

## AN ALGEBRAIC APPROACH FOR EXTENDING HAMILTONIAN OPERATORS\*

Tu Guizhang and Ma Wenxiu\*\*

(Computing Centre, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080)

(Received Sept. 13, 1989; revised June 8, 1990)

**Abstract** An algebraic approach for extending Hamiltonian operators is proposed. A relevant sufficient condition for generating new Lie algebras from known ones is presented. Some special cases are discussed and several illustrative examples are given.

**Key Words** Matrix differential operator; Lie algebra; Hamiltonian operator.

**Classification** 58F05

### 1. Introduction

It is well known that many nonlinear evolution equations possess generalized Hamiltonian structures<sup>[1-4]</sup>. Hamiltonian operators play a crucial role in the algebraic and geometric theory of those Hamiltonian structures<sup>[5]</sup>. Based on Hamiltonian pairs, we can also construct, under certain conditions, a hierarchy of Hamiltonian equations possessing an infinite number of symmetries<sup>[6,7,8]</sup>. Therefore the search for new Hamiltonian operators and Hamiltonian pairs is one among the central topics in theory of Hamiltonian systems, there have been works<sup>[9,5,10]</sup> concerning the general theory of Hamiltonian operators. In the present paper, we propose an algebraic approach for extending Hamiltonian operators from lower orders to higher orders. We show that a large number of new Hamiltonian operators and new Hamiltonian pairs can be derived through this algebraic approach.

Let  $u = (u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t), \dots, u_q(x, t))$ ,  $x, t \in \mathbf{R}$ , be a  $q$ -dimensional smooth function vector. The linear space of smooth functions  $P[u] = P(x, t, u^{(m)}) = P(x, t, u, \dots, u^{(m)})$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^q = \mathcal{A} \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}$  ( $q$  times)  $= \{(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_q) | P_i \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq i \leq q\}$ . Two functions  $P$  and  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  are considered to be equivalent and denoted by  $P \sim Q \pmod{D}$  if  $P - Q = DR \equiv dR/dx$  holds for some  $R \in \mathcal{A}$ . The equivalent class that contains  $P$  is denoted by  $\tilde{P} = \int P dx$ , we call it a functional. The space of all functionals is represented by  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

\*The subject supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

\*\*Permanent Address: Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433.

**Definition 1** A linear operator  $J = J(x, t, u): \mathcal{A}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^q$  is called Hamiltonian if the bracket defined by

$$\{\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}\} = \int \frac{\delta \tilde{P}}{\delta u} \left( J \frac{\delta \tilde{Q}}{\delta u} \right)^T dx, \quad \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta u} = \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta u_1}, \frac{\delta}{\delta u_2}, \dots, \frac{\delta}{\delta u_q} \right) \quad (1.1)$$

is skew-symmetry

$$\{\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}\} = -\{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{P}\}, \quad \forall \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \quad (1.2)$$

and satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\{\{\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}\}, \tilde{R}\} + \{\{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}\}, \tilde{P}\} + \{\{\tilde{R}, \tilde{P}\}, \tilde{Q}\} = 0, \quad \forall \tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{R} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \quad (1.3)$$

In this case we call  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  a Poisson bracket corresponding to the Hamiltonian operator  $J$ .

We observe that a matrix differential operator

$$J = (J_{ij})_{q \times q}, \quad J_{ij} = \sum_{m=0}^{m(i,j)} P_m^{ij}[u] D^m, \quad D^m = \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^m, \quad P_m^{ij}[u] \in \mathcal{A} \quad (1.4)$$

may be considered as a linear operator  $J: \mathcal{A}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^q, P \mapsto JPT$ .

**Definition 2**<sup>[11]</sup> If all the functions  $P_m^{ij}[u], i, j = 1, 2, \dots, q, m = 0, 1, \dots, m(i, j)$ , are linear with respect to  $u$ , then the operator  $J$  defined by (1.4) is called a  $u$ -linear operator; otherwise,  $J$  called a  $u$ -nonlinear operator.

In this paper, we shall consider  $u$ -linear matrix differential operators with constant coefficients:

$$J = (J_{ij})_{q \times q}, \quad J_{ij} = \sum_{m=0}^{m(i,j)} \sum_{l=0}^{l(i,j)} \sum_{k=1}^q a_{ijlm}^k u_k^{(l)} D^m, \quad u_k^{(l)} = \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^l u_k \quad (1.5)$$

where the  $a_{ijlm}^k$  for all  $i, j, k, l, m$  are complex constants.

## 2. An Algebraic Approach

Let  $J = J(u): \mathcal{A}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^q$  be a  $u$ -linear Hamiltonian operators as defined by (1.5) where  $u = (u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t), \dots, u_q(x, t))$ . In the following we shall construct a new Hamiltonian operator  $\bar{J} = \bar{J}(\bar{u}): \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn}$ , where  $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}^1, \bar{u}^2, \dots, \bar{u}^n)$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn} = \bar{\mathcal{A}}^q \times \dots \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}^q$  ( $n$  times), and  $\bar{u}^i = (u_{(i-1)q+1}(x, t), u_{(i-1)q+2}(x, t), \dots, u_{iq}(x, t)), 1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^q = \bar{\mathcal{A}} \times \dots \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}$  ( $q$  times) in which  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  denotes the linear space of smooth functions  $P[\bar{u}] = P(x, t, \bar{u}^{(m)}), m \geq 0$ .

By taking integration by parts and making use of the  $u$ -linearity of  $J$  we can write the inner product

$$(JP, Q) = \int \sum_{i,j=1}^q Q_i J_{ij} P_j dx \text{ where } P = (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_q), Q = (Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_q) \in \mathcal{A}^q \quad (2.1)$$

in the form of  $(u, R) = \int \sum_{i=1}^q u_i R_i dx$  for some  $R = (R_1, R_2, \dots, R_q) \in \mathcal{A}^q$ . It is not difficult to prove that  $R$  is uniquely determined by  $P, Q$  and  $J$ . Thus we can write  $R = |P, Q|_J$  and we have

$$(J(u)P, Q) = (u, |P, Q|_J), \quad P, Q \in \mathcal{A}^q \quad (2.2)$$

For example, for  $J = 2uD + u_x$ , we have  $|P, Q|_J = QP_x - PQ_x$ . It is known that  $J$  is Hamiltonian if and only if  $\mathcal{A}^q$  is a Lie algebra with respect to the product  $[\cdot, \cdot]_J^{[12]}$ .

Given a set of complex constant matrices

$$C^i = (c_{jk}^i)_{n \times n}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \quad (2.3)$$

for  $P = (P^1, P^2, \dots, P^n), Q = (Q^1, Q^2, \dots, Q^n) \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn}$ , we define the product  $[P, Q]$  of  $P, Q$  as follows

$$[P, Q] = ([P, Q]^1, [P, Q]^2, \dots, [P, Q]^n) \quad (2.4a)$$

$$[P, Q]^i = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_{jk}^i [P^j, Q^k]_J, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \quad (2.4b)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{u}, [P, Q]) &= \int \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{u}^i ([P, Q]^i)^T dx \\ &= \int \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{u}^i \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_{jk}^i ([P^j, Q^k]_J)^T dx = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n c_{jk}^i (\bar{u}^i, [P^j, Q^k]_J) dx \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n c_{jk}^i (J(\bar{u}^i)P^j, Q^k) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n c_{jk}^i J(\bar{u}^i)P^j, Q^k \right) \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\bar{J} = \bar{J}(\bar{u}) = (\bar{J}_{kj})_{nq \times nq} = (\bar{J}_{kj})_{n \times n} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n c_{jk}^i J(\bar{u}^i) \right)_{n \times n} \quad (2.5)$$

we obtain

$$(\bar{u}, [P, Q]) = (\bar{J}(\bar{u})P, Q), \quad P, Q \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn} \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.6), we obtain easily that  $(\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  is a Lie algebra if and only if  $\bar{J} = \bar{J}(\bar{u})$  is a Hamiltonian operator of order  $nq$ .



We summarize the above-mentioned results as follows.

**Theorem 1** Let a Hamiltonian operator  $J = J(u): \mathcal{A}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^q$  be defined by (1.5). Then  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn} (n \geq 1)$  with the product (2.4) forms a Lie algebra iff the operator  $\bar{J} = \bar{J}(\bar{u}): \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn}$  defined by (2.5) is a Hamiltonian operator.

The necessity part of this theorem can provide an algebraic approach to extend Hamiltonian operators from lower orders to higher orders. The steps for construction are as follows:

1. choose a special Hamiltonian operator  $J = J(u): \mathcal{A}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^q$ ;
2. calculate the Lie product  $[P, Q]_J$  for  $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}^q$  by using the equation (2.2);
3. determine a set of constants  $\{c_{jk}^i | i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn}$  forms a Lie algebra with respect to the product  $[P, Q]$  defined by (2.4);
4. generate a higher order Hamiltonian operator  $\bar{J} = \bar{J}(\bar{u}): \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn}$  by using the formula (2.5).

Through these steps, we can generate a hierarchy of higher order Hamiltonian operators  $\{(\bar{J}_{kj})_{nq \times nq}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , starting from a lower order Hamiltonian operator  $J = (J_{ij})_{q \times q}$ .

### 3. A Sufficient Condition

This section gives a sufficient condition for  $(\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  being a Lie algebra.

Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  be the set of  $n$  symbols and let  $Y = L_C(E)$  denote the complex linear space spanned by  $E$ . Given a set of complex constants  $\{c_{jk}^i | i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we can define a bilinear operation  $*$  on  $Y$  as follows

$$e_j * e_k = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{jk}^i e_i, \quad j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (3.1)$$

Now  $\langle Y, * \rangle$  forms an algebra.

**Theorem 2** If the algebra  $\langle Y, * \rangle$  is commutative and the multiplication  $*$  satisfies the condition

$$a * (b * c) = b * (c * a), \quad \forall a, b, c \in Y \quad (3.2)$$

then  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn}$  forms an infinite dimensional Lie algebra with respect to the product  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  defined by (2.4).

**Proof** Let  $P = (P^1, P^2, \dots, P^n)$ ,  $Q = (Q^1, Q^2, \dots, Q^n)$ ,  $R = (R^1, R^2, \dots, R^n)$  be three vectors of  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{qn}$ . By (2.4), we have

$$[P, Q]^i = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_{jk}^i [P^j, Q^k]_J, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 [[P, Q], R]^l &= \sum_{r,s=1}^n c_{rs}^l [[P, Q]^r, R^s]_J \\
 &= \sum_{r,s=1}^n c_{rs}^l \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_{jk}^r [[P^j, Q^k]_J, R^s]_J \\
 &= \sum_{j,k,s=1}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^n c_{rs}^l c_{jk}^r \right) [[P^j, Q^k]_J, R^s]_J, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n
 \end{aligned}$$

Because the multiplication  $*$  is commutative and satisfies (3.2), we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^n c_{rs}^l c_{jk}^r = \sum_{r=1}^n c_{rj}^l c_{ks}^r, \quad l, j, k, s = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (3.3)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[[P, Q], R]^l + \text{cycle}(P, Q, R) \\
 &= \sum_{j,k,s=1}^n \left( \sum_{r=1}^n c_{rs}^l c_{jk}^r \right) \{ [[P^j, Q^k]_J, R^s]_J + \text{cycle}(P^j, Q^k, R^s) \} \\
 &= 0, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n
 \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

In addition, since  $\langle Y, * \rangle$  is commutative, we see that  $c_{jk}^i = c_{kj}^i$ ,  $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore

$$[P, Q]^i = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_{jk}^i [P^j, Q^k]_J = - \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_{kj}^i [Q^k, P^j]_J = -[Q, P]^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (3.5)$$

The equalities (3.4), (3.5) show that  $(\bar{A}^{qn}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  is indeed a Lie algebra.

According to Theorem 2, once we have a commutative algebra  $\langle Y, * \rangle$  which satisfies (3.2), we can obtain a hierarchy of new Hamiltonian operators  $\left\{ (\bar{J}_{kj})_{n \times n} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n c_{jk}^i J(\bar{u}^i) \right)_{n \times n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  from an old Hamiltonian operator  $J = J(u)$ .

#### 4. The General Solution of Two Dimensional Case

When  $n = 2$ , the commutative algebra  $\langle Y, * \rangle$  only possesses six different structural constants. In this case, we can obtain the general solution of (3.2) or (3.3).

**Theorem 3** *Let  $\langle Y, * \rangle$  be a two dimensional commutative algebra, then the multiplication  $*$  satisfies (3.2) or (3.3) iff the structural constants  $C^i = (c_{jk}^i)_{2 \times 2}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are one of the following forms*

$$C^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, C^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.1)$$

$$C^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha\xi + \eta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, C^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & \eta \\ \eta & -\alpha\eta \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.2)$$

$$C^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \beta\xi + \alpha\eta & \xi \\ \xi & \eta \end{bmatrix}, C^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha\xi & \alpha\eta \\ \alpha\eta & \xi - \beta\eta \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\xi, \eta, \alpha, \beta$  are arbitrary constants.

**Proof** We know easily that (3.2) or (3.3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} c_{11}^l c_{22}^l + c_{21}^l c_{22}^l = c_{12}^l c_{21}^l + c_{22}^l c_{21}^l = c_{12}^l c_{12}^l + c_{22}^l c_{12}^l, & l = 1, 2 \\ c_{12}^l c_{11}^l + c_{22}^l c_{11}^l = c_{11}^l c_{12}^l + c_{21}^l c_{12}^l = c_{11}^l c_{21}^l + c_{21}^l c_{21}^l, & l = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

Since two right equalities in the above equations hold automatically, we see that the equation (3.2) or (3.3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} c_{11}^l c_{22}^l + c_{21}^l c_{22}^l = c_{12}^l c_{21}^l + c_{22}^l c_{21}^l, & l = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\begin{cases} c_{12}^l c_{11}^l + c_{22}^l c_{11}^l = c_{11}^l c_{12}^l + c_{21}^l c_{12}^l, & l = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

We observe that the equation (4.4) with  $l = 2$  is the same as the equation (4.5) with  $l = 1$ . Thus (4.4), (4.5) are easily seen to be equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} c_{11}^1 c_{22}^1 + c_{21}^1 c_{22}^1 = c_{12}^1 c_{21}^1 + c_{22}^1 c_{21}^1 \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\begin{cases} c_{11}^2 c_{22}^2 = c_{12}^2 c_{21}^2 \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

$$\begin{cases} c_{12}^2 c_{11}^1 + c_{22}^2 c_{11}^1 = c_{11}^2 c_{12}^1 + c_{21}^2 c_{12}^1 \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

Obviously, the equation (4.7) amounts to

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} c_{12}^1 & c_{22}^1 \\ c_{11}^2 & c_{12}^2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

and Equations (4.6) and (4.8) are equivalent to

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} c_{12}^1 & c_{22}^1 \\ c_{11}^1 - c_{12}^2 & c_{12}^1 - c_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}^2 & c_{12}^2 \\ c_{11}^1 - c_{12}^2 & c_{12}^1 - c_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Thus the set of constants  $\{c_{ij}^k | i, j, k = 1, 2\}$  satisfies (3.3) if and only if

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} c_{12}^1 & c_{22}^1 \\ c_{11}^2 & c_{12}^2 \\ c_{11}^1 - c_{12}^2 & c_{12}^1 - c_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix} \leq 1$$

If  $(c_{12}^1, c_{22}^1) = (c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2) = 0$ , then setting  $c_{11}^1 = \xi$ ,  $c_{22}^2 = \eta$  ( $\xi, \eta = \text{const.}$ , the same below.),  $C^1$  and  $C^2$  take the form of the case (1).

If  $(c_{12}^1, c_{22}^1) = 0$ , but  $(c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2) =: (\xi, \eta) \neq 0$ , then  $(c_{11}^1 - c_{12}^2, c_{12}^1 - c_{22}^2) = \alpha(\xi, \eta)$ , and  $C^1, C^2$  take the form as shown in the case (2).

If  $(c_{12}^1, c_{22}^1) =: (\xi, \eta) \neq 0$ , then  $(c_{11}^2, c_{12}^2) = \alpha(\xi, \eta)$ ,  $(c_{11}^1 - c_{12}^2, c_{12}^1 - c_{22}^2) = \beta(\xi, \eta)$ . Thus the corresponding matrices  $C^1, C^2$  take the form of the case (3).

According to (2.4), we can easily calculate three Lie products, corresponding respectively to (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), as follows:

$$\begin{cases} [P, Q]^1 = \xi[P^1, Q^1]_J \\ [P, Q]^2 = \eta[P^2, Q^2]_J \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

$$\begin{cases} [P, Q]^1 = (\alpha\xi + \eta)[P^1, Q^1]_J \\ [P, Q]^2 = \xi[P^1, Q^1]_J + \eta([P^1, Q^2]_J + [P^2, Q^1]_J) - \alpha\eta[P^2, Q^2]_J \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{cases} [P, Q]^1 = (\beta\xi + \alpha\eta)[P^1, Q^1]_J + \xi([P^1, Q^2]_J + [P^2, Q^1]_J) + \eta[P^2, Q^2]_J \\ [P, Q]^2 = \alpha\xi[P^1, Q^1]_J + \alpha\eta([P^1, Q^2]_J + [P^2, Q^1]_J) + (\xi - \beta\eta)[P^2, Q^2]_J \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

By Theorem 1, the relevant three kinds of Hamiltonian operators are as follows:

$$\bar{J}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \xi J(\bar{u}^1) & 0 \\ 0 & \eta J(\bar{u}^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{J}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} (\alpha\xi + \eta)J(\bar{u}^1) + \xi J(\bar{u}^2) & \eta J(\bar{u}^2) \\ \eta J(\bar{u}^2) & -\alpha\eta J(\bar{u}^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{J}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} (\beta\xi + \alpha\eta)J(\bar{u}^1) + \alpha\xi J(\bar{u}^2) & \xi J(\bar{u}^1) + \alpha\eta J(\bar{u}^2) \\ \xi J(\bar{u}^1) + \alpha\eta J(\bar{u}^2) & \eta J(\bar{u}^1) + (\xi - \beta\eta)J(\bar{u}^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

We observe that three Hamiltonian operators contain arbitrary constants  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ :

$$\bar{J}_1 = \xi \begin{bmatrix} J(\bar{u}^1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \eta \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J(\bar{u}^2) \end{bmatrix} = \xi \bar{J}_1^1 + \eta \bar{J}_1^2$$

$$\bar{J}_2 = \xi \begin{bmatrix} \alpha J(\bar{u}^1) + J(\bar{u}^2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \eta \begin{bmatrix} J(\bar{u}^1) & J(\bar{u}^2) \\ J(\bar{u}^2) & -\alpha J(\bar{u}^2) \end{bmatrix} = \xi \bar{J}_2^1 + \eta \bar{J}_2^2$$

$$\bar{J}_3 = \xi \begin{bmatrix} \beta J(\bar{u}^1) + \alpha J(\bar{u}^2) & J(\bar{u}^1) \\ J(\bar{u}^1) & J(\bar{u}^2) \end{bmatrix} + \eta \begin{bmatrix} \alpha J(\bar{u}^1) & \alpha J(\bar{u}^2) \\ \alpha J(\bar{u}^2) & J(\bar{u}^1) - \beta J(\bar{u}^2) \end{bmatrix} = \xi \bar{J}_3^1 + \eta \bar{J}_3^2$$



From general theory of Hamiltonian operators, we thus obtain three Hamiltonian pairs  $\bar{J}_i^1, J_i^2, i = 1, 2, 3$ .

## 5. Special Commutative Algebras

Let  $Y = C[x]_n$  denote the set of complex coefficient polynomials in  $x$  with degrees less than  $n$ . In this case, we may choose  $e_i = x^{i-1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $r \in Z$  be an integer, we introduce in  $Y$  the multiplication  $\overset{r}{*}$  as follows

$$a \overset{r}{*} b = [x^{-r} a(x) b(x)]_0^{n-1}, \quad a, b \in C[x]_n \quad (5.1)$$

where the notation  $[c(x)]_0^{n-1}$  denotes the part of a Laurent polynomial  $c(x)$  with degrees  $0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

**Theorem 4** *When  $1-n \leq r \leq 0$  or  $n-1 \leq r \leq 2n-2$ , the algebra  $\langle C[x]_n, \overset{r}{*} \rangle$  is commutative and satisfies the condition (3.2), the multiplication  $\overset{r}{*}$  being defined by (5.1).*

**Proof** Set

$$x^j \overset{r}{*} x^k = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{(j+1)(k+1)}^{i+1} x^i, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

By the definition of multiplication  $\overset{r}{*}$ , we have

$$x^j \overset{r}{*} x^k = [x^{j+k-r}]_0^{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{i, j+k-r} x^i, \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

**Theorefore**

$$c_{jk}^i = \delta_{i+1, j+k-r}, \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (5.2)$$

Evidently, the multiplication  $\overset{r}{*}$  defined in this way is commutative. We now turn to prove the property (3.2). Choose  $a = x^i, b = x^j, c = x^k, i, j, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a \overset{r}{*} (b \overset{r}{*} c) &= x^i \overset{r}{*} (x^j \overset{r}{*} x^k) \\ &= x^i \overset{r}{*} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \delta_{s, j+k-r} x^s \right) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \delta_{t, i+s-r} \delta_{s, j+k-r} \right) x^t \\ &= \begin{cases} x^{i+j+k-2r}, & \text{when } 0 \leq i+j+k-2r \leq n-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq j+k-r \leq n-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$



and

$$\begin{aligned}
 b^r * (c^r * a) &= x^j * (x^k * x^i) \\
 &= x^j * \left( \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \delta_{s, k+i-r} x^s \right) = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \delta_{t, j+s-r} \delta_{s, k+i-r} \right) x^t \\
 &= \begin{cases} x^{i+j+k-2r}, & \text{when } 0 \leq i+j+k-2r \leq n-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq k+i-r \leq n-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose first that  $1-n \leq r \leq 0$ . Then we have  $i+j+k-2r \geq 0$ ,  $j+k-r \geq 0$ . If  $i+j+k-2r \leq n-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 j+k-r &\leq i+j+k-r \leq n-1+r \leq n-1 \\
 k+i-r &\leq i+j+k-r \leq n-1+r \leq n-1
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$a^r * (b^r * c) = \begin{cases} x^{i+j+k-2r}, & \text{when } i+j+k-2r \leq n-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$b^r * (c^r * a) = \begin{cases} x^{i+j+k-2r}, & \text{when } i+j+k-2r \leq n-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

Thus

$$a^r * (b^r * c) = b^r * (c^r * a)$$

Suppose next that  $n-1 \leq r \leq 2n-2$ . Then we have  $i+j+k-2r \leq n-1$ ,  $k+i-r \leq n-1$ . If  $i+j+k-2r \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 j+k-r &\geq i+j+k-r - (n-1) \geq i+j+k-2r \geq 0 \\
 k+i-r &\geq i+j+k-r - (n-1) \geq i+j+k-2r \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$a^r * (b^r * c) = \begin{cases} x^{i+j+k-2r}, & \text{when } i+j+k-2r \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$b^r * (c^r * a) = \begin{cases} x^{i+j+k-2r}, & \text{when } i+j+k-2r \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

Thus

$$a^r * (b^r * c) = b^r * (c^r * a)$$

By the bilinearity of the operation  $\overset{r}{*}$ , we see that the multiplication  $\overset{r}{*}$  satisfies the condition (3.2).

**Remark 1** When  $1-n \leq r < \frac{1}{2}(1-n)$  or  $\frac{3}{2}(n-1) < r \leq 2n-2$ , it follows from (5.3) or (5.5) respectively that  $\langle C[x]_n, \overset{r}{*} \rangle$  is the algebra with null double product<sup>[13,14]</sup>.

**Remark 2** When  $0 < r < n-1$ , the multiplication  $\overset{r}{*}$  doesn't satisfy the condition (3.2).

We can obtain from (5.2) the following matrix expressions concerning the structural constants  $C^i = (c_{jk}^i)_{n \times n}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , of the algebra  $\langle C[x]_n, \overset{r}{*} \rangle$ :

(1) When  $r = 1 - n$ ,

$$C^1 = C^2 = \dots = C^{n-1} = 0, C^n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(2) When  $r = 2 - n$ ,

$$C^1 = C^2 = \dots = C^{n-2} = 0, C^{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, C^n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

.....  
(n) When  $r = 0$ ,

$$C^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, C^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, C^n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(n+1) When  $r = n - 1$ ,

$$C^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, C^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, C^n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(n+2) When  $r = n$ ,

$$C^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, C^{n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, C^n = 0$$

.....

(2n) When  $r = 2n - 2$ ,

$$C^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, C^2 = C^3 = \dots = C^n = 0$$

From these expressions, we find easily the following  $2n$  new Hamiltonian operators  $\bar{J}(\bar{u})$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} J(\bar{u}^n) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} J(\bar{u}^{n-1}) & J(\bar{u}^n) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ J(\bar{u}^n) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} J(\bar{u}^1) & J(\bar{u}^2) & \cdots & J(\bar{u}^n) \\ J(\bar{u}^2) & J(\bar{u}^3) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J(\bar{u}^n) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & J(\bar{u}^1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & J(\bar{u}^{n-2}) & J(\bar{u}^{n-1}) \\ J(\bar{u}^1) & \cdots & J(\bar{u}^{n-1}) & J(\bar{u}^n) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & J(\bar{u}^1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & J(\bar{u}^1) & \cdots & J(\bar{u}^{n-1}) \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & J(\bar{u}^1) \end{bmatrix}$$

## 6. Examples of Hamiltonian Operators

In this section, we give some illustrative examples.

**Example 1** Let the Hamiltonian operator  $J$  be given by  $J(u) = 2uD + u_x$ , where  $u = u(x, t)$  is a scalar smooth function and set  $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}^1, \bar{u}^2) = (u_1, u_2)$ . From the results of Section 4, we obtain three kinds of Hamiltonian operators as follows:

$$\bar{J}_1(\bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi(2u_1D + u_{1x}) & 0 \\ 0 & \eta(2u_2D + u_{2x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{J}_2(\bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} (\alpha\xi + \eta)(2u_1D + u_{1x}) + \xi(2u_2D + u_{2x}) & \eta(2u_2D + u_{2x}) \\ \eta(2u_2D + u_{2x}) & -\alpha\eta(2u_2D + u_{2x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{J}_3(\bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} (\beta\xi + \alpha\eta)(2u_1D + u_{1x}) + \alpha\xi(2u_2D + u_{2x}) & \xi(2u_1D + u_{1x}) + \alpha\eta(2u_2D + u_{2x}) \\ \xi(2u_1D + u_{1x}) + \alpha\eta(2u_2D + u_{2x}) & \eta(2u_1D + u_{1x}) + (\xi - \beta\eta)(2u_2D + u_{2x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\xi, \eta, \alpha, \beta$  are arbitrary constants.

**Example 2** Choose the same Hamiltonian operator  $J(u) = 2uD + u_x$ . Set  $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}^1, \bar{u}^2, \bar{u}^3) = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ ;  $P = (P^1, P^2, P^3)$ ,  $Q = (Q^1, Q^2, Q^3) \in \bar{K}^3$ . We define

$$[P, Q]^1 = [P^1, Q^3]_J + [P^2, Q^2]_J + [P^3, Q^1]_J$$

$$[P, Q]^2 = [P^2, Q^3]_J + [P^3, Q^2]_J$$

$$[P, Q]^3 = [P^3, Q^3]_J$$

This is just the Lie product corresponding to the algebra  $\langle C[x]_3, \overset{2}{*} \rangle$ . Therefore we obtain the following Hamiltonian operator discussed in [14]

$$\bar{J}(\bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2u_1D + u_{1x} \\ 0 & 2u_1D + u_{1x} & 2u_2D + u_{2x} \\ 2u_1D + u_{1x} & 2u_2D + u_{2x} & 2u_3D + u_{3x} \end{bmatrix}$$



Similarly, define the Lie product  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  of  $\bar{A}^3$  as follows

$$[P, Q]^1 = [P^1, Q^1]_J$$

$$[P, Q]^2 = [P^1, Q^2]_J + [P^2, Q^1]_J$$

$$[P, Q]^3 = [P^1, Q^3]_J + [P^2, Q^2]_J + [P^3, Q^1]_J$$

We can obtain another Hamiltonian operator

$$\bar{J}(\bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2u_1D + u_{1x} & 2u_2D + u_{2x} & 2u_3D + u_{3x} \\ 2u_2D + u_{2x} & 2u_3D + u_{3x} & 0 \\ 2u_3D + u_{3x} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which corresponds to the algebra  $\langle C[x]_3, \overset{0}{*} \rangle$ .

**Example 3** Choose one Hamiltonian operator given in [13]

$$J(u) = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1x} + 2u_1D & u_{1x} + (u_1 + u_2)D \\ u_{2x} + (u_1 + u_2)D & u_{2x} + 2u_2D \end{bmatrix}$$

Set  $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}^1, \bar{u}^2) = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$ ;  $P = (P^1, P^2)$ ,  $Q = (Q^1, Q^2) \in \bar{A}^2 \times \bar{A}^2$ . Define

$$[P, Q]^1 = [P^1, Q^1]_J$$

$$[P, Q]^2 = [P^1, Q^2]_J + [P^2, Q^1]_J$$

which is just the Lie product corresponding to the algebra  $\langle C[x]_2, \overset{0}{*} \rangle$ . Then we obtain the following Hamiltonian operator

$$\bar{J}(\bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1x} + 2u_1D & u_{1x} + (u_1 + u_2)D & u_{3x} + 2u_3D & u_{3x} + (u_3 + u_4)D \\ u_{2x} + (u_1 + u_2)D & u_{2x} + 2u_2D & u_{4x} + (u_3 + u_4)D & u_{4x} + 2u_4D \\ u_{3x} + 2u_3D & u_{3x} + (u_3 + u_4)D & 0 & 0 \\ u_{4x} + (u_3 + u_4)D & u_{4x} + 2u_4D & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Example 4** Choose a scalar Hamiltonian operator  $J(u) = 2uD + u_x$  again. Set  $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}^1, \bar{u}^2, \bar{u}^3, \bar{u}^4) = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$ ;  $P = (P^1, P^2, P^3, P^4)$ ,  $Q = (Q^1, Q^2, Q^3, Q^4) \in \bar{A}^4$ . Define

$$[P, Q]^1 = [P^1, Q^4]_J + [P^2, Q^3]_J + [P^3, Q^2]_J + [P^4, Q^1]_J$$

$$[P, Q]^2 = [P^2, Q^4]_J + [P^4, Q^2]_J$$

$$[P, Q]^3 = [P^3, Q^4]_J + [P^4, Q^3]_J$$

$$[P, Q]^4 = [P^4, Q^4]_J \quad (\text{Triangular condition})$$

It's not difficult to show that  $(\bar{\mathcal{A}}^4, [\cdot, \cdot])$  forms an infinite Lie algebra. By Theorem 1, we can obtain the following Hamiltonian operator

$$J(\bar{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 2u_1D + u_{1x} \\ 0 & 0 & 2u_1D + u_{1x} & 2u_2D + u_{2x} \\ 0 & 2u_1D + u_{1x} & 0 & 2u_3D + u_{3x} \\ 2u_1D + u_{1x} & 2u_2D + u_{2x} & 2u_3D + u_{3x} & 2u_4D + u_{4x} \end{bmatrix}$$

Through these examples, we see that, from a lower order Hamiltonian operator, a hierarchy of higher order Hamiltonian operators can be easily obtained by using the algebraic approach in Section 2 and no additional verification is needed. As a comparison, if we try to verify directly from the definition that they are indeed Hamiltonian operators, then even if we know the forms of those higher order operators, we have still to make a large amount of calculation.

### References

- [1] Tu G. Z., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **15** (1982), 277-285.
- [2] Tu G. Z., *J. Math. Phys.*, **30** (1989), 330-338.
- [3] Tu G. Z. & Meng D. Z., *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica*, Vol. **5**, No. **1** (1989), 89-96.
- [4] Xu B. Z. & Tu G. Z., The trace identity, a powerful tool for constructing the Hamiltonian structure of integrable systems (III), preprint (1988).
- [5] Olver P. J., *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1986), Chapter 7.
- [6] Magri F., *J. Math. Phys.*, **19** (1978), 1156-1162.
- [7] Magri F., in: *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Vol. **120**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1980), 233-263.
- [8] Ma W. X., *J. Shanghai Jiaotong Univ.*, Vol. **23**, No. **1** (1989), 9-18.
- [9] Gel'fand I. M. & Dorfman I. Ya., *Func. Anal. Appl.*, **13** (1979), 248-262.
- [10] Fuchssteiner B. & Fokas A., *Physica D*, **4** (1981), 47-66.
- [11] Ma W. X., *J. Shanghai Jiaotong Univ.*, Vol. **21**, No. **5** (1987), 99-108.
- [12] Gel'fand I. M. & Dorfman I. Ya., *Func. Anal. Appl.*, **15** (1981), 173-187.
- [13] Ma W. X., *On the Generalized Hamiltonian Structures of Nonlinear Evolution Equations*, Master's Thesis, Computing Centre of Academia Sinica (1985).
- [14] Ma W. X., *J. Graduate School, USTC, Academia Sinica*, Vol. **3**, No. **2** (1986), 37-48.