

Busemann-Petty Type Problem for the General L_p -Centroid Bodies

Weidong Wang^{1,2,*}

¹ Three Gorges Mathematical Research Center, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, Hubei 443002, China

² College of Science, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, Hubei 443002, China

Received 16 September 2021; Accepted (in revised version) 7 February 2022

Abstract. Lutwak showed the Busemann-Petty type problem (also called the Shephard type problem) for the centroid bodies. Grinberg and Zhang gave an affirmation and a negative form of the Busemann-Petty type problem for the L_p -centroid bodies. In this paper, we obtain an affirmation form and two negative forms of the Busemann-Petty type problem for the general L_p -centroid bodies.

Key Words: L_p -centroid body, general L_p -centroid body, Busemann-Petty problem, affirmation form, negation form.

AMS Subject Classifications: 52A40, 52A20, 52A39, 52A38

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{K}^n denote the set of convex bodies (compact, convex subsets with non-empty interiors) in n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , for the set of convex bodies containing the origin in their interiors and the set of origin-symmetric convex bodies, we write \mathcal{K}_o^n and \mathcal{K}_{os}^n , respectively. Let \mathcal{S}_o^n and \mathcal{S}_{os}^n orderly denote the set of star bodies (about the origin) and the set of origin-symmetric star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Let S^{n-1} denote the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n , denote by $V(K)$ the n -dimensional volume of a body K , for the standard unit ball B in \mathbb{R}^n , write $\omega_n = V(B)$.

Centroid body was attributed by Blaschke to Dupin (see [6, 18]), its definition was extended by Petty (see [17]). Let K is a compact set, the centroid body, ΓK , of K is an origin-symmetric convex body whose support function is given by (see [6])

$$h_{\Gamma K}(u) = \frac{1}{V(K)} \int_K |u \cdot x| dx \quad (1.1)$$

*Corresponding author. Email address: wdwxh722@163.com (W. Wang)

for all $u \in S^{n-1}$.

Centroid bodies are very important in Brunn-Minkowski theory. For decades, centroid bodies have attracted increased attention (for example see articles [10,11,17,27] and books [6,18]). In particular, Lutwak [11] showed an affirmation and a negative form of the Busemann-Petty type problems for the centroid bodies as follows:

Theorem 1.1. For $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $L \in \mathcal{P}^*$, if $\Gamma K \subseteq \Gamma L$, then

$$V(K) \leq V(L),$$

and $V(K) = V(L)$ if and only if $K = L$. Here \mathcal{P}^* denotes the set of polars of all projection bodies.

Theorem 1.2. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n \setminus \mathcal{P}^*$ is infinite smooth, then there exists $L \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n \setminus \mathcal{P}^*$ is infinite smooth, such that $\Gamma K \subset \Gamma L$, but

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

In 1997, Lutwak and Zhang [15] introduced the notion of L_p -centroid bodies. For each compact star-shaped (about the origin) K in \mathbb{R}^n and real $p \geq 1$, the L_p -centroid body, $\Gamma_p K$, of K is an origin-symmetric convex body whose support function is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\Gamma_p K}^p(u) &= \frac{1}{c_{n,p} V(K)} \int_K |u \cdot x|^p dx \\ &= \frac{1}{c_{n,p} (n+p) V(K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} |u \cdot v|^p \rho_K(v)^{n+p} dv \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

for all $u \in S^{n-1}$. Here

$$c_{n,p} = \omega_{n+p} / \omega_2 \omega_n \omega_{p-1} \quad (1.3)$$

and dv is the standard spherical Lebesgue measure on S^{n-1} . The normalization above is chosen so that for the standard unit ball B in \mathbb{R}^n , we have $\Gamma_p B = B$. For the case $p = 1$, by (1.1) and (1.2), we see that $\Gamma_1 K$ is the centroid body ΓK under the normalization of definition (1.2) and $\Gamma_1 K = c_{n,1}^{-1} \Gamma K$ (see [6]).

Further, Lutwak and Zhang [15] established the L_p -centroid affine inequality. Whereafter, associated with the L_p -centroid bodies, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [14] proved the L_p -Busemann-Petty centroid inequality which is stronger than the L_p -centroid affine inequality. The L_p -centroid bodies mean that the centroid bodies are extended from the Brunn-Minkowski theory to the L_p -Brunn-Minkowski theory. Regarding the studies of the L_p -centroid bodies, also see [1-3,7,21,22,24] and books [6,18]. In particular, Grinberg and Zhang [7] gave the following the Busemann-Petty type problem for the L_p -centroid bodies.

Theorem 1.3. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $L \in \mathcal{P}_p^*$, then $\Gamma_p K \subseteq \Gamma_p L$ implies

$$V(K) \leq V(L),$$

and $V(K) = V(L)$ if and only if $K = L$. Here \mathcal{P}_p^* denotes the set of polars of all L_p -projection bodies.

Theorem 1.4. *If $K \in \mathcal{F}_{os}^2 \setminus \mathcal{L}_p$, then there exists $L \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$ such that $\Gamma_p K \subset \Gamma_p L$, but*

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

Here \mathcal{F}_{os}^2 denotes the set of origin-symmetric convex bodies whose support functions are of C^2 and have positive continuous curvature functions, and \mathcal{L}_p denotes the set of L_p -balls (see [7]).

In 2005, Ludwig [9] introduced a function $\varphi_\tau : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\varphi_\tau(t) = |t| + \tau t \tag{1.4}$$

with a parameter $\tau \in [-1, 1]$. From (1.4), Ludwig [9] introduced the notions of general L_p -projection bodies. Whereafter, Haberl and Schuster [8] derived a general L_p -projection body is the L_p -Minkowski combination of two asymmetric L_p -projection bodies, and established the general L_p -Petty projection inequality and the general L_p -Busemann-Petty centroid inequality.

Recently, motivated by Ludwig, Haberl and Schuster's work, Feng, Wang and Lu [5] defined the general L_p -centroid bodies as follows: For $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, the general L_p -centroid body, $\Gamma_p^\tau K$, of K is the convex body whose support function is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\Gamma_p^\tau K}^p(u) &= \frac{2}{c_{n,p}(\tau)V(K)} \int_K \varphi_\tau(u \cdot x)^p dx \\ &= \frac{2}{c_{n,p}(\tau)(n+p)V(K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi_\tau(u \cdot v)^p \rho_K(v)^{n+p} dv, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$c_{n,p}(\tau) = c_{n,p}[(1 + \tau)^p + (1 - \tau)^p]$$

and $c_{n,p}$ satisfies (1.3). The normalization is chosen such that $\Gamma_p^\tau B = B$ for every $\tau \in [-1, 1]$. Obviously, if $\tau = 0$ then $\Gamma_p^\tau K = \Gamma_p K$. Further, let $\tau = 1$ in (1.5), they [5] defined the asymmetric L_p -centroid body, $\Gamma_p^+ K$, of $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\Gamma_p^+ K}^p(u) &= \frac{2}{c_{n,p}V(K)} \int_K (u \cdot x)_+^p dx \\ &= \frac{2}{c_{n,p}(n+p)V(K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} (u \cdot v)_+^p \rho_K(v)^{n+p} dv, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $(u \cdot x)_+ = \max\{u \cdot x, 0\}$. Besides, they [5] also defined $\Gamma_p^- K = \Gamma_p^+(-K)$.

According to the definitions of $\Gamma_p^\pm K$ and (1.5), it is easy to verify that for $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$ and $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$h(\Gamma_p^\tau K, u)^p = f_1(\tau)h(\Gamma_p^+ K, u)^p + f_2(\tau)h(\Gamma_p^- K, u)^p, \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$f_1(\tau) = \frac{(1+\tau)^p}{(1+\tau)^p + (1-\tau)^p}, \quad f_2(\tau) = \frac{(1-\tau)^p}{(1+\tau)^p + (1-\tau)^p}. \quad (1.8)$$

From (1.8), we easily know that

$$f_1(-\tau) = f_2(\tau), \quad f_2(-\tau) = f_1(\tau), \quad (1.9a)$$

$$f_1(\tau) + f_2(\tau) = 1. \quad (1.9b)$$

Let $\tau = 0$ in (1.7), and combine with (1.8), we have for $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$h(\Gamma_p K, u)^p = \frac{1}{2}h(\Gamma_p^+ K, u)^p + \frac{1}{2}h(\Gamma_p^- K, u)^p. \quad (1.10)$$

If $\tau = \pm 1$ in (1.7) and use (1.8), then

$$\Gamma_p^{+1} K = \Gamma_p^+ K, \quad \Gamma_p^{-1} K = \Gamma_p^- K.$$

For the research results of general L_p -centroid bodies, we can find in [5, 16, 23]. In this paper, we research the Busemann-Petty type problem for the general L_p -centroid bodies. Our works belong to part of a new and rapidly evolving asymmetric L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory.

Let $\mathcal{P}_p^{\tau,*}$ denote the set of polars of all general L_p -projection bodies. We first prove an affirmation form of the Busemann-Petty type problem for the general L_p -centroid bodies.

Theorem 1.5. *If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $L \in \mathcal{P}_p^{\tau,*}$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, then $\Gamma_p^\tau K \subseteq \Gamma_p^\tau L$ implies*

$$V(K) \leq V(L),$$

and $V(K) = V(L)$ if and only if $K = L$.

Obviously, if $\tau = 0$, then Theorem 1.5 gives Theorem 1.3. Further, we give a negation form of the Busemann-Petty type problem for the general L_p -centroid bodies.

Theorem 1.6. *If $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n \setminus \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$ and $p \geq 1$, then for any $\tau \in (-1, 1)$, there exists $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ (for $\tau = 0$, $K \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$; for $\tau \neq 0$, $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$) such that $\Gamma_p^\tau K \subset \Gamma_p^\tau L$, but*

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

Let $\tau = 0$ in Theorem 1.6, we easily obtain the following.

Corollary 1.1. *If $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n \setminus \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$ and $p \geq 1$, then there exists $K \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$ such that $\Gamma_p K \subset \Gamma_p L$, but*

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

Corollary 1.1 shows a negation form of the Busemann-Petty type problem for the L_p -centroid bodies. Actually, we extend the scope of negation solutions in Corollary 1.1 from $K \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$ to $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ as follows:

Theorem 1.7. *If $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n \setminus \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$ and $p \geq 1$, then there exists $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ such that $\Gamma_p K \subset \Gamma_p L$, but*

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

Finally, we give another negation form of the Busemann-Petty type problem for the L_p -centroid bodies, it is the L_p -analogues of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.8. *For $p \geq 1$. If $K \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n \setminus \mathcal{P}_p^*$ is infinite smooth and p is not an even integer, then there exists $L \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n \setminus \mathcal{P}_p^*$ is infinite smooth, such that $\Gamma_p K \subset \Gamma_p L$, but*

$$V(K) > V(L).$$

2 Some notions

2.1 Support function, radial function and polar body

If $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$, then its support function, $h_K = h(K, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$, is defined by (see [6])

$$h(K, x) = \max\{x \cdot y : y \in K\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $x \cdot y$ denotes the standard inner product of x and y . From the definition of support function, we easily know that for $c > 0$, $h(cK, \cdot) = ch(K, \cdot)$, and $h(K, \cdot) = h(L, \cdot)$ if and only if $K = L$.

If K is a compact star-shaped (about the origin) in \mathbb{R}^n , its radial function, $\rho_K = \rho(K, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, is defined by (see [6])

$$\rho(K, x) = \max\{\lambda \geq 0 : \lambda x \in K\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

If ρ_K is positive and continuous, K will be called a star body (about the origin). Two star bodies K and L are said to be dilates (of one another) if $\rho_K(u)/\rho_L(u)$ is independent of $u \in S^{n-1}$.

If E is a nonempty set in \mathbb{R}^n , the polar set of E , E^* , is defined by (see [6])

$$E^* = \{x : x \cdot y \leq 1, y \in E\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{2.1}$$

From (2.1), we easily know that (see [6]) for $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$,

$$h(K, \cdot) = \frac{1}{\rho(K^*, \cdot)}. \tag{2.2}$$

2.2 L_p -mixed volumes and L_p -dual mixed volumes

In 1993, Lutwak [12] defined the L_p -mixed volumes as follows: For $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, the L_p -mixed volume, $V_p(K, L)$, of K and L is given by

$$V_p(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L^p(u) dS_p(K, u). \tag{2.3}$$

The measure $S_p(K, \cdot)$ is called the L_p -surface area measure.

Whereafter, Lutwak [13] introduced the L_p -dual mixed volumes: For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $p \geq 1$, the L_p -dual mixed volume, $\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L)$, of K and L is given by

$$\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^{n+p}(u) \rho_L^{-p}(u) du. \quad (2.4)$$

From (2.4), it follows immediately that for each $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $p \geq 1$,

$$\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^n(u) du = V(K). \quad (2.5)$$

The L_p -dual Minkowski inequality can be stated that (see [13]): if $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $p \geq 1$, then

$$\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) \geq V(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} V(L)^{-\frac{p}{n}}, \quad (2.6)$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilates.

2.3 General L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies

For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero), the L_p -harmonic Blaschke combination, $\lambda \circ K \dot{+}_p \mu \circ L$, of K and L is given by (see [3])

$$\frac{\rho(\lambda \circ K \dot{+}_p \mu \circ L, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(\lambda \circ K \dot{+}_p \mu \circ L)} = \lambda \frac{\rho(K, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(K)} + \mu \frac{\rho(L, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(L)}. \quad (2.7a)$$

Let $\lambda = \mu = 1/2$ and $L = -K$ in (2.7a), then the L_p -harmonic Blaschke body, $\tilde{\nabla}_p K$, of $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ is written by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_p K = \frac{1}{2} \circ K \dot{+}_p \frac{1}{2} \circ (-K).$$

Feng and Wang [4] defined the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies as follows: For $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, the general L_p -harmonic Blaschke body,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K = f_1(\tau) \circ K \dot{+}_p f_2(\tau) \circ (-K)$$

of K is defined by

$$\frac{\rho(\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} = f_1(\tau) \frac{\rho(K, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(K)} + f_2(\tau) \frac{\rho(-K, \cdot)^{n+p}}{V(-K)}. \quad (2.7b)$$

Here $f_1(\tau)$ and $f_2(\tau)$ satisfy (1.8). Obviously, if $\tau = 0$, then

$$\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K = \tilde{\nabla}_p K.$$

In addition, if $\tau = \pm 1$ we write

$$\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K = \tilde{\nabla}_p^\pm K,$$

then

$$\tilde{\nabla}_p^+ K = K, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_p^- K = -K.$$

2.4 General L_p -projection bodies and L_p -cosine transformations

In 2005, Ludwig [9] introduced the notion of general L_p -projection body as follows: for $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, the general L_p -projection body, $\Pi_p^\tau K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, of K whose support function is given by

$$h_{\Pi_p^\tau K}^p(u) = \alpha_{n,p}(\tau) \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi_\tau(u \cdot v)^p dS_p(K, v), \tag{2.8}$$

where $S_p(K, \cdot)$ is the L_p -surface area measure of K , $\varphi_\tau(\cdot)$ is given by (1.4),

$$\alpha_{n,p}(\tau) = \frac{2}{(n+p)c_{n,p}\omega_n[(1+\tau)^p + (1-\tau)^p]} \tag{2.9}$$

and $c_{n,p}$ satisfies (1.3). For the general L_p -projection bodies, some works have made in [19, 20, 25, 26].

If $\tau = 0$, then (2.8) and (2.9) yield the following L_p -projection body $\Pi_p K$ of K , i.e.,

$$h_{\Pi_p K}^p(u) = \frac{1}{(n+p)c_{n,p}\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} |u \cdot v|^p dS_p(K, v), \tag{2.10}$$

which is defined by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang (see [14]).

If $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ has L_p -curvature function $f_p(K, v) : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then we have (see [13])

$$dS_p(K, v) = f_p(K, v)dv,$$

where dv is the standard spherical Lebesgue measure on S^{n-1} . From this, if $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ has L_p -curvature function, then (2.10) can be written as

$$h_{\Pi_p K}^p(u) = \frac{1}{(n+p)c_{n,p}\omega_n} \int_{S^{n-1}} |u \cdot v|^p f_p(K, v)dv. \tag{2.11}$$

Let $C(S^{n-1})$ denote the set of all continuous functions on S^{n-1} . For $p \geq 1$ and function $\varphi \in C(S^{n-1})$, the L_p -cosine transformation, $C_p\varphi$, of φ is defined by (see [6])

$$C_p\varphi(u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |u \cdot v|^p \varphi(v)dv, \quad u \in S^{n-1}. \tag{2.12}$$

For the L_p -cosine transformation, also see [6, 14].

From (2.11) and (2.12), we easily see that for $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ has L_p -curvature function and all $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$h_{\Pi_p K}^p(u) = \frac{1}{(n+p)c_{n,p}\omega_n} C_p f_p(K, u). \tag{2.13}$$

In addition, according to (2.12) and (1.2), we have that for all $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$h_{\Gamma_p K}^p(u) = \frac{1}{(n+p)c_{n,p}V(K)} C_p \rho_K^{n+p}(u). \tag{2.14}$$

If $F, G \in C(S^{n-1})$, write

$$(F, G) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} F(u)G(u)du, \quad (2.15)$$

then by (2.12), we have

$$(C_p f, g) = (f, C_p g) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} |u \cdot v|^p f(v)g(u)dudv. \quad (2.16)$$

For the L_p -cosine transformation C_p , we know the following fact (see [6]).

Theorem 2.1. *If $p \geq 1$, then $C_p : C_e(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow C_e(S^{n-1})$ is injective if and only if p is not an even integer. Here $C_e(S^{n-1})$ denotes the set of all even continuous functions on S^{n-1} .*

3 Busemann-Petty type problem for the general L_p -centroid bodies

In the section, we will research Busemann-Petty type problem for the general L_p -centroid bodies. Associated with the general L_p -projection bodies and general L_p -centroid bodies, Feng, Wang and Lu [5] gave that

Lemma 3.1. *If $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, $L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, then*

$$\frac{V_p(K, \Gamma_p^\tau L)}{\omega_n} = \frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(L, \Pi_p^{\tau,*} K)}{V(L)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here $\Pi_p^{\tau,*} K$ denotes the polar of general L_p -projection body $\Pi_p^\tau K$.

According to Lemma 3.1, we give an extension of Theorem 1.5 as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *For $K, L \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, if $\Gamma_p^\tau K \subseteq \Gamma_p^\tau L$, then for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}_p^{\tau,*}$,*

$$\frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q)}{V(K)} \leq \frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(L, Q)}{V(L)}, \quad (3.2)$$

with equality in (3.2) if and only if $K = L$.

Proof. Since $Q \in \mathcal{P}_p^{\tau,*}$, thus there exists $R \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ such that $Q = \Pi_p^{\tau,*} R$, by (2.3) and (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(L, Q)/V(L)}{\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q)/V(K)} &= \frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(L, \Pi_p^{\tau,*} R)/V(L)}{\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, \Pi_p^{\tau,*} R)/V(K)} = \frac{V_p(R, \Gamma_p^\tau L)}{V_p(R, \Gamma_p^\tau K)} \\ &= \frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} h(\Gamma_p^\tau L, u)^p dS_p(R, u)}{\int_{S^{n-1}} h(\Gamma_p^\tau K, u)^p dS_p(R, u)}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, if $\Gamma_p^\tau K \subseteq \Gamma_p^\tau L$, then (3.2) is obtained.

Obviously, by L_p -dual Minkowski inequality (2.6), we know that equality holds in (3.2) if and only if $K = L$. \square

Note that the case $\tau = 0$ of Theorem 3.1 was given by Grinberg and Zhang [7].

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Since $L \in \mathcal{P}_p^{\tau,*}$, thus taking $Q = L$ in Theorem 3.1, and combining with (2.5) and inequality (2.6), we get

$$V(K) \geq \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, L) \geq V(K)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} V(L)^{-\frac{p}{n}},$$

i.e., $V(K) \leq V(L)$.

According to the equality condition of (3.1), we see that $V(K) = V(L)$ if and only if $K = L$. □

The proof of Theorem 1.6 requires the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *If $K \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, then*

$$V(\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K) \geq V(K). \tag{3.3}$$

For $\tau \in (-1, 1)$, equality holds if and only if K is origin-symmetric. For $\tau = \pm 1$, (3.3) becomes an equality.

Proof. From (2.7b) and (2.4), we have that for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$,

$$\frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K, Q)}{V(\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} = f_1(\tau) \frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(K, Q)}{V(K)} + f_2(\tau) \frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(-K, Q)}{V(-K)}.$$

This together with inequality (2.6) and equality (1.9b) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{V}_{-p}(\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K, Q)}{V(\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} &\geq f_1(\tau) V(K)^{\frac{p}{n}} V(Q)^{-\frac{p}{n}} + f_2(\tau) V(K)^{\frac{p}{n}} V(Q)^{-\frac{p}{n}} \\ &= V(K)^{\frac{p}{n}} V(Q)^{-\frac{p}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $Q = \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K$ in above inequality and use (2.5), we obtain

$$V(\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K) \geq V(K).$$

For $\tau \in (-1, 1)$, according to the equality condition of inequality (2.6), we see that equality holds in (3.3) if and only if K and $\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K$, $-K$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K$ both are dilates, i.e., K and $-K$ are dilates. This means that K is origin-symmetric. For $\tau = \pm 1$, by $\tilde{\nabla}_p^+ K = K$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_p^- K = -K$, we know that (3.3) becomes an equality. □

Lemma 3.3. *If $K \in \mathcal{S}_0^n$, $p \geq 1$ and $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, then*

$$\Gamma_p^+ \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K = \Gamma_p^\tau K, \tag{3.4a}$$

$$\Gamma_p^- \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K = \Gamma_p^{-\tau} K. \tag{3.4b}$$

Proof. By (1.6), (1.9b) and (2.7b), we have that for all $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\Gamma_p^+ \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K}^p(u) &= \frac{2}{c_{n,p}(n+p)V(\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} (u \cdot v)_+^p \rho_{\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K}(v)^{n+p} dv \\ &= \frac{2}{c_{n,p}(n+p)} \int_{S^{n-1}} (u \cdot v)_+^p \left[f_1(\tau) \frac{\rho_K(v)^{n+p}}{V(K)} + f_2(\tau) \frac{\rho_{-K}(v)^{n+p}}{V(-K)} \right] dv \\ &= f_1(\tau) h_{\Gamma_p^+ K}^p(u) + f_2(\tau) h_{\Gamma_p^+(-K)}^p(u) \\ &= f_1(\tau) h_{\Gamma_p^+ K}^p(u) + f_2(\tau) h_{\Gamma_p^- K}^p(u) = h_{\Gamma_p^\tau K}^p(u). \end{aligned}$$

This immediately gives (3.4a). Similarly, we know that for all $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$h_{\Gamma_p^- \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K}^p(u) = h_{\Gamma_p^- K}^p(u).$$

This yields (3.4b). □

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Since L is not origin-symmetric and $\tau \in (-1, 1)$, thus by Lemma 3.2, we know $V(\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L) > V(L)$. From this, choose $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that $K = (1 - \varepsilon) \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L$ (for $\tau = 0$, $K \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$; for $\tau \neq 0$, $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$) satisfies

$$V(K) = V((1 - \varepsilon) \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L) > V(L).$$

But by (3.4a), (3.4b) and notice that $\Gamma_p^\pm cK = c\Gamma_p^\pm K$ ($c > 0$), we orderly have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_p^+ K &= \Gamma_p^+ (1 - \varepsilon) \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L = (1 - \varepsilon) \Gamma_p^+ \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L = (1 - \varepsilon) \Gamma_p^\tau L \subset \Gamma_p^\tau L, \\ \Gamma_p^- K &= \Gamma_p^- (1 - \varepsilon) \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L = (1 - \varepsilon) \Gamma_p^- \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L = (1 - \varepsilon) \Gamma_p^{-\tau} L \subset \Gamma_p^{-\tau} L. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\tau \in (-1, 1)$ is equivalent to $-\tau \in (-1, 1)$, this means that $\Gamma_p^+ K \subset \Gamma_p^\tau L$ and $\Gamma_p^- K \subset \Gamma_p^{-\tau} L$ imply $\Gamma_p^+ K \subset \Gamma_p^\tau L$ and $\Gamma_p^- K \subset \Gamma_p^\tau L$ for any $\tau \in (-1, 1)$, respectively. Hence, together with (1.7) and (1.9b), we easily obtain that for all $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\Gamma_p^\tau K, u)^p &= f_1(\tau) h(\Gamma_p^+ K, u)^p + f_2(\tau) h(\Gamma_p^- K, u)^p \\ &< f_1(\tau) h(\Gamma_p^\tau L, u)^p + f_2(\tau) h(\Gamma_p^\tau L, u)^p \\ &= h(\Gamma_p^\tau L, u)^p, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\Gamma_p^\tau K \subset \Gamma_p^\tau L.$$

This completes the proof. □

In order to prove Theorem 1.7, we require the following a lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *If $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$, $p \geq 1$, $\tau \in [-1, 1]$, then*

$$\Gamma_p \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K = \Gamma_p K. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. From (1.7), (1.9a), (1.9b) and (1.10), we obtain that for $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and all $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}h_{\Gamma_p^\tau K}^p(u) + \frac{1}{2}h_{\Gamma_p^{-\tau}K}^p(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[f_1(\tau)h_{\Gamma_p^+K}^p(u) + f_2(\tau)h_{\Gamma_p^-K}^p(u) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[f_1(-\tau)h_{\Gamma_p^+K}^p(u) + f_2(-\tau)h_{\Gamma_p^-K}^p(u) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[f_1(\tau)h_{\Gamma_p^+K}^p(u) + f_2(\tau)h_{\Gamma_p^-K}^p(u) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[f_2(\tau)h_{\Gamma_p^+K}^p(u) + f_1(\tau)h_{\Gamma_p^-K}^p(u) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}h_{\Gamma_p^+K}^p(u) + \frac{1}{2}h_{\Gamma_p^-K}^p(u) = h_{\Gamma_p K}^p(u). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, by (1.10), (3.4a), (3.4b) and (3.6), we have that for all $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\Gamma_p \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K}^p(u) &= \frac{1}{2}h_{\Gamma_p^+ \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K}^p(u) + \frac{1}{2}h_{\Gamma_p^- \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau K}^p(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}h_{\Gamma_p^\tau K}^p(u) + \frac{1}{2}h_{\Gamma_p^{-\tau}K}^p(u) = h_{\Gamma_p K}^p(u). \end{aligned}$$

So (3.5) is obtained. □

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Since L is not origin-symmetric and $\tau \in (-1, 1)$, thus by Lemma 3.2, we know $V(\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L) > V(L)$. From this, choose $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that

$$V((1 - \varepsilon)\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L) > V(L).$$

Let $K = (1 - \varepsilon)\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L$, then $K \in \mathcal{S}_o^n$ and $V(K) > V(L)$. But by (3.5) and notice that $\Gamma_p cK = c\Gamma_p K$ ($c > 0$), we have

$$\Gamma_p K = \Gamma_p(1 - \varepsilon)\tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L = (1 - \varepsilon)\Gamma_p \tilde{\nabla}_p^\tau L = (1 - \varepsilon)\Gamma_p L \subset \Gamma_p L.$$

This completes the proof. □

Finally, we give the proof of Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let $C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$ denote the set of all even and infinite smooth functions on S^{n-1} . Because of $K \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n \setminus \mathcal{P}_p^*$ is infinite smooth, thus $\rho_K \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$. By Theorem 2.1, we know that there exists $\varphi \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$ when $p \geq 1$ and p is not even integer, such that $\rho_K^{-p} = C_p \varphi$. Since L is not the polar of L_p -projection body, hence function $\varphi < 0$. Otherwise, if $\varphi \geq 0$ and notice $\varphi \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$, it follows from Minkowski's existence theorem that there exists a body $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{os}^n$ has L_p -curvature function such that

$$\varphi = [c_{n,p}(n + p)\omega_n]^{-1}f_p(Q, u) \quad \text{for } u \in S^{n-1}.$$

From this, we know that

$$C_p \varphi = [c_{n,p}(n + p)\omega_n]^{-1}C_p f_p(Q, u),$$

this together with (2.13) yields

$$\rho_K^{-p} = h_{\Gamma_p Q}^p,$$

this and (2.2) give $K = \Pi_p^* Q$. But $K \notin \mathcal{P}_p^*$, this leads to contradiction.

Therefore, choose $F \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$ and is not identically zero, such that $F \leq 0$ when $\varphi < 0$; $F = 0$ when $\varphi \geq 0$. From this, we have

$$(F, \varphi) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} F(v)\varphi(v)dv > 0. \tag{3.7}$$

And according to $F \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$ and notice p is not an even integer, then by Theorem 2.1, we know that there exists $g \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1})$, such that $F = C_p g$. Because of $\rho_K > 0$ ($K \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$), thus there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$[(n+p)c_{n,p}V(K)]^{-1}\rho_K^{n+p} - \varepsilon g > 0.$$

Notice that

$$[(n+p)c_{n,p}V(K)]^{-1}\rho_K^{n+p} - \varepsilon g \in C_e^\infty(S^{n-1}),$$

then there exist $\mu > 0$ and $L \in \mathcal{S}_{os}^n$ is infinite smooth, such that

$$\mu\rho_L^{n+p} = [(n+p)c_{n,p}V(K)]^{-1}\rho_K^{n+p} - \varepsilon g.$$

This yields

$$\mu(n+p)c_{n,p}V(L) \frac{C_p\rho_L^{n+p}}{(n+p)c_{n,p}V(L)} = \frac{C_p\rho_K^{n+p}}{(n+p)c_{n,p}V(K)} - \varepsilon C_p g.$$

Thus, let $\mu(n+p)c_{n,p}V(L) = 1$ and together with (2.14), we obtain

$$h_{\Gamma_p L}^p = h_{\Gamma_p K}^p - \varepsilon F.$$

Since $F \leq 0$ and $p \geq 1$, it follows that $\Gamma_p K \subset \Gamma_p L$. But by (2.4), (2.5), (2.15), (2.16) and (3.4a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(K) - \tilde{V}_{-p}(L, K) &= \tilde{V}_{-p}(K, K) - \tilde{V}_{-p}(L, K) \\ &= (\rho_K^{n+p}, \rho_K^{-p}) - (\rho_L^{n+p}, \rho_K^{-p}) = (\rho_K^{n+p} - \rho_L^{n+p}, \rho_K^{-p}) \\ &= (\rho_K^{n+p} - \rho_L^{n+p}, C_p\varphi) = (C_p\rho_K^{n+p} - C_p\rho_L^{n+p}, \varphi) \\ &= (h_{\Gamma_p K}^p - h_{\Gamma_p L}^p, \varphi) = (\varepsilon F, \varphi) = \varepsilon(F, \varphi) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This and inequality (2.6) yield

$$V(K) > \tilde{V}_{-p}(L, K) \geq V(L)^{\frac{n+p}{n}} V(K)^{-\frac{p}{n}},$$

i.e., $V(K) > V(L)$. Clearly, by Theorem 1.3, we see $L \notin \mathcal{P}_p^*$. □

Acknowledgements

This research is supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11371224).

References

- [1] S. Campi and P. Grochi, The L^p -Busemann-Petty centroid inequality, *Adv. Math.*, 167 (2002), 128–141.
- [2] S. Campi and P. Grochi, On the reverse L^p -Busemann-Petty centroid inequality, *Mathematika*, 49 (2002), 1–11.
- [3] Y. B. Feng and W. D. Wang, Shephard type problems for L_p -centroid bodies, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 17 (2014), 865–877.
- [4] Y. B. Feng and W. D. Wang, General L_p -harmonic Blaschke bodies, *P. Indian A. S.-Math. Sci.*, 124 (2014), 109–119.
- [5] Y. B. Feng, W. D. Wang and F. H. Lu, Some inequalities on general L_p -centroid bodies, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 18 (2015), 39–49.
- [6] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric Tomography*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 2nd edition, 2006.
- [7] E. Grinberg and G. Y. Zhang, Convolutions, transforms and convex bodies, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 78 (1999), 77–115.
- [8] C. Haberl and F. Schuster, General L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities, *J. Differential Geom.*, 83 (2009), 1–26.
- [9] M. Ludwig, Minkowski valuations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 357 (2005), 4191–4213.
- [10] E. Lutwak, On some affine isoperimetric inequalities, *J. Differential Geom.*, 56 (1986), 1–13.
- [11] E. Lutwak, Centroid bodies and dual mixed volumes, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 60 (1990), 365–391.
- [12] E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I: mixed volumes and the minkowski problem, *J. Differential Geom.*, 38 (1993), 131–150.
- [13] E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory II: affine and geominimal surface areas, *Adv. Math.*, 118 (1996), 244–294.
- [14] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Y. Zhang, L_p -affine isoperimetric inequalities, *J. Differential Geom.*, 56 (2000), 111–132.
- [15] E. Lutwak and G. Y. Zhang, Blaschke-Santaló inequalities, *J. Differential Geom.*, 47 (1997), 1–16.
- [16] Y. N. Pei and W. D. Wang, Shephard type problems for general L_p -centroid bodies, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2015 (2015).
- [17] C. M. Petty, Centroid surface, *Pacific J. Math.*, 11 (1961), 1535–1547.
- [18] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [19] W. D. Wang and Y. B. Feng, A general L_p -version of Petty's affine projection inequality, *Taiwan. J. Math.*, 17 (2013), 517–528.
- [20] W. D. Wang and G. S. Leng, The Petty projection inequality for L_p -mixed projection bodies, *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series*, 23 (2007), 1485–1494.
- [21] W. D. Wang and G. S. Leng, On the monotonicity of L_p -centroid body, *J. Sys. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 28 (2008), 154–162.

- [22] W. D. Wang and G. S. Leng, Some affine isoperimetric inequalities associated with L_p -affine surface area, *Houston J. Math.*, 34 (2008), 443–453.
- [23] W. D. Wang and T. Li, Volume extremals of general L_p -centroid bodies, *J. Math. Inequal.*, 11 (2017), 193–207.
- [24] W. D. Wang, F. H. Lu and G. S. Leng, A type of monotonicity on the L_p centroid body and L_p projection body, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 8 (2005), 735–742.
- [25] W. D. Wang and X. Y. Wan, Shephard problems for general L_p -projection bodies, *Taiwan. J. Math.*, 16 (2012), 1749–1762.
- [26] W. D. Wang and J. Y. Wang, Extremum of geometric functionals involving general L_p -projection bodies, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2016 (2016), 1–16.
- [27] G. Y. Zhang, Centered bodies and dual mixed volumes, *Trans. Amer. Math.*, 345 (1994), 777–801.