

A Note on $\text{Card}(X)$

Weituo Dai, Meng Wang* and Limin Sun

School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310027,
China

Received 17 September 2020; Accepted (in revised version) 21 September 2020

Dedicated to Professor Weiyi Su on the occasion of her 80th birthday

Abstract. The main interests here are to study the relationship between $\text{card}(X)$ and $\text{card}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ and the connection between the separability of a space X and cardinality of some function space on it. We will convert the calculation of $\text{card}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ to the calculation of $\text{card}(\mathcal{F}(X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}))$. The main tool we used here is Zorn Lemma.

Key Words: Cardinality, separability of space, Zorn Lemma.

AMS Subject Classifications: 03E10

1 Introduction

Let X be a set. If X is a finite set, we call the number of elements of X the cardinality of X , and denote it by $\text{card}(X)$. For two infinite sets X and Y , we can use this notion to compare the "number" of two sets X and Y . The following expressions are well-known:

- (i) $\text{card}(X) \leq \text{card}(Y)$ if there exists an injective map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$;
- (ii) $\text{card}(X) \geq \text{card}(Y)$ if there exists a surjective map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$;
- (iii) $\text{card}(X) = \text{card}(Y)$ if there exists a bijective map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$.

Let X and Y be two sets. We recall the following theorems in [1–3].

Theorem 1.1. $\text{card}(X) = \text{card}(Y)$ if and only if $\text{card}(X) \leq \text{card}(Y)$ and $\text{card}(X) \geq \text{card}(Y)$ both hold.

Theorem 1.2. Either $\text{card}(X) < \text{card}(Y)$ or $\text{card}(Y) < \text{card}(X)$ or $\text{card}(X) = \text{card}(Y)$.

Theorem 1.3. $\text{card}(X) < \text{card}(\mathcal{P}(X))$.

*Corresponding author. Email address: mathdreamcn@zju.edu.cn (M. Wang)

In this paper, we use \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} to denote the set of positive integers, integers, rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers respectively. The number field F mentioned here is a subfield of \mathbb{C} , thus \mathbb{Q} is the minimal number field and $F \supset \mathbb{Q}$. Given two sets X and Y , we denote

$$\mathcal{F}(X \rightarrow Y) = \{\text{map } f : X \rightarrow Y\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Especially, there is a natural algebra structure on $\mathcal{F}(X \rightarrow F)$ if F is a field. As usual, we use (X, ρ) to denote a metric space with a metric map $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, which satisfies

- (i) $\rho(x_1, x_2) = 0$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2$;
- (ii) $\rho(x_1, x_2) = \rho(x_2, x_1)$;
- (iii) $\rho(x_1, x_3) \leq \rho(x_1, x_2) + \rho(x_2, x_3)$, where x_1, x_2, x_3 are arbitrary points of X .

We use (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) to denote a measure space, where \mathcal{M} is a σ -algebra on X , and μ is a measure, i.e., $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a map, satisfying

- (i) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$;
- (ii) $\mu(\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_j)$, where $E_j \in \mathcal{M}$ and $E_{j_1} \cap E_{j_2} = \emptyset$, ($j_1 \neq j_2$).

We denote $\text{card}(\mathbb{N}) = c_0$, which is the minimal cardinality of all infinite sets. Denote $\text{card}(\mathbb{R}) = c$, which is called "cardinality of the continuum".

Let X and Y be two sets and $\alpha = \text{card}(X)$, $\beta = \text{card}(Y)$. We have the following definitions,

Definition 1.1. If $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, we define $\alpha + \beta = \text{card}(X \cup Y)$.

Definition 1.2. Define $\alpha \cdot \beta = \text{card}(X \times Y)$.

Definition 1.3. Define $\beta^\alpha = \text{card}(\mathcal{F}(X \rightarrow Y))$.

We verify that these three definitions are well-defined. Suppose two sets X_1 and Y_1 satisfy $\text{card}(X_1) = \text{card}(X)$, $\text{card}(Y_1) = \text{card}(Y)$ and $X_1 \cap Y_1 = \emptyset$ (in Definition 1.1). Then, we have bijective maps $\phi : X \rightarrow X_1$ and $\psi : Y \rightarrow Y_1$. We construct three maps ω, θ, η as follows:

$$\omega : X \cup Y \rightarrow X_1 \cup Y_1, \quad \omega(z) = \begin{cases} \phi(x), & \text{if } z = x \in X, \\ \psi(y), & \text{if } z = y \in Y, \end{cases} \tag{1.2a}$$

$$\theta : X \times Y \rightarrow X_1 \times Y_1 : \theta(x, y) = (\phi(x), \psi(y)), \tag{1.2b}$$

where $x \in X, y \in Y$.

$$\eta : \mathcal{F}(X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X_1 \rightarrow Y_1) : \eta(f) = \psi \circ f \circ \phi^{-1}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{F}(X \rightarrow Y)$, "o" represents the composition of maps. It is easy to verify that ω, θ, η are bijective. Thus these definitions are well-defined.