Optimal Control, Springer, New York, 1975.
- [8] Hildebrandt S. , Nonlinear Elliptic Systems and Harmonic Mappings, Vorlesungsreihe. SFB 72, Universitat Bonn, 1980.
- [9] Jensen R. , Viscosity solutions of the fully nonlinear second order elliptic problems, Preprint.
- [10] Lions L. P. , Optimal control of diffusion process and H-J-B equations, Part 2. Viscosity solutions and uniqueness. *Comm. P. D. E.* 8(1983).
- [11] Minty G. J. , Monotone (non-linear) operators in Hilbert space, *Duke Math. J.* 29(1962), 341—346.
- [12] Gilbarg D. and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer-Verlag, New York, Second edition, 1983.
- [13] Trudinger N. S. , Fully nonlinear, uniformly elliptic equations under natural structure conditions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* Vol 278, No. 2(1983).