

ON BASIC SEMICONDUCTOR EQUATIONS WITH HEAT CONDUCTION*

Lou Yuan

(Dept. of Math., Peking Univ., Beijing 100871, China)

(Received June 10, 1992; revised Mar. 2, 1993)

Abstract We prove the global existence of solution to basic semiconductor equations with heat conduction; If the domain is narrow in one direction, then the basic equations has a unique steady-state which is locally asymptotically stable.

Key Words Super-subsolution; fixed point theorem; L^p estimate and Schauder estimate.

Classification 35K57, 35M10.

1. Introduction

(1) We consider a nonlinear system of partial differential equations arising from semiconductor theory (see [1]):

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\psi = \frac{q}{\varepsilon}(n - p - N(x)) & (1.1) \\ \operatorname{div} \vec{J}_n - \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = R(n, p, \theta) & (1.2) \\ \operatorname{div} \vec{J}_p - \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = R(n, p, \theta) & (1.3) \\ k \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \Delta\theta = H(n, p, \psi, \nabla n, \nabla p, \nabla\psi) & (1.4) \end{cases}$$

where ψ is the electrostatic potential, n and p are the densities of mobile holes and electrons respectively, θ is the temperature. $\vec{J}_n = D_n \nabla n - \mu_n n \nabla \psi$, $\vec{J}_p = D_p \nabla p + \mu_p p \nabla \psi$ are the hole and electron current densities, D_n and D_p are the diffusion coefficients for holes and electrons, μ_n and μ_p are the mobility of holes and electrons. R is the net recombination rate. $N(x)$ is the density of ionized impurities. ε and q denote the dielectric permittivity and the unit charge. k is associated with the material. We assume $\mu_n, \mu_p, D_n, D_p, \varepsilon, q, k$ are positive constants. $R(n, p, \theta) = r(n, p, \theta)(np - l(\theta))$. H represents local produced heat, one of the simplest forms is $-(\vec{J}_n + \vec{J}_p) \nabla \psi$.

* The research supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

The system (1.1)–(1.4) governs the transport of mobile carriers in a semiconductor device. For details please see [2] or [3]. Most researchers neglect the influence of change of temperature. Strictly speaking, the heat equation (1.4) should be included.

(2) On boundary condition: In this article we will only consider Dirichlet boundary condition.

(3) Known results and recent developments: there are many results when θ is considered as a constant. On steady-states, the first existence result is established in [4] under condition $R = 0$; for more general existence result of steady-states, we refer to [5–7]. On uniqueness of steady-states, we refer to [8–11] where partial results are included. Generally physical considerations show that one has to expect non-uniqueness of steady-states. On the global existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) (θ is regarded as a constant), see [12], [13], [1]. On asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1.1)–(1.3), partial results are obtained in [14] under very special boundary conditions. On the basic equations with heat conduction, as far as we know, only Seidmann [15] and Seidmann and Troianiello [16] obtained some results. In [15] they proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.1)–(1.4) and the existence of periodic solutions. In [16] they showed some results on the existence and uniqueness of solutions to (1.1)–(1.4) and the existence of steady-state. No result is known on the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of (1.1)–(1.4).

Recently we learned following interesting results from [17]: if Ω is sufficiently narrow in one direction, θ is a constant, then (1.1)–(1.3) has a unique steady-state and the solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) converge to the unique steady-state exponentially.

(4) Our main results: in this paper we will try to extend the results of [17] to system (1.1)–(1.4): first we established the global existence of solutions of (1.1)–(1.4); if Ω is sufficiently narrow in one direction, then (1.1)–(1.4) has a unique steady-state and it is locally asymptotically stable.

2. Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions of (1.1)–(1.4)

We impose following initial and boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} n, p, \theta|_{t=0} &= n_0(x), p_0(x), \theta_0(x) \\ n, p, \theta, \psi|_{\partial\Omega} &= \bar{n}(x, t), \bar{p}(x, t), \bar{\theta}(x, t), \bar{\psi}(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 1 Under following conditions, the system (1.1)–(1.4), (2.1) has a unique solution $(n, p, \psi, \theta) \in [C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(Q_T)]^4$ for $T > 0 : 0 \leq r(n, p, \theta) \leq r_1, 0 \leq l \leq l_1, (0, 0) \leq (\bar{n}, \bar{p}), (n_0, p_0) \leq (1, 1), 0 \leq N(x) \leq \bar{N}$, where r, l, H are Lipschitz continuous, r_1, l_1, \bar{N} are positive constants, $\bar{n}, \bar{p}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\psi} \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(Q_T), n_0, p_0, \theta_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}), N(x) \in C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega}), |H(n, p, \psi, \nabla n, \nabla p, \nabla \psi)| \leq H_0(n, p, \psi, \nabla \psi) + H_1(n, p, \psi, \nabla \psi)|\nabla n|^{l_0} + H_2(n, p, \psi, \nabla \psi)|\nabla p|^{l_0}$, where H_1, H_2 are continuous, l_0 is some positive constant, and the compatibility conditions on n_0 and \bar{n}, p_0 and \bar{p}, θ_0 and $\bar{\theta}$ are always assumed.

Proof of Theorem 1 Consider the space $A = L^\infty\{(0, T), W^{1,q}(\Omega)\}$ and a closed convex set $B = \{u \in A : 0 \leq u \leq e^{Mt}\}$, where M is to be determined.

Step 1 For arbitrarily given $u \in B, v \in B$, problem $\Delta\psi = \frac{q}{\epsilon}(u - v - N(x)), \psi|_{\partial\Omega} = \bar{\psi}$ has a unique solution ψ and $|\psi|_{L^\infty((0,T),W^{2,q}(\Omega))} \leq C$.

Step 2 For given (u, v) and ψ determined in Step 1, problem

$$k \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \Delta \theta = H(u, v, \psi, \nabla u, \nabla v, \nabla \psi), \theta|_{t=0} = \theta_0(x), \theta|_{\partial\Omega} = \bar{\theta}$$

has a unique solution θ and $\theta \in L^\infty((0, T), W^{2,q/l_0}(\Omega))$.

Step 3 After we have determined (θ, ψ) , let (n, p) be the solutions of following systems:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} - D_n \Delta n + \mu_n \nabla n \nabla \psi + \frac{q}{\epsilon} \mu_n n(n - p - N) + R(n, p, \theta) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} - D_p \Delta p - \mu_p \nabla p \nabla \psi - \frac{q}{\epsilon} \mu_p p(n - p - N) + R(n, p, \theta) = 0 \\ n, p|_{t=0} = n_0(x), p_0(x), n, p|_{\partial\Omega} = \bar{n}(x, t), \bar{p}(x, t) \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

We use the super-sub solution method to establish the existence of solutions of (2.2): for the super-sub solution method, we refer to [18] or [19]. Let $(\tilde{n}, \tilde{p}) = (e^{Mt}, e^{Mt})$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \tilde{n}}{\partial t} - D_n \Delta \tilde{n} + \mu_n \nabla \tilde{n} \nabla \psi - \sup_{0 \leq p \leq e^{Mt}} \left\{ -\frac{q}{\epsilon} \mu_n \tilde{n}(\tilde{n} - p - N) - R(\tilde{n}, p, \theta) \right\} \\ & \geq M e^{Mt} + \frac{q}{\epsilon} \mu_n e^{Mt}(e^{Mt} - e^{Mt} - N) - l_1 r_1 \geq e^{Mt} \left(M - \frac{q}{\epsilon} \mu_n \bar{N} - l_1 r_1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial t} - D_p \Delta \tilde{p} - \mu_p \nabla \tilde{p} \nabla \psi - \sup_{0 \leq n \leq e^{Mt}} \left\{ \frac{q}{\epsilon} \tilde{p}(n - \tilde{p} - N) - R(n, \tilde{p}, \theta) \right\} \\ & \geq M e^{Mt} - \frac{q}{\epsilon} \mu_p e^{Mt}(e^{Mt} - e^{Mt} - N) - l_1 r_1 \geq e^{Mt} (M - l_1 l_1) \end{aligned}$$

and $(\tilde{n}, \tilde{p}), (n_0, p_0) \leq (1, 1) \leq (\tilde{n}, \tilde{p})$, so we have: when $M \geq l_1 r_1 + \frac{q}{\epsilon} \mu_n \bar{N}$, (\tilde{n}, \tilde{p}) is the super-solution of (2.2).

Consider $(\underline{n}, \underline{p}) = (0, 0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \underline{n}}{\partial t} - D_n \Delta \underline{n} + \mu_n \nabla \underline{n} \nabla \psi - \inf_{0 \leq p \leq e^{Mt}} \left\{ -\frac{q}{\epsilon} \underline{n} \mu_n (\underline{n} - p - N) - R(\underline{n}, p, \theta) \right\} \\ & = - \inf_{0 \leq p \leq e^{Mt}} \{ r(0, p, \theta) l(\theta) \} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from $r \geq 0, l \geq 0$. Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \underline{p}}{\partial t} - D_p \Delta \underline{p} - \mu_p \nabla \underline{p} \nabla \psi - \inf_{0 \leq n \leq e^{Mt}} \left\{ \frac{q}{\epsilon} \mu_p \underline{p}(n - \underline{p} - N) - R(n, \underline{p}, \theta) \right\} \\ & = - \inf_{0 \leq n \leq e^{Mt}} \{ r(n, 0, \theta) l(\theta) \} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and $(\underline{n}, \underline{p}) = (0, 0) \leq (\bar{n}, \bar{p}), (n_0, p_0)$, so $(\underline{n}, \underline{p})$ is the sub-solution of (2.2). From the super-sub solution theorem (see [18] or [19]) we know that (2.2) has a unique solution $(n, p) : (0, 0) \leq (n, p) \leq e^{Mt}, e^{Mt}$.

So we can define an operator $T_1 : T_1(u, v) = (n, p)$. It is easy to prove that $T_1(B) \subseteq B$, in fact $T_1(B)$ is a bounded subset of B . From $\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} - D_n \Delta n + \mu_n n(n - p - N) + R(n, p, \theta) = 0$, $n|_{t=0} = n_0(x)$, $n|_{\partial\Omega} = \bar{n}(x, t)$ and the estimate $0 \leq n \leq e^{Mt}$, $0 \leq p \leq e^{Mt}$, $|\psi|_{L^\infty((0, T), W^{2, q}(\Omega))} \leq C$, using the L^p estimate for parabolic equation, we obtain $|n|_{L^\infty((0, T), W^{2, q}(\Omega))} \leq C$. Using Sobolev compact embedding theorem we claim T_1 is a compact operator. Now we settle to show the continuity of T_1 :

Assume $T_1(u_i, v_i) = (n_i, p_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, $u = u_1 - u_2$, $v = v_1 - v_2$, $\psi = \psi_1 - \psi_2$, $n = n_1 - n_2$, $p = p_1 - p_2$, $\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$. From the equation $\Delta \psi_i = \frac{q}{\varepsilon}(u_i - v_i - N)$, $\psi_i|_{\partial\Omega} = \bar{\psi}$, $i = 1, 2$, we have $\Delta \psi = \frac{q}{\varepsilon}(u - v)$, $\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Using L^p estimate we have following estimate:

$$|\psi|_{W^{2, q}(Q_T)}^q \leq C(|u|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q + |v|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q) \quad (2.3)$$

From the equation that $n_i (i = 1, 2)$ satisfy we have:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} - D_n \Delta n + \mu_n (\nabla n \nabla \psi_1 + \nabla n_2 \nabla \psi) + \frac{q}{\varepsilon} (n(n_1 + n_2 + p_1 - N) - n_2 p) \\ + R(n_1, p_1, \theta_1) - R(n_2, p_2, \theta_2) = 0, \quad n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad n|_{t=0} = 0 \end{cases}$$

then we obtain following estimate by using L^p estimate:

$$|n|_{W_q^{2, 1}(Q_T)}^q \leq C(|\nabla \psi|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q + |n|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q + |p|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q + |\theta|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q) \quad (2.4)$$

and we have similar estimate for p :

$$|p|_{W_q^{2, 1}(Q_T)}^q \leq C(|\nabla \psi|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q + |n|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q + |p|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q + |\theta|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q) \quad (2.5)$$

From $k \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial t} - \Delta \theta_i = H(n_i, p_i, \psi_i, \nabla n_i, \nabla p_i, \nabla \psi_i)$, $\theta_i|_{\partial\Omega} = \theta_0(x)$, we have $k \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \Delta \theta = H(u_1, v_1, \psi_1, \nabla u_1, \nabla v_1, \nabla \psi_1) - H(u_2, v_2, \psi_2, \nabla u_2, \nabla v_2, \nabla \psi_2)$, $\theta|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, and following estimate holds by using L^p estimate:

$$|\theta|_{W^{2, 1}(Q_T)}^q \leq C(|u|_{W_q^{1, 0}(Q_T)}^q + |v|_{W_q^{1, 0}(Q_T)}^q + |\psi|_{W_q^{1, 0}(Q_T)}^q) \quad (2.6)$$

Concluding from (2.3)-(2.6), we have

$$|n|_{W_q^{2, 1}(Q_T)}^q + |p|_{W_q^{2, 1}(Q_T)}^q \leq C(|u|_A^q + |v|_A^q + |n|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q + |p|_{L^q(Q_T)}^q)$$

And also we have

$$\begin{aligned} |n|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q &= \int_{\Omega} \left| \int_0^t n_t(s, x) ds \right|^q dx \leq C |n|_{W_q^{2, 1}(Q_T)}^q \\ |\nabla n|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q &= \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \int_0^t n_t(s, x) ds \right|^q dx \leq C |n|_{W_q^{2, 1}(Q_T)}^q \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |n|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}^q + |p|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}^q &\leq C(|n|_{W_q^{2,1}(Q_t)}^q + |p|_{W_q^{2,1}(Q_t)}^q) \\
 &\leq C(|u|_A^q + |v|_A^q + \int_0^t (|n|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}^q + |p|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}^q) dt)
 \end{aligned}$$

By using the Gronwall inequality we have $|n|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}^q + |p|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)}^q \leq C(|u|_A + |v|_A)$, therefore the continuity of the operator T_1 is proved.

Now by applying the Schauder fixed point theorem, we can show the existence of a solution (n, p, θ, ψ) to system (1.1)–(1.4), (2.1), and using the regularity theory of parabolic equation, we have $(n, p, \theta, \psi) \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(Q_T)$ for any $T > 0$.

For uniqueness part, assume there are two solutions $(n_i, p_i, \theta_i, \psi_i), i = 1, 2$, by using the similar steps of proving the continuity of T_1 , we have

$$|n|_{W^{1,q}(Q_t)}^q + |p|_{W^{1,q}(Q_t)}^q \leq C \int_0^t (|n|_{W^{1,q}(Q_s)}^q + |p|_{W^{1,q}(Q_s)}^q) ds$$

Let $g(t) = \int_0^t (|n|_{W^{1,q}(Q_s)}^q + |p|_{W^{1,q}(Q_s)}^q) ds$, then we have $dg(t)/dt \leq Cg(t), g(t) \geq 0, g(0) = 0$, which means $g(t) \equiv 0$, therefore $n = p = 0$, and then $\psi = \theta = 0$, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Existence and Uniqueness of the Steady State

We consider the following elliptic system:

$$\begin{cases}
 \Delta \psi = \frac{q}{\epsilon}(n - p - N(x)) \\
 \operatorname{div} \vec{J}_n = R(n, p, \theta) \\
 \operatorname{div} \vec{J}_p = R(n, p, \theta) \\
 \Delta \theta = -H(n, p, \psi, \nabla n, \nabla p, \nabla \psi)
 \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

and boundary condition:

$$n, p, \theta, \psi|_{\partial\Omega} = n_\infty(x), p_\infty(x), \theta_\infty(x), \psi_\infty(x) \tag{3.2}$$

From now on we always assume that Ω is sufficiently narrow in x_1 direction and $\Omega \subset (0, x_0) \times \tilde{\Omega}$. We choose the function space A as $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and its subset $B = \{u \in A, 0 \leq u \leq b - ax_1^2\}$, where a, b are positive constants to be determined later.

Theorem 2 *If x_0 is small enough, and $0 \leq n_\infty(x), p_\infty(x) \leq 1, n_\infty(x), p_\infty(x), \theta_\infty(x), \psi_\infty(x) \in C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}), 0 \leq r \leq r_1, 0 \leq l \leq l_1, 0 \leq N(x) \leq \bar{N}, N(x) \in C^\alpha(\tilde{\Omega})$, where r, l, H are Lipschitz continuous functions, r_1, l_1, \bar{N} are positive constants, then the system (3.1)–(3.5) has a unique solution $(n, p, \psi, \theta) \in \{C^{2,\alpha}(\tilde{\Omega})\}^4$.*

Proof of Theorem 2 Step 1 For arbitrarily given $(u, v) \in B \times B$, we can uniquely determine ψ by using equation $\Delta\psi = \frac{q}{\varepsilon}(u - v - N(x))$, $\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = \psi_\infty(x)$.

Step 2 For given (u, v) and the ψ determined in step 1, we can uniquely find a θ satisfying equation: $\Delta\theta = -H(u, v, \psi, \nabla u, \nabla v, \nabla\psi)$, $\theta|_{\partial\Omega} = \theta_\infty(x)$.

Step 3 Assume that n, p are solutions of following equations:

$$\begin{cases} -D_n\Delta n + \mu_n\nabla n\nabla\psi + \frac{q}{\varepsilon}\mu_n n(n - v - N(x)) + R(n, v, \theta) = 0, \\ n|_{\partial\Omega} = n_\infty(x) \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{cases} -D_p\Delta p - \mu_p\nabla p\nabla\psi - \frac{q}{\varepsilon}\mu_p p(u - p - N(x)) + R(n, p, \theta), \\ p|_{\partial\Omega} = p_\infty(x) \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

The proof of the existence of solution of (3.3): consider function $\tilde{n} = b - ax_1^2$, from $\Delta\psi = \frac{q}{\varepsilon}(u - v - N(x))$, $\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = \psi_\infty(x)$ we have $|\nabla\psi|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C(|\Delta\psi|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + |\psi|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \leq C|u - v - N(x)|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C + C(b + \bar{N})$, where C is some positive constant, then \tilde{n} satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} & -D_n\Delta\tilde{n} + \mu_n\nabla\tilde{n}\nabla\psi - \sup_{0 \leq v \leq a - bx_1^2} \left\{ -\frac{q}{\varepsilon}\mu_n\tilde{n}(\tilde{n} - v - N) - R(\tilde{n}, v, \theta) \right\} \\ & \geq 2aD_n - 2ax_1\mu_n|\nabla\psi|_{L^\infty} - \frac{q}{\varepsilon}\mu_n\bar{N}b - r_1l_1 \\ & \geq 2aD_n - 2ax_1\mu_n(C + C(b + \bar{N})) - \frac{q}{\varepsilon}\mu_n\bar{N}b - r_1l_1 \end{aligned}$$

If \tilde{n} is to be a super-solution, it suffices that the last term in above inequalities is positive, i.e., we need following conditions:

$$a \geq \left(\frac{q}{\varepsilon}\mu_n\bar{N} + r_1l_1\right)/D_n, \quad x_0 \leq D_n/2\mu_n(C + C(b + \bar{N})) \quad (3.5)$$

Note that all constants in above inequalities are independent of x_0 . On the boundary we need to have $\tilde{n}|_{\partial\Omega} \geq n_\infty(x)$. Note that $n_\infty(x) \leq 1$, then we need $b - ax_0^2 \geq 1$, and it suffices to let

$$b \geq 1 + aD_n^2/2\mu_n(C + C(b + \bar{N}))^2 \quad (3.6)$$

We can easily choose adequate, a, b such that (3.5), (3.6) hold, then $\tilde{n} = b - ax_1^2$ is a super-solution.

For $\underline{n} = 0$, it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & -D_n\Delta\underline{n} + \mu_n\nabla\underline{n}\nabla\psi - \inf_{0 \leq v \leq b - ax_1^2} \left\{ -\frac{q}{\varepsilon}\mu_n\underline{n}(\underline{n} - v - N) - R(\underline{n}, v, \theta) \right\} \\ & \leq - \inf_{0 \leq v \leq b - ax_1^2} \{r(v, \theta)l(\theta)\} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

On the boundary we have $n_\infty(x) \geq 0 \geq \underline{n}$, then by virtue of the super-sub solution method (see [20]), there exists a solution n to (3.3) and $0 \leq n \leq b - ax_1^2$.

The existence of solution to (3.4): $\tilde{p} = b - ax_1^2$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & -D_p \Delta \tilde{p} - \mu_p \nabla \tilde{p} \nabla \psi - \sup_{0 \leq u \leq b - ax_1^2} \left\{ \frac{q}{\varepsilon} \mu_p \tilde{p} (u - \tilde{p} - N) - R(u, \tilde{p}, \theta) \right\} \\ & \geq 2aD_p - 2ax_0 \mu_p |\nabla \psi|_{L^\infty} - r_1 l_1 \geq 2aD_p - 2ax_0 \mu_p (C + C(b + \bar{N})) - r_1 l_1 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

In order that the last inequality holds, it suffices that $a \geq r_1 l_1 / D_p$, $x_0 \leq D_p / 2\mu_p (C + C(b + \bar{N}))$. On the boundary, $\tilde{p} \geq b - ax_0^2 \geq 1 \geq p_\infty(x)$, and we can choose a large first, and then choose b large, finally choose x_0 small such that all above inequalities hold. Also note that $\underline{p} = 0$ is the sub-solution of (3.4). Again applying the super-sub solution theorem we know that there exists a solution p to (3.4) and $0 \leq p \leq b - ax_1^2$.

Now let's define an operator $T_2 : T_2(u, v) = (n, p)$, and it can be seen that $T_2(B) \subset B$, in fact $T_2(B)$ is a bounded set of B : from $\Delta \psi = \frac{q}{\varepsilon}(u - v - N)$, $\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = \psi_\infty(x)$, and $0 \leq u, v \leq b - ax_1^2$, we easily deduce the estimate $|\psi|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq C$. From $-D_n \Delta n + \mu_n \nabla n \nabla \psi = -\frac{q}{\varepsilon} \mu_n n (n - v - N) - R(n, v, \theta)$, $n|_{\partial\Omega} = n_\infty(x)$, applying standard L^p estimate and embedding theorem, we can show that $|n|_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq C$, and similarly we have $|p|_A \leq C$, therefore $T_2(B)$ is bounded in B . We can use the L^p estimate and Sobolev compact embedding theorem to deduce that T_2 is a compact operator.

Now we only need to prove the continuity of operator T_2 : assume $T_2(u_i, v_i) = (n_i, p_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, $u = u_1 - u_2$, $v = v_1 - v_2$, $n = n_1 - n_2$, $p = p_1 - p_2$, $\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$, $\psi = \psi_1 - \psi_2$. For ψ , $\Delta \psi = \frac{q}{\varepsilon}(u - v)$, $\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then we have $|\psi|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq C(|u|_{L^q} + |v|_{L^q})$.

For n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_n \Delta n &= \mu_n \left(\nabla \psi_1 \nabla n + \nabla \psi \nabla n_2 + \frac{q}{\varepsilon} (n(n_1 + n_2 + v_1 - N) - n_2 v) \right) \\ &\quad + R(n_1, v_1, \theta_1) - R(n_2, v_2, \theta_2) \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of L^p estimate, we have $|n|_{W^{2,q}} \leq C(|\nabla \psi|_{L^q} + |v|_{L^q} + |\theta|_{L^q})$, and similarly for p we have $|p|_{W^{2,q}} \leq C(|\nabla \psi|_{L^q} + |u|_{L^q} + |v|_{L^q})$.

For θ , it satisfies

$$\Delta \theta = H(u_2, v_2, \psi_2, \nabla u_2, \nabla v_2, \nabla \psi_2) - H(u_1, v_1, \psi_1, \nabla u_1, \nabla v_1, \nabla \psi_1), \theta|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

then we have

$$|\theta|_{W^{2,q}} \leq C(|\psi|_{W^{1,q}} + |u|_{W^{1,q}} + |v|_{W^{1,q}})$$

Concluding from above estimates we have

$$|n|_{W^{2,q}} + |p|_{W^{2,q}} \leq C(|u|_{W^{1,q}} + |v|_{W^{1,q}}) \leq C(|u|_A + |v|_A)$$

Let q be sufficiently large, by virtue of Sobolev embedding theorem we have $|n|_A + |p|_A \leq C(|u|_A + |v|_A)$, this means the operator T_2 is continuous. According to Schauder's fixed point theorem, we know that T_2 has a fixed point, i.e., (3.1)–(3.2) has a solution (n, p, ψ, θ) , furthermore from the regularity theory it follows that $n, p, \psi, \theta \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Now let's show the uniqueness part: assume there are two solutions $(n_i, p_i, \theta_i, \psi_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, $n = n_1 - n_2$, $p = p_1 - p_2$, $\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$, $\psi = \psi_1 - \psi_2$.

For n , it satisfies $D_n \Delta n - \mu_n (\nabla n \nabla \psi_1 - n_2 \nabla \psi) + R(n_1, p_1, \theta_1) - R(n_2, p_2, \theta_2) = 0$. Multiplying above equation by n and integrating it on Ω , we have $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla n|^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} (n^2 + p^2 + \theta^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2)$, and similarly for p we have $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla p|^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} (n^2 + p^2 + \theta^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2)$. ψ satisfies $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} (n^2 + p^2)$.

For θ , it satisfies $\Delta \theta = H(n_2, p_2, \theta_2, \nabla n_2, \nabla p_2, \nabla \psi_2) - H(n_1, p_1, \theta_1, \nabla n_1, \nabla p_1, \nabla \psi_1)$. Then we have $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta|^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega} (n^2 + p^2 + \theta^2 + |\nabla \psi|^2 + \delta |\nabla n|^2 + \delta |\nabla p|^2)$. Let δ be sufficiently small and concluding from above estimates we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla n|^2 + |\nabla p|^2 + |\nabla \theta|^2) \leq C \int_{\Omega} (n^2 + p^2 + \theta^2)$$

As we have following inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} n^2 = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \left(\int_0^{x_1} n_s ds \right)^2 dx \leq \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \left(\int_0^{x_1} |\nabla n|^2 x_0 \right) dx \leq x_0 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla n|^2$$

Similar inequalities hold for p, θ , so we have

$$(1 - Cx_0) \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla n|^2 + |\nabla \theta|^2 + |\nabla p|^2) \leq 0$$

Let x_0 be so small that $Cx_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then we have $\nabla n = \nabla p = \nabla \theta = 0$. As $n, p, \theta|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then we easily deduce that $n = p = \theta = 0$, therefore $\psi = 0$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4. The Asymptotic Behavior of the Solution of (1.1)–(1.4)+(2.1)

We don't need the condition that x_0 is sufficiently small while we prove the global existence and uniqueness of (1.1)–(1.4)+(2.1). However, the norms of n, p, θ, ψ depend on time T . In order to obtain better estimates, we only need to use $b - ax_1^2$ to replace e^{Mt} , i.e, we have following result:

Theorem 3 Under the same conditions as in Theorem 1, if we further assume x_0 is sufficiently small, then (1.1) – (1.4) + (2.1) has a unique solution and $|n|_{L^\infty}, |p|_{L^\infty}, |\theta|_{L^\infty}, |\psi|_{L^\infty} \leq C$, where C is independent of T .

Write the solution obtained in Theorem 2 as $(n^*, p^*, \theta^*, \psi^*)$, the solution obtained in Theorem 1 as (n, p, θ, ψ) .

Theorem 4 If x_0 is sufficiently small, $\max(|n^* - n_0(x)|, |p^* - p_0(x)|, |\theta^* - \theta_0(x)|) \leq 1$, $|\bar{n}(x, t) - n_\infty(x)|, |\bar{p}(x, t) - p_\infty(x)|, |\bar{\theta}(x, t) - \theta_\infty(x)|, |\bar{\psi}(x, t) - \psi_\infty(x)| \leq Ce^{-\delta_0 t}$, where C, δ_0 are some positive constants, then there exists $\delta > 0, C > 0$ such that: $\max(|n - n^*|, |p - p^*|, |\psi - \psi^*|, |\theta - \theta^*|) \leq Ce^{-\delta t}$.

Let $\hat{n}, \hat{p}, \hat{\theta}$ be the solutions of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \hat{n}}{\partial t} = D_n \Delta \hat{n} - \mu_n \nabla \hat{n} \nabla \psi^* - \frac{q}{\varepsilon} \mu_n n^* (n^* - p^* - N) - R(n^*, p^*, \theta^*) \\ \frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} = D_p \Delta \hat{p} + \mu_p \nabla \hat{p} \nabla \psi^* + \frac{q}{\varepsilon} \mu_p p^* (n^* - p^* - N) - R(n^*, p^*, \theta^*) \\ k \frac{\partial \hat{\theta}}{\partial t} = \Delta \hat{\theta} + H(n^*, p^*, \psi^*, \nabla n^*, \nabla p^*, \nabla \psi^*) \\ \hat{n}, \hat{p}, \hat{\theta}|_{t=0} = n_0(x), p_0(x), \theta_0(x), \hat{n}, \hat{p}, \hat{\theta}|_{\partial \Omega} = \bar{n}(x, t), \bar{p}(x, t), \bar{\theta}(x, t) \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 5 $\exists \delta > 0$ such that: $|\hat{n} - n^*|, |\hat{p} - p^*|, |\hat{\theta} - \theta^*| \leq C e^{-\delta t}$.

Proof Let $g = b - ax_1^2$, consider super-sub solutions $(\tilde{n}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{\theta}) = (n^* + ge^{-\delta t}, p^* + ge^{-\delta t}, \theta^* + ge^{-\delta t})$ and $(\underline{n}, \underline{p}, \underline{\theta}) = (n^* - ge^{-\delta t}, p^* - ge^{-\delta t}, \theta^* - ge^{-\delta t})$.

Let we check \hat{n} first

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{n}}{\partial t} - D_n \Delta \hat{n} + \mu_n \nabla \hat{n} \nabla \psi^* + \frac{q}{\varepsilon} \mu_n n^* (n^* - p^* - N) + R(n^*, p^*, \theta^*) \\ = \frac{\partial (ge^{-\delta t})}{\partial t} - D_n \Delta (ge^{-\delta t}) + \mu_n \nabla (ge^{-\delta t}) \nabla \psi^* \\ \geq e^{-\delta t} (-\delta g) + 2ae^{-\delta t} D_n - 2ax_0 \mu_n e^{-\delta t} |\nabla \psi^*|_{L^\infty} \\ \geq e^{-\delta t} (2aD_n - \delta g - 2a\mu_n x_0 (C|n^* - p^* - N|_{L^\infty} + C)) \\ \geq e^{-\delta t} (2aD_n - \delta b - 2a\mu_n x_0 (C(2b + \bar{N}) + C)) \end{aligned}$$

So we only need to assume $\delta \leq \min(aD_n/b, \delta_0)$ and $x_0 \leq D_n/2\mu_n(C(2b + \bar{N}) + C)$.

Similarly we can proceed as above to choose adequate δ, x_0 such that \tilde{p} is a super solutions.

For θ , it satisfies

$$k \frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}}{\partial t} - \Delta \tilde{\theta} - H(n^*, p^*, \psi^*, \nabla n^*, \nabla p^*, \nabla \psi^*) = k \frac{\partial (ge^{-\delta t})}{\partial t} - e^{-\delta t} \Delta g \geq e^{-\delta t} (2a - kb\delta)$$

So we only need to choose $\delta \leq a/kb$ st $\tilde{\theta}$ is super-solution. And similarly we can choose δ, x_0 such that $\underline{n}, \underline{p}, \underline{\theta}$ are sub-solutions.

For initial values, we have

$$\tilde{n}|_{t=0} = n^* + g(x_1) \geq n^* + 1 \geq n_0(x) = \hat{n}|_{t=0} \geq n^* - g(x_1) = \bar{n}|_{t=0}$$

For boundary values, we have

$$\tilde{n}|_{\partial \Omega} = n_\infty(x) + g(x_1)e^{-\delta t}|_{\partial \Omega} \geq \bar{n}(x, t) \geq n_\infty(x) - ge^{-\delta t}|_{\partial \Omega} = \bar{n}|_{\partial \Omega}$$

And similarly we can check the inequalities of p, θ . Then by virtue of the super-sub solution method theorem we can complete the proof of Lemma 5: Let's write

$\Omega_t = \Omega \times (t, t+1)$, $\Omega^t = \{(x, t) : x \in \Omega\}$. As $\hat{n} - n^*$ satisfies equation $\frac{\partial(\hat{n} - n^*)}{\partial t} = D_n \Delta(\hat{n} - n^*) - \mu_n \nabla(\hat{n} - n^*) \nabla \psi^*$, and we already know that $|\hat{n} - n^*|_{\partial\Omega} \leq C e^{-\delta_0 t}$, $|\hat{n} - n^*|_{\Omega^t} \leq C e^{-\delta t}$, then we have $|\hat{n} - n^*|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega_t)} \leq C e^{-\delta t}$. Let q be sufficiently large and by virtue of embedding theorem we have $|\hat{n} - n^*|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega_t)} \leq C e^{-\delta t}$. We have same estimates for p and θ , this completes the proof of Lemma 5.

Proof of Theorem 4 Let $W = n(x, t) - \hat{n}(x, t)$, $Z = p(x, t) - \hat{p}(x, t)$, $S = \theta(x, t) - \hat{\theta}(x, t)$, then from (1.2), (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} - D_n \Delta W + \mu_n (\nabla n \nabla(\psi - \psi^*) + \nabla W \nabla \psi^*) - \frac{q}{\varepsilon} \mu_n [(n + n^*)(n - n^*) + n(p - p^*) \\ + N(n^* - n)] + R(n, p, \theta) - R(n^*, p^*, \theta^*) = 0, \quad W|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |W|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} &\leq C(|n - n^*|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |p - p^*|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |\theta - \theta^*|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |W|_{L^q(\Omega^t)}) \\ &\leq C(|W|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |Z|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |S|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |W|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + e^{-\delta t}) \end{aligned}$$

And similar estimate holds for Z ; For S , we have

$$k \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \Delta S + H(n, p, \theta, \nabla n, \nabla p, \nabla \psi) - H(n^*, p^*, \theta^*, \nabla n^*, \nabla p^*, \nabla \psi^*), \quad S|_{t=0, \partial\Omega} = 0$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} &\leq C(|S|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + |\psi - \psi^*|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega_t)} + |n - n^*|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega_t)} + |p - p^*|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega_t)}) \\ &\leq C(|S|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + |\psi - \psi^*|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} + |\hat{n} - n^*|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} + |\hat{p} - p^*|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} \\ &\quad + |W|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega_t)} + |Z|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega_t)}) \leq C(|S|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + |\psi - \psi^*|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega_t)} + e^{-\delta t} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon |W|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} + \varepsilon |W|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + \varepsilon |Z|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} + \varepsilon |Z|_{L^q(\Omega^t)}) \end{aligned}$$

and from $\Delta(\psi - \psi^*) = \frac{q}{\varepsilon} \{(n - n^*) - (p - p^*)\}$, $(\psi - \psi^*)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, we have estimate $|\psi - \psi^*|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega_t)} \leq C(e^{-\delta t} + |n - n^*|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |p - p^*|_{L^q(\Omega_t)})$.

Concluding from above inequalities and letting ε be sufficiently small, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |W|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} + |Z|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} + |S|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} &\leq C(|n - n^*|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |p - p^*|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} \\ &\quad + |W|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |Z|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |W|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + |Z|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + |S|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + e^{-\delta t}) \\ &\leq C(|W|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |Z|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |W|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + |Z|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + |S|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + e^{-\delta t}) \\ &\leq C x_1^{1-1/q} (|\nabla W|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |\nabla Z|_{L^q(\Omega_t)}) + C(|W|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + |Z|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} \\ &\quad + |S|_{L^q(\Omega^t)} + e^{-\delta t}) \end{aligned}$$

Let x_0 be so small that $Cx_0 \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |W|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} + |Z|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} + |S|_{W_q^{2,1}(\Omega_t)} &\leq C(|W|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |Z|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + |S|_{L^q(\Omega_t)} + e^{-\delta t}) \\ &\leq Cx_1^{1/q}(|W|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} + |Z|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} + |S|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} + e^{-\delta t}) \end{aligned}$$

Let q be sufficiently large, by virtue of Sobolev embedding theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} |W|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} + |Z|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} + |S|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \\ \leq Cx_1^{1/q}(|W|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} + |Z|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} + |S|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)}) + Ce^{-\delta t} \end{aligned}$$

Again letting x_0 be small, we have

$$|W|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} + |Z|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} + |S|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq Ce^{-\delta t}$$

and then we have

$$|n - n^*|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq |\hat{n} - n^*|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} + |W|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq Ce^{-\delta t}$$

For similar reason we have

$$|p - p^*|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq Ce^{-\delta t}, \quad |\theta - \theta^*|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq Ce^{-\delta t}, \quad |\psi - \psi^*|_{L^\infty(\Omega_t)} \leq Ce^{-\delta t}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Acknowledgments This article, a part of my M.S thesis, is completed under the guidance of Professor Ye Qixiao. Here I express my sincere gratitude to him for his helpful discussions and constant encouragement.

I would also like to thank Prof. Wu Lancheng, Prof. Cheng Yazhe, Prof. Li Zhengyuan and Dr. Liang Jin for their help.

References

- [1] Van Roosbroeck, Theory of flow of electrons and holes in Germanium and other semiconductors, *Bell System Tech J.* **29** (1950), 560-607.
- [2] Selberherr S., Analysis and Simulation of Semiconductor Devices, Springer-Verlag/Wien, 1984.
- [3] Wan Yuanming, The analysis and mathematical model of activities of mobiles in semiconductor devices, *Applied Mathematics-A Journal of Chinese Universities* **2** (1987), 228-240 (In Chinese).
- [4] Mock M.S., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of transport equations for semiconductor devices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **49** (1975), 215-255.
- [5] Bank R.E., Jerome J. W., Rose D. J., Analytical and Numerical Aspects of Semiconductors Device Modelling Report, 88-11274-2, Bell laboratories (1982).

- [6] Gajewski H., On the existence of steady-state carrier distributions in semiconductors, "Probleme und Methoden der Mathematischen Physik", *Teubner-Texte Zur Mathematik*, **63** (1983), 76–82.
- [7] Seidmann T. I., Steady-state solutions of diffusion-reaction systems with electrostatic convection, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **4** (1981), 623–637.
- [8] Gajewski H., On uniqueness and stability of steady state carrier distributions in semiconductors, EQUADIFF 6, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1192, Springer-Verlag (1986).
- [9] Mock M.S., On equations describing steady-state carrier distributions in a semiconductor device, *Comm. Pure. and Appl. Math.*, **25** (1972), 781–792.
- [10] Mock M.S., An example of nonuniqueness of stationary solutions in semiconductor device Models, *COMPL* **3** (1982), 165–174.
- [11] Mock M.S., Analysis of Mathematical Models of Semiconductor Devices, Dublin: Boole Press (1983).
- [12] Gajewski H., On existence, uniqueness and 0 behavior of solutions of the basic equations for carrier transportation in semiconductors, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech*, **65** (1985), 101–108.
- [13] Mock M.S., An initial value problem from semiconductor theory, *SIAM J. Math. Anal*, **5** (1974), 597–612.
- [14] Gajewski H. and Groger K., On the basic equations for carrier transport in semiconductors, *J. of Math. Anal. Appl.*, **113** (1986), 12–35.
- [15] Seidmann T. I., Time dependent solutions of a nonlinear system arising in semiconductor theory—II. boundaries and periodicity, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **10** (1986), 491–502.
- [16] Seidmann T. I., Trorianiello G. I., Time dependent solutions of a nonlinear system arising in semiconductor theory, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **9** (1985), 1137–1157.
- [17] Liang J., On the semiconductor's system, *Journal of Partial Differential Equations*, **5** (1992), 69–78.
- [18] Liang J., The reaction-diffusion system without quasi-monotone conditions, *Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Pekinensis*, **3** (1987), 9–20.
- [19] Ye Qixiao and Li Zhengyuan, Introduction to Reaction-Diffusion Equation, Science Press, Beijing, 1990 (in Chinese).
- [20] Smoller J., Shock Waves and Reaction-Diffusion Equations, Springer-Verlag, 1983.