# Ground State Solutions for a Semilinear Elliptic Equation Involving Concave-Convex Nonlinearities 

KHAZAEE KOHPAR O.* and KHADEMLOO S.
Department of Basic Sciences, Babol University of Technology, 47148-71167, Babol, Iran.

Received 7 June 2012; Accepted 18 December 2012


#### Abstract

This work is devoted to the existence and multiplicity properties of the ground state solutions of the semilinear boundary value problem $-\Delta u=\lambda a(x) u|u|^{q-2}+$ $b(x) u|u|^{2^{*}-2}$ in a bounded domain coupled with Dirichlet boundary condition. Here $2^{*}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, and the term ground state refers to minimizers of the corresponding energy within the set of nontrivial positive solutions. Using the Nehari manifold method we prove that one can find an interval $\Lambda$ such that there exist at least two positive solutions of the problem for $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
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## 1 Introduction

We consider the following semilinear elliptic equation:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=\lambda a(x) u|u|^{q-2}+b(x) u|u|^{2^{*}-2}, & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ is a smooth bounded domain, $\lambda>0,1 \leq q<2$, and $2^{*}=2 N /(N-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent and the weight functions $a, b$ are satisfying the following conditions:
(A) $a^{+}=\max \{a, 0\} \not \equiv 0$ and $a \in L^{r_{q}}(\Omega)$ where $r_{q}=\frac{r}{r-q}$ for some $r \in\left(q, 2^{*}-1\right)$, with in addition $a(x) \geq 0$ a.e in $\Omega$ in case $q=1$;

[^0](B) $b^{+}=\max \{b, 0\} \not \equiv 0$ and $b \in C(\bar{\Omega})$.

Tsung-fang $\mathrm{Wu}[1]$ has investigated the following equation:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=\lambda a(x) u^{q}+b(x) u^{p}, & x \in \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\ u \geq 0, u \neq 0, & x \in \Omega \\ u=0, & x \in \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, 0 \leq q<1<p<2^{*}-1\left(2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}\right.$ if $N \geq 3,2^{*}=\infty$ if $N=2), \lambda>0$ and the weight functions $a, b$ satisfy the following conditions:
(A') $a^{+}=\max \{a, 0\} \not \equiv 0$ and $a \in L^{r_{q}}(\Omega)$ where $r_{q}=\frac{r}{r-(q+1)}$ for some $r \in\left(q+1,2^{*}\right)$, with in addition $a(x) \geq 0$ a.e in $\Omega$ in case $q=0$;
( $\left.\mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right) b^{+}=\max \{b, 0\} \not \equiv 0$ and $b \in L^{s_{p}}(\Omega)$ where $s_{p}=\frac{s}{s-(p+1)}$ for some $s \in\left(p+1,2^{*}\right)$.
If the weight functions $a \equiv b \equiv 1$, Ambrosetti-Brezis-Cerami [2] studied Eq. (1.2). They established that there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that Eq. (1.2) attains at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$, has a positive solution for $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ and no positive solution exists for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$. Wu [3] found that if the weight functions $a$ changes sign in $\bar{\Omega}, b \equiv 1$ and $\lambda$ is sufficiently small in Eq. (1.2), then Eq. (1.2) has at least two positive solutions.

Throughout this paper we denote $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|=\|u\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The function $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is said to be a weak solution of the Eq. (1.1), if $u$ satisfies

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla u \nabla v-|u|^{2^{*}-2} u v-\lambda|u|^{q-2} u v\right) \mathrm{d} x=0, \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

The energy functional corresponding to Eq. (1.1) is defined as follows:

$$
J_{\lambda}(u):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

and then $J_{\lambda}$ is well defined on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. It is well-known that the solutions of Eq. (1.1) are the critical points of the functional $J_{\lambda}$.

We denote by $S_{l}$ the best Sobolev constant for the embedding of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ in $L^{l}(\Omega)$, where $1 \leq l \leq 2^{*}$. We define the Palais-Smale (or (PS)-) sequences, (PS)-values, and (PS)conditions in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for $J_{\lambda}$ as follows:

Definition 1.1. (i) For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, a sequence $u_{n}$ is a $(P S)_{c}$-sequence in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for $J_{\lambda}$ if $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=$ $c+o_{n}(1)$ and $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o_{n}(1)$ strongly in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(ii) $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a (PS)-value in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for $J_{\lambda}$ if there exists a $(P S)_{c}$-sequence in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for $J_{\lambda}$.
(iii) $J_{\lambda}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$-condition in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ if any $(P S)_{c}$-sequence $u_{n}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for $J_{\lambda}$ contains a convergent subsequence.

We define the following constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S:=\inf _{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}{\left(\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}},  \tag{1.3}\\
& \lambda_{0}:=\frac{q}{2}\left(\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2^{*-2}}\left(\frac{2^{*}-2}{2^{*}-q}\right) S^{\frac{2^{*}(2-q)}{2\left(2^{*}-2\right)}}\|a\|_{L^{r q}}^{-1} S_{r}^{-q} .} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Our main result is the following.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that the conditions (A) and (B) hold; then there exists an interval $\Lambda$ such that for $\lambda \in \Lambda, E q$. (1.1) has at least two positive solutions.

We omit $d x$ in the integration for convenience. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some properties of the Nehari manifold. In Sections 3 and 4 we prove Theorem 1.1.

## 2 The Nehari manifold

As the energy functional $J_{\lambda}$ is not bounded below on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, considering the functional on the Nehari manifold

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\geq}=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\}
$$

is of interest. So, $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}$if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\|u\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has to be considered that $\mathcal{M}_{\geq}$contains every nonzero solution of Eq. (1.1). Furthermore, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.1. The energy functional $J_{\lambda}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{M}_{\geq}$.
Proof. If $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}$, then by (1.3),(2.1) and the Hölder and Young inequalities, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\lambda}(u) & =\frac{2^{*}-2}{22^{*}}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda\left(\frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*} q}\right) \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{N}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda\left(\frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*} q}\right)\|u\|^{q}\|a\|_{L^{r} q} S_{r}^{-q} . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $J_{\lambda}$ is coercive and bounded below on $M_{\lambda}$.

The Nehari manifold is closely associated with the behavior of the function of the form $\varphi_{u}: t \rightarrow J_{\lambda}(t u)$ for $t>0$. Such maps are known as fibering maps and were suggested by Brown and Zhang [4]. For $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{u}(t)=\frac{t^{2}}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{t^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}}-\lambda \frac{t^{q}}{q} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q} ; \\
& \varphi_{u}^{\prime}(t)=t\|u\|^{2}-t^{2^{*}-1} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}}-\lambda t^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q} ; \\
& \varphi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\|u\|^{2}-\left(2^{*}-1\right) t^{2^{*}-2} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}}-\lambda(q-1) t^{q-2} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that for $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$ and $t>0, \varphi_{u}^{\prime}(t)=0$ if and only if $t u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$, in other words, the critical points of $\varphi_{u}$ correspond to the points on the Nehari manifold. Particularly, $\varphi_{u}^{\prime}(1)=0$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$. Therefore, we are allow to divide $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ into three parts corresponding to local minima, local maxima and points of inflection. Therefore, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{+}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}: \varphi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(1)>0\right\} ; \quad \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}: \varphi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(1)=0\right\} ; \\
& \mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{-}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}: \varphi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(1)<0\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and note that if $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}$, that is $\varphi_{u}^{\prime}(1)=0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(1) & =(2-q)\|u\|^{2}-\left(2^{*}-q\right) \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}} \\
& =\left(2-2^{*}\right)\|u\|^{2}-\lambda\left(q-2^{*}\right) \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q} . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we conclude some basic properties of $\mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{+}, \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{-}$.
Lemma 2.2. Assume that $u_{0}$ is a local minimizer for $J_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\geq}$and $u_{0} \notin \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}$. Then $J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ (the dual space of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ ).
Proof. See [2, Theorem 2.3].
Let $\Lambda=\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ where $\lambda_{0}$ is the same as in (1.4), then we have the following result.
Lemma 2.3. If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}=\varnothing$.
Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0} \neq \varnothing$. Then for $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}$ by (1.3) and (2.3), we have

$$
\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}} \leq S^{-\frac{2^{*}}{2}}\|u\|^{2^{*}}
$$

and so

$$
\|u\| \geq\left(\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{*}-2}} S^{\frac{2^{*}}{2\left(2^{*}-2\right)}}
$$

Similarly, using (1.3), (2.3), and the Hölder and Young inequalities, we have

$$
\|u\|^{2}=\lambda \frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*}-2} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q} \leq \lambda \frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*}-2}\|u\|^{q}\|a\|_{L^{r q}} S_{r}^{q}
$$

Hence

$$
\lambda \geq\left(\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-2}}\left(\frac{2^{*}-2}{2^{*}-q}\right) S^{\frac{2^{*}(2-q)}{2\left(2^{*}-q\right)}}\|a\|_{L^{r} q}^{-1} S_{r}^{-q}>\lambda_{0}
$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.
We consider the function $\psi_{u}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\psi_{u}(t)=t^{1-q} \varphi_{u}^{\prime}(t)+\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q}, \quad \text { for } t>0
$$

The following result explains the behavior of the graph of $\psi_{u}$.
Lemma 2.4. For sufficiently small $\lambda, \psi_{u}$ is strictly increasing on $\left(0, t_{\max }(u)\right)$ and strictly decreasing on $\left(t_{\text {max }}(u), \infty\right)$ with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{u}(t)=-\infty$, where

$$
t_{\max }(u)=\left(\frac{(2-q)\|u\|^{2}}{\left(2^{*}-q\right) \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{*}-2}}>0
$$

Proof. Clearly $t u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ if and only if

$$
\psi_{u}(t)=\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{u}^{\prime}(t)=(2-q) t^{1-q}\|u\|^{2}-\left(2^{*}-q\right) t^{2^{*}-q-1} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}}, \quad \text { for } t>0, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so it is easy to see that, if $t u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$, then

$$
t^{q-1} \psi_{u}^{\prime}(t)=\varphi_{u}^{\prime \prime}(t) .
$$

Hence, $t u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{+}\left(\right.$or $\left.t u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}\right)$if and only if $\psi_{u}^{\prime}(t)>0\left(\psi_{u}^{\prime}(t)<0\right)$.
For $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$, by (2.4), $\psi_{u}$ has a unique critical point at $t=t_{\max }(u)$; which is mentioned above. Clearly $\psi_{u}$ is strictly increasing on $\left(0, t_{\max }(u)\right)$ and strictly decreasing on $\left(t_{\max }(u), \infty\right)$ with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{u}(t)=-\infty$.

Remark 2.1. Note that if $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{u}\left(t_{\max }(u)\right) & =\left[\left(\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-2}}-\left(\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\right)^{\frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*-2}}}\right] \frac{\|u\|^{\frac{2\left(2^{*}-q\right)}{2^{*}-2}}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-2}}} \\
& =\|u\|^{q}\left(\frac{2^{*}-2}{2^{*}-q}\right)\left(\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-2}}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{2^{*}}}{\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}}}\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2^{*-2}}} \\
& \geq\|u\|^{q}\left(\frac{2^{*}-2}{2^{*}-q}\right)\left(\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2^{*-2}}} S^{\frac{2^{2}\left(2^{*}-q\right)}{\left(2^{*}-2\right)}} \\
& >\frac{2}{q} \lambda\|u\|^{q}\|a\|_{L^{r q}} S_{r}^{+q} \geq \frac{2}{q} \lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 2.5. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$. For each $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$, we have the following.
(i) There exist unique $0<t^{+}=t^{+}(u)<t_{\max }(u)<t^{-}=t^{-}(u)$ such that $t^{+} u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{+}, t^{-} u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}$, $\varphi_{u}$ is decreasing on $\left(0, t^{+}\right)$, increasing on $\left(t^{+}, t^{-}\right)$and decreasing on $\left(t^{-}, \infty\right)$, and

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(t^{+} u\right)=\inf _{0 \leq t \leq t_{\max }(u)} J_{\lambda}(t u) ; \quad J_{\lambda}\left(t^{-} u\right)=\sup _{t \geq t^{+}} J_{\lambda}(t u) .
$$

(ii) $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}: \frac{1}{\|u\|} t^{-}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right)=1\right\}$.
(iii) There exist a continuous bijection between $U=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}:\|u\|=1\right\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}$. In particular, $t^{-}$is a continuous function for $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}$.
Proof. See [5, Lemma 2.6].

## 3 The existence of a ground state

By Lemma 2.3, we can write

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\geq}=\mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{+} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{-},
$$

for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.5 it follows that $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}$are non-empty and by Lemma 2.1 we may define

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}} J_{\lambda}(u) ; \quad \alpha_{\lambda}^{+}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{+}} J_{\lambda}(u) ; \quad \alpha_{\lambda}^{-}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{-}} J_{\lambda}(u) .
$$

Then we have the following result.
Theorem 3.1. If $\lambda \in \Lambda$ then
(i) $\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}<0$;
(ii) $\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}>d_{0}$, for some $d_{0}>0$.

In particular, we have $\alpha_{\lambda}=\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}$.

Proof. (i) Let $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{+}$. By (2.4),

$$
\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\|u\|^{2}>\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(u) & =\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)\|u\|^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}} \\
& <\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\right)\right]\|u\|^{2} \\
& =-\frac{\left(2^{*}-2\right)(2-q)}{22^{*} q}\|u\|^{2}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}<0$.
(ii) Let $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}$. By (2.3),

$$
\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\|u\|^{2}<\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}}
$$

Moreover, by (1.3) we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} b(x)|u|^{2^{*}} \leq S^{-\frac{2^{*}}{2}}\|u\|^{2^{*}}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|>\left(\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{*-2}}} S^{\frac{N}{4}}, \quad \text { for } u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{-} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.3) and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(u) & \geq\|u\|^{q}\left[\frac{1}{N}\|u\|^{2-q}-\lambda\left(\frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*} q}\right)\|a\|_{L^{r q}} S_{r}^{q}\right] \\
& >\left(\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\right)^{\frac{q}{2^{*}-2}} S^{\frac{q N}{4}}\left[\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{2-q}{2^{*}-q}\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2^{*-2}}} S^{\frac{(2-q) N}{4}}-\lambda\left(\frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*} q}\right)\|a\|_{L^{r q}} S_{r}^{q}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $J_{\lambda}(u)>d_{0}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{-}$, for some positive constant $d_{0}$.
Remark 3.1. (i) If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then by (1.3), (2.3), and the Hölder and Young inequalities, for each $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{+}$we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|^{2} & <\lambda \frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*}-2} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q} \leq \lambda \frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*}-2}\|u\|^{q}\|a\|_{L^{r_{q}}} S_{r}^{q} \\
& \leq \lambda_{0} \frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*}-2}\|u\|^{q}\|a\|_{L^{r_{q}}} S_{r}^{q}, \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and so

$$
\|u\|<\left(\lambda_{0} \frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*}-2}\|a\|_{L^{r q}} S_{r}^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}}, \quad \text { for all } u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{+} .
$$

(ii) If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then by Lemma 2.5(i) and Theorem 3.1(ii), for each $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq}^{-}$we have

$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\sup _{t \geq 0} J_{\lambda}(t u) .
$$

Then we have the following results.
Proposition 3.1. If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then
(i) there exists a $(P S)_{\alpha_{\lambda}}$-sequence $u_{n} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for $J_{\lambda}$;
(ii) there exists a $(P S)_{\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}}$-sequence $u_{n} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}$in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for $J_{\lambda}$.

Proof. See [6, Proposition 9].
Now, we establish the existence of local minimum for $J_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{+}$.
Theorem 3.2. If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $J_{\lambda}$ has a minimizer $u_{\lambda}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{+}$and it satisfies the following.
(i) $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}=\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}$.
(ii) $u_{\lambda}$ is a positive solution of Eq. (1.1).
(iii) $\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1(i), there is a minimizing sequence $u_{n}$ for $J_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}+o_{n}(1) \text { and } J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o_{n}(1) \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{\lambda}$ is coercive on $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ (see Lemma 2.1), we get that $u_{n}$ is bounded in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that there exists $u_{\lambda} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda} & \text { weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),  \tag{3.4}\\ u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda} & \text { almost everywhere in } \Omega, \\ u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda} & \text { strongly in } L^{s}(\Omega) \text { for all } 1 \leq s<2^{*} .\end{cases}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}=\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|u_{\lambda}\right|^{q}+o_{n}(1) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, we claim that $u_{\lambda}$ is a nonzero solution of Eq. (1.1). By (3.3) and (3.4), it is easy to see that $u_{\lambda}$ is a solution of Eq. (1.1). From $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ and (2.2), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}=\frac{q\left(2^{*}-2\right)}{2\left(2^{*}-q\right)}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{2^{*} q}{2^{*}-q} J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.6), by (3.3), (3.5) and $\alpha_{\lambda}<0$, we get

$$
\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|u_{\lambda}\right|^{q} \geq-\frac{2^{*} q}{2^{*}-q} \alpha_{\lambda}>0 .
$$

Thus, $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ is a nonzero solution of Eq. (1.1). Now we prove that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda}$ strongly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}$. By (3.6), if $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{1}{N}\|u\|^{2}-\lambda \frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*} q} \int_{\Omega} a(x)|u|^{q} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we show that $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}$. It suffices to recall that $u_{n}, u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$; by (3.7) and using weakly lower semi continuity of $J_{\lambda}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\lambda} & \leq J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\frac{1}{N}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}-\lambda \frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*} q} \int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|u_{\lambda}\right|^{q} \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{N}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lambda \frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*} q} \int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}\right) \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}$. Let $v_{n}=u_{n}-u_{\lambda}$; then by Brézis-Lieb lemma [7] we have

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+o_{n}(1) .
$$

Thus $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{\lambda}$ strongly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Moreover, we have $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{+}$. If, on the contrary, $u_{\lambda} \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}$, then by Lemma 2.5 , there are unique $t_{0}^{+}$and $t_{0}^{-}$such that $t_{0}^{+} u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{+}$and $t_{0}^{-} u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}$. In particular, we have $t_{0}^{+}<t_{0}^{-}=1$. Since

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} J_{\lambda}\left(t_{0}^{+} u_{\lambda}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}} J_{\lambda}\left(t_{0}^{+} u_{\lambda}\right)>0
$$

there exists $t_{0}^{+}<t^{-} \leq t_{0}^{-}$such that $J_{\lambda}\left(t_{0}^{+} u_{\lambda}\right)<J_{\lambda}\left(t^{-} u_{\lambda}\right)$. By Lemma 2.5(i),

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(t_{0}^{+} u_{\lambda}\right)<J_{\lambda}\left(t^{-} u_{\lambda}\right) \leq J_{\lambda}\left(t_{0}^{-} u_{\lambda}\right)=J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right),
$$

which is a contradiction. Since $J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=J_{\lambda}\left(\left|u_{\lambda}\right|\right)$ and $\left|u_{\lambda}\right| \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{+}$, by Lemma 2.2 , we may assume that $u_{\lambda}$ is a nonzero nonnegative solution of Eq. (1.1). By the Harnack inequality [8] we deduce that $u_{\lambda}>0$ in $\Omega$. Finally, by (3.2), we have

$$
\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2-q}<\lambda \frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*}-2}\|a\|_{L^{r} q} S_{r}^{q}
$$

and so $\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}$.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we establish the existence of a local minimum for $J_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\lambda_{0}>0$ as in (1.4), then for $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$, $J_{\lambda}$ has a minimizer $U_{\lambda}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ and it satisfies
(i) $J_{\lambda}\left(U_{\lambda}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$;
(ii) $U_{\lambda}$ is a solution of Eq. (1.1).

Proof. By proposition 3.1(ii), there exists a minimizing sequence $u_{n}$ for $J_{\lambda}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)+o_{n}(1), \quad J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o_{n}(1), \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega) .
$$

Since $J_{\lambda}$ is coercive on $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ (see Lemma 2.1), we get that $u_{n}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$. From this and by compact embedding Theorem, there exists a subsequence of $u_{n}$ and $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}u_{n} \rightharpoonup U_{\lambda} \quad \text { weakly in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \\ u_{n} \rightarrow U_{\lambda} \quad \text { strongly in } L^{r}(\Omega) \text { for all } 1 \leq r<2^{*}, \\ u_{n} \rightharpoonup U_{\lambda} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2^{*}}(\Omega) .\end{cases}
$$

Since

$$
o_{n}(1)=\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \eta\right\rangle=\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(U_{\lambda}\right), \eta\right\rangle+o_{n}(1), \text { for all } \eta \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \text {, }
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & >\varphi_{u_{n}}^{\prime \prime}(1)=(2-q)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left(2^{*}-q\right) \int_{\Omega} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} \\
& \geq(2-q)\left\|U_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}-\left(2^{*}-q\right) \int_{\Omega} b(x)\left|U_{\lambda}\right|^{2^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus we get $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ is a nonzero solution of Eq. (1.1). We now prove that $u_{n} \rightarrow U_{\lambda}$ strongly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Suppose otherwise; then $\left\|U_{\lambda}\right\|<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|U_{n}\right\|$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(U_{\lambda}\right), U_{\lambda}\right\rangle & =\left\|U_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|U_{\lambda}\right|^{q}-\int_{\Omega} b(x)\left|U_{\lambda}\right|^{2^{*}} \\
& <\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|U_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} a(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}-\int_{\Omega} b(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}}\right) \\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$. Hence $u_{n} \rightarrow U_{\lambda}$ strongly in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. This implies

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow J_{\lambda}\left(U_{\lambda}\right)=\alpha_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega), \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Since $J_{\lambda}\left(U_{\lambda}\right)=J_{\lambda}\left(\left|U_{\lambda}\right|\right)$ and $\left|U_{\lambda}\right| \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$ by Lemma 2.2 we may assume that $U_{\lambda}$ is a nonzero nonnegative solution of Eq. (1.1). Finally, by the Harnack inequality [8], we deduce that $U_{\lambda}>0$ in $\Omega$.

Now, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1: By Theorems 3.2, 4.1, Eq. (1.1) has two solutions $u_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda}$ such that $u_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega), U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{+}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{-}(\Omega)=\varnothing$, this implies that $u_{\lambda}$ and $U_{\lambda}$ are different.
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