A DIRECT ALGORITHM FOR DISTINGUISHING NONSINGULAR M-MATRIX AND H-MATRIX*

Li Yaotang(李耀堂) Zhu Yan(朱 艳)

Abstract A direct algorithm is proposed by which one can distinguish whether a matrix is an M-matrix (or H-matrix) or not quickly and effectively. Numerical examples show that it is effective and convincible to distinguish M-matrix (or H-matrix) by using the algorithm.

Key words nonsingular M-matrix, nonsingular H-matrix, direct algorithm. AMS(2000)subject classifications 15A48

1 Introduction

For many kinds of applications of M-matrices and H-matrices, the problem how to determine whether a matrix is an M-matrix (or H-matrix) or not arouses many researchers interesting. Recently, some iterative methods have been proposed for distinguishing H-matrices (see [2-5]). However, these methods have a common drawback, that is, it is not possible to determine the number of steps of iteration, and when A is not an H-matrix, a wasteful computation is necessary. A direct algorithm has been proposed in [6], but it is only useful when matrices are symmetrical. In this paper, to conquer these drawbacks, we propose a new direct algorithm.

2 A direct algorithm for distinguishing *M*-matrix

Let $R^{n \times n}$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ real matrices. $A = (a_{ij}) \in R^{n \times n}$ is said to be an *M*-matrix if $a_{ij} \leq 0$, for $i \neq j$, and $A^{-1} \geq 0$.

Lemma 1^[1] Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an *M*-matrix, then any principle submatrix of *A* is an *M*-matrix.

Lemma 2^[1] Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, its off-diagonal entries are all non-positive, then A is

^{*} Foundation item: This work is supported by the Science Foundations of the Education Department of Yunnan Province (03Z169A) and the Science Foundatons of Yunnan University (2003Z013B). Received: Sep. 11, 2004.

an *M*-matrix if and only if successive principle minor of $A, D_K > 0, k = 1, \dots, n$.

From Lemma 2, we can immediately obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3 Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, and $a_{ij} \leq 0, i \neq j, a_{ii} > 0$, then A is an M-matrix if and only if determinant of A, det A > 0.

Theorem 1 Let

$$B = \left[\begin{array}{cc} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{array} \right] \in R^{n \times n}$$

where $B_{12} \leq 0, B_{21} \leq 0, B_{11}$ is a 2×2 square matrix and B_{22} is an $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ square matrix, in which their diagonal entries are all positive and off-diagonal entries are all non-positive. Then B is an M-matrix if and only if det $B_{11} > 0$ and $B_{22} - B_{21}B_{11}^{-1}B_{12}$ is an M-matrix.

Proof Necessity: Suppose B is an M-matrix, then

$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11}^{-1} + B_{11}^{-1}B_{12}(B_{22} - B_{21}B_{11}^{-1}B_{12})^{-1}B_{21}B_{11}^{-1} & -B_{11}^{-1}B_{12}(B_{22} - B_{21}B_{11}^{-1}B_{12})^{-1} \\ -(B_{22} - B_{21}B_{11}^{-1}B_{12})^{-1}B_{21}B_{11}^{-1} & (B_{22} - B_{21}B_{11}^{-1}B_{12})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \ge 0,$$

and B_{11} and B_{22} are *M*-matrices by Lemma 1. Hence, det $B_{11} > 0$ by Lemma 3, and $B_{11}^{-1} \ge 0$, $(B_{22} - B_{21}B_{11}^{-1}B_{12})^{-1} \ge 0$. For $B_{12} \le 0, B_{21} \le 0$, we have $B_{21}B_{11}^{-1}B_{12} \ge 0$, and off-diagonal entries of matrix $B_{22} - B_{21}B_{11}^{-1}B_{12}$ are all non-positive. So, $B_{22} - B_2B_{11}^{-1}B_{12}$ is an *M*-matrix.

Sufficiency: Suppose det $B_{11} > 0$ and $B_{22} - B_{21}B_{11}^{-1}B_{12}$ is an *M*-matrix, then by Lemma 3, we have that B_{11} is an *M*-matrix, so

$$(B_{22} - B_{21}B_{11}^{-1}B_{12})^{-1} \ge 0, \qquad B_{11}^{-1} \ge 0.$$

Therefore

$$B_{11}^{-1} + B_{11}^{-1} B_{12} (B_{22} - B_{21} B_{11}^{-1} B_{12})^{-1} B_{21} B_{11}^{-1} \ge 0,$$

-(B_{22} - B_{21} B_{11}^{-1} B_{12})^{-1} B_{21} B_{11}^{-1} \ge 0,
-B_{11}^{-1} B_{12} (B_{22} - B_{21} B_{11}^{-1} B_{12})^{-1} \ge 0.

From these inequalities, we have

$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11}^{-1} + B_{11}^{-1} B_{12} (B_{22} - B_{21} B_{11}^{-1} B_{12})^{-1} B_{21} B_{11}^{-1} & -B_{11}^{-1} B_{12} (B_{22} - B_{21} B_{11}^{-1} B_{12})^{-1} \\ -(B_{22} - B_{21} B_{11}^{-1} B_{12})^{-1} B_{21} B_{11}^{-1} & (B_{22} - B_{21} B_{11}^{-1} B_{12})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \ge 0.$$

Thus B is an M-matrix.

From Theorem 1, we propose the following algorithm A.

Algorithm A

- Input The given matrix $B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.
- Step 1 Set $B = B^{(m)}$, and m = 0.

Step 2 Partition $B^{(m)}$ into a 2 × 2 block matrix

$$B^{(m)} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11}^{(m)} & B_{12}^{(m)} \\ B_{21}^{(m)} & B_{22}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $B_{11}^{(m)}$ is a 2 × 2 square matrix, and $B_{22}^{(m)}$ is an $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ square matrix.

Step 3 Set $B^{(m+1)} = B_{22}^{(m)} - B_{21}^{(m)} (B_{11}^{(m)})^{-1} B_{12}^{(m)}$. If $\det B_{11}^{(m)} \leq 0$ or $B^{(m+1)}$ satisfies that diagonal entries of $B^{(m+1)}$ are not all positive or off-diagonal entries of $B^{(m+1)}$ are not all nonpositive, then from Theorem 1, B is not an M-matrix, stop and output "B is not an M-matrix"; otherwise.

Step 4 Set m = m + 1, when $m < \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right] - 2$, go to step 2; otherwise.

Step 5 $B^{(m+1)}$ is a real numbers or a 2×2 square matrix, if $B^{(m+1)} > 0$ or det $B^{(m+1)} > 0$, then B is an M-matrix, stop and output "B is an M-matrix"; if $B^{(m+1)} \leq 0$ or det $B^{(m+1)} \leq 0$, then B is not an M-matrix, stop and output "B is not an M-matrix".

Note: it is estimated that the total cost of the algorithm is $O\left(\frac{n^3}{3}\right)$.

3 The use of Algorithm A for distinguishing *H*-matrix

Let $C^{n \times n}$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices, $A = (a_{ij}) \in C^{n \times n}$, the comparison matrix $\mathcal{M}(A) = (b_{ij})$,

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} |a_{ij}|, i = j, \\ -|a_{ij}|, i \neq j, \end{cases} \quad i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

If $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is an nonsingular *M*-matrix, then *A* is called an *H*-matrix.

From the definition of *H*-matrix, we can distinguish whether a given matrix is an *H*-matrix or not by using Algorithm A on the comparison matrix $\mathcal{M}(A)$.

4 Numerical example

Example 1 First we consider the matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -1 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We get a 2×2 matrix

$$B^{(1)} = B_{22} - B_{21}B_{11}^{-1}B_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{23}{27} & -\frac{17}{36} \\ -\frac{17}{36} & -\frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

by using Algorithm A. For $B^{(1)}$ has an negative diagonal entry $-\frac{1}{3}$, so B is not an M-matrix from Algorithm A. In fact, we know principle submatrix of $B, B(1,4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\det B(1,4) = 0$, by Lemma 2, B is really not an M-matrix.

Example 2 The second example is

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & & & & \\ -1 & 3 & -2 & & O & & \\ & -1 & 4 & -3 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & O & & & & -(n-1) \\ & & & & -1 & (n+1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

When n = 10 and n = 99, we get real numbers 90 > 0 and 9506 > 0, respectively, by using Algorithm A, so B is an M-matrix from Algorithm A. In fact, we can proof that successive principal minor of $A, D_K = K!^{[1]}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, so B is really an M-matrix.

Example 3 The third example is

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4}\\ -\frac{1}{4} & 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{4}\\ 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We get a real number 0.8 > 0 by using Algorithm A, so B is an M-matrix from Algorithm A. In fact,

$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{7}{2} & \frac{2}{6} & \frac{2}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{2}{6} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{6} \\ \frac{2}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{2}{6} \\ \frac{2}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{7}{6} & \frac{2}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{6} & \frac{2}{6} & \frac{7}{6} \end{bmatrix} > 0,$$

so B is really an M-matrix.

Of course, as to H-matrix, such as

$$B_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -2 & -2 & -3 \\ -3 & 6 & -1 & -1 \\ -2 & -\frac{5}{2} & 4 & 0 \\ -4 & -2 & -1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0.9 & 0.9 & 0.7 & 0.8 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.9 & 0.1 \\ 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 & \frac{23}{30} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We get two real numbers 3.59693 > 0 and 0.11824 > 0 by using Algorithm A on the comparison matrix $\mathcal{M}(B_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}(B_2)$, respectively, so these two matrices are *H*-matrices.

5 Program

#include <stdio.h>

#include <iostream.h>

#include <math.h> main () { int n, i, j, k = 0, h = 0, s = 1;//m = B;float m[150][150];//b11 = |B11|; b = B22; c = 1/B11; b21 = B21; b12 = B12; d = B21 * B11'; e = d * B12;float b11, b[150][150], c[3][3], b21[150][3], b12[3][150]; float d[150][3], e[150][150];//input//input function: there you input your matrix data cout <<" Program to judge an *M*-matrix:" << endl; cout << "please input the rank(n) of the matrix: "<< endl; cout << "n = "; $\sin >> n;$ if(n > 149) $\{\text{cout} << \text{"the rank}(n) \text{ of the matrix should be less than } 149" << \text{endl};$ return 0;} cout << "please input the element of the matrix:" << endl; for (i = 1; i < n + 1; i + +){ for (j = 1; j < n + 1; j + +) $\{ k++; \}$ cout << "m[" << i << "][" << j << "] = "; $\operatorname{cin} >> m[i][j];$:c (1. ``

$$if (k == n)$$

 $\{ \quad k=0; \quad$

```
cout << endl; \} \}
```

```
//the end of init//
```

//display the matrix data//

cout <<" the matrix you input is:" << endl;

for (i = 1; i < n + 1; i + +)

 \cdot 83 \cdot

{ for (j = 1; j < n + 1; j + +)cout << m[i][j] <<', ';cout << endl;} //the begin of the function to judge an *M*-matrix // k = 0;int l = n; for (l = l; l > 0; l - = 2) $\{ //b11 = |B11|; b = B22; c = 1/B11; b21 = B21; b12 = B12; \}$ //b11 = |B11|;// and judge whether b11 is positive or not; b11 = m[1][1] * m[2][2] - m[1][2] * m[2][1];if(i = 2)break; else if(i == 1){ b11 = m[1][1];break;} if (b11 < 0) $\{ k = 1; \}$ break;} c[1][1] = m[2][2]/(m[1][1] * m[2][2] - m[1][2] * m[2][1]);c[1][2] = m[1][2]/(m[2][1] * m[1][2] - m[1][1] * m[2][2]);c[2][1] = m[2][1]/(m[1][2] * m[2][1] - m[2][2] * m[1][1]);c[2][2] = m[1][1]/(m[2][2] * m[1][1] - m[1][2] * m[2][1]);//b21 = B21;for (i = 1; i < n - 1; i + +) $\{ \text{ for}(j = 1; j < 3; j + +) \}$ $\{ b21[i][j] = m[i+2][j]; \} \}$ //b12 = B12;for (i = 1; i < 3; i + +){ for(j = 1; j < n - 1; j + +)

$$\{b12[i][j] = m[i][j + 2];\} \}$$

$$//d = B21 * B11'$$
for $(i = 1; i < n - 1; i + +)$

$$\{ for(j = 1; j < 3; j + +)$$

$$\{ d[i][j] = 0;$$
for $(h = 1; h < 3; h + +)$

$$\{ d[i][j] + = b21[i][h] * c[h][j];\} \} \}$$

$$//q = d * B12 = B21 * B11' * B12;$$
for $(i = 1; i < n - 1; i + +)$

$$\{ for(j = 1; j < n - 1; j + +)$$

$$\{ e[i][j] = 0;$$
for $(h = 1; h < 3; h + +)$

$$\{ e[i][j] + e[i][h] * b12[h][j];\} \}$$

$$//e = B22 - B21 * B11' * B12;$$
for $(i = 1; i < n - 1; i + +)$

$$\{ for(j = 1; j < n - 1; i + +)$$

$$\{ for(j = 1; j < n - 1; i + +)$$

$$\{ for(j = 1; j < n - 1; j + +)$$

$$\{ for(j = 1; j < n - 1; j + +)$$

$$\{ b[i][j] - e[i][j]; \} \}$$

//b = B22;/ and judge whether diagonal entries are positive or not and off-diagonal entries are non-positive or not;

for
$$(i = 1; i < n - 1; i + +)$$

{ for $(j = 1; j < n - 1; j + +)$
{ $b[i][j] = m[i + 2][j + 2];$
if $(i == j)$
{ if $(b[i][j] < 0)$
{ $k = 1;$
break;}}
else if $(b[i][j] > 0)$
{ $k = 1;$
break;}}

$$\begin{split} //m &= b; n = n - 2 \\ n - &= 2; \\ \text{for}(i = 1; i < n + 1; i + +) \\ \{ \text{ for}(j = 1; j < n + 1; j + +) \\ \{ m[i][j] = b[i][j]; \} \} \end{split}$$

//result

if(k == 0)

```
\{ \text{cout} << \text{endl} << \text{"b11} = \text{"} << \text{b11} << \text{endl}; \}
```

cout << "the matrix you input is an *M*-matrix!" << endl;}

else

 $\{$ cout<<endl<<"b11="<<b11<<endl;

cout << "the matrix you input is not an *M*-matrix!" << endl;}

the end of the program

References

- $1\,$ You Z
 Y. Nonsingular M-matrix. Wuhan: Huazhong Institute of Technology Press, 1981
- 2 Ojiro K, Niki H, Usui M. A new criterion for the *H*-matrix property. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2003, 150: 293-302
- 3 Li L. On the iterative criterion for generalized diagonally dominant matrices. Japan Soc. Indust. Appl. Math., Tokyo, 2002,17-24
- 4 Li B, Li L, Harada Niki H. An iterative criterion for *H*-matrices. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 1998, 271: 179-190
- 5 Kohno T, Niki H, Sawami H, Gao Y M. An iterative test for-matrix. J. Comput. Math., 2000,115: 345-355
- 6 Guo X J, Wang C W, Wang Y M, Kong L F. A method for judging nonsingular *M*-matrix and its parallel algorithm. Numeri.Math.J.Chinese Univ. (Chinese Series). 2001, 23(4): 357-362

Li Yaotang Department of Mathematics, University of Yunnan, Kunming 650091, PRC.Zhu Yan Department of Mathematics, University of Yunnan, Kunming 650091, PRC.