

GINZBURG-LANDAU VORTICES IN INHOMOGENEOUS SUPERCONDUCTORS*

Jian Huaiyu

(Department of Mathematics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China)

(E-mail: hjian@math.tsinghua.edu.cn)

Wang Youde

(Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, China)

(E-mail: wyd@math03.math.ac.cn)

(Received Jan. 25, 2002; revised Jul. 17, 2002)

Abstract We study the vortex convergence for an inhomogeneous Ginzburg-Landau equation, $-\Delta u = \varepsilon^{-2}u(a(x) - |u|^2)$, and prove that the vortices are attracted to the minimum point b of $a(x)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, we show that there exists a subsequence $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that u_ε converges to u strongly in $H_{loc}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{b\})$.

Key Words Vortex; Ginzburg-Landau equation; elliptic estimate; H^1 -strong convergence.

2000 MR Subject Classification 35J55, 35Q40.

Chinese Library Classification O175.29, O175.26.

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset R^2$ be a smooth, bounded and simply connected domain occupied by an inhomogeneous type II-superconducting material. Due to the inhomogeneities, the equilibrium density of superconducting electrons is not a constant, but a positive smooth function on Ω . Denote it by $a = a(x)$. In the steady state, this model, proposed by Likharev [1], is characterized by the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u &= -\frac{u}{\varepsilon^2}(a(x) - |u|^2), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(x) &= g_1(x), & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Also see [2] and [3, 4] for more-complicated time-dependent model. In the equation (1.1), we suppose that $g_1 : \partial\Omega \rightarrow R^2$ is smooth and satisfies

$$|g_1(x)| = \sqrt{a(x)} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (1.2)$$

*Research of H. Y. Jian was supported by National 973-Project from MOST and Trans-Century Training Programme Foundation for the Talents by Ministry of Education. Research of Y. D. Wang was supported by Excellent Young Grant of China.

It is easy to see that there exists at least a smooth solution u_ε to (1.1) for each $\varepsilon > 0$. In fact, the minimizer of the functional

$$E_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} (a(x) - |u|^2)^2 \right) dx \quad (1.3)$$

on $H_{g_1}^1(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2) : u = g_1, \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ is a solution of (1.1). Such a u is called a minimum solution to the equation (1.1).

We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of u_ε as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In the case where $a(x) = 1$ for any $x \in \Omega$, this problem was studied by Bethuel, Brezis and Hélein in [5], Struwe in [6] and Lin in [7]. In this case, the value $d_1 = \deg(g_1, \partial\Omega)$, the Brouwer degree of g_1 considered as a map from $\partial\Omega$ into S^1 , plays a crucial role. When $d_1 = 0$, the results in [8] show that the minimum solution converges to a smooth harmonic map from Ω into S^1 which equals to g_1 on $\partial\Omega$; when $d_1 \neq 0$, the situation is much more delicate, and singularities and vortices appear. See [5-7] for the details. In the case where $a(x)$ is not a constant, for example, $a(x)$ has a strict minimum in Ω , Chapman and Richardson in a recent paper [2] used a matched asymptotic method to derive formally that the vortices, i.e., the points at which the solution for the equation (1.1) (more generally, for a time dependent equation whose steady-state is (1.1)) equals to zero, are attracted to the minimum of $a(x)$. In [9], the authors tried to prove this phenomenon rigorously. But no H^1 -strong convergence has been obtained.

In this paper, we will prove a H^1 -strong convergence result. To state this main result, we set

$$g(x) = \frac{g_1(x)}{\sqrt{a(x)}}, \quad d = \deg(g, \partial\Omega).$$

Then, under the hypothesis (h_2) below, one has

$$d = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{g}{|g|^2} \wedge \frac{\partial g}{\partial T} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{g_1}{|g_1|^2} \wedge \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial T} = d_1.$$

We may assume $d > 0$ since the case $d < 0$ is completely similar to the case $d > 0$ and no vortex is expected to be appeared in the case $d = 0$. For simplicity, we only consider the case where $a(x)$ has a unique minimum; more precisely, assume that $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \Omega$ is the only minimum point of $a(x)$ in $\bar{\Omega}$. Moreover, we will suppose that

(**h₁**) Ω is starshaped with respect to the point b ;

(**h₂**) $a \in C^3(\bar{\Omega})$ and $a(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$;

(**h₃**) $\nabla a(x) \cdot (x - b) > 0$ for all $x \neq b, x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and the matrix function $M = (m_{kj})$, where $m_{kj} = \frac{\partial a(x)}{\partial x_k} (x_j - b_j)$, $k, j = 1, 2$, is semi-positive definite for all $x \in \Omega$;

or

(**h'₃**) $(\nabla a(x)) \cdot (x - b) - 2|(\nabla a(x))^\perp \cdot (x - b)| > 0$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $x \neq b$, where

$$(\nabla a(x))^\perp = \left(-\frac{\partial a(x)}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial a(x)}{\partial x_1} \right).$$