

## On Proximal Relations in Transformation Semigroups Arising from Generalized Shifts

Fatemah Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi<sup>1,\*</sup>, Amir Fallahpour<sup>2</sup>,  
Mohammad Reza Mardanbeigi<sup>3</sup> and Zahra Nili Ahmadabadi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Mathematics Statistics and Computer Science, College of Science,  
University of Tehran, Enghelab Ave., Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, College of Science, Amirkabir  
University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch Tehran, Iran

Received 16 October 2017; Accepted (in revised version) 24 September 2019

---

**Abstract.** For a finite discrete topological space  $X$  with at least two elements, a nonempty set  $\Gamma$ , and a map  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \rightarrow X^\Gamma$  with  $\sigma_\varphi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = (x_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  (for  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in X^\Gamma$ ) is a generalized shift. In this text for  $\mathcal{S} = \{\sigma_\psi : \psi \in \Gamma^\Gamma\}$  and  $\mathcal{H} = \{\sigma_\psi : \Gamma \xrightarrow{\psi} \Gamma \text{ is bijective}\}$  we study proximal relations of transformation semigroups  $(\mathcal{S}, X^\Gamma)$  and  $(\mathcal{H}, X^\Gamma)$ . Regarding proximal relation we prove:

$$P(\mathcal{S}, X^\Gamma) = \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in X^\Gamma \times X^\Gamma : \exists \beta \in \Gamma (x_\beta = y_\beta)\}$$

and  $P(\mathcal{H}, X^\Gamma) \subseteq \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in X^\Gamma \times X^\Gamma : \{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\} \text{ is infinite}\} \cup \{(x, x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ .

Moreover, for infinite  $\Gamma$ , both transformation semigroups  $(\mathcal{S}, X^\Gamma)$  and  $(\mathcal{H}, X^\Gamma)$  are regionally proximal, i.e.,  $Q(\mathcal{S}, X^\Gamma) = Q(\mathcal{H}, X^\Gamma) = X^\Gamma \times X^\Gamma$ , also for syndetically proximal relation we have  $L(\mathcal{H}, X^\Gamma) = \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in X^\Gamma \times X^\Gamma : \{\gamma \in \Gamma : x_\gamma \neq y_\gamma\} \text{ is finite}\}$ .

**Key Words:** Generalized shift, proximal relation, transformation semigroup.

**AMS Subject Classifications:** 54H15, 37B09

---

### 1 Preliminaries

By a (left topological) transformation semigroup  $(S, Z, \pi)$  or simply  $(S, Z)$  we mean a compact Hausdorff topological space  $Z$  (phase space), discrete topological semigroup  $S$

---

\*Corresponding author. Email addresses: fatemah@khayam.ut.ac.ir, f.a.z.shirazi@ut.ac.ir  
(F. Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi), amir.falah90@yahoo.com (A. Fallahpour), mrmardanbeigi@srbiau.ac.ir  
(M. Mardanbeigi), zahra.nili.a@gmail.com (Z. Nili Ahmadabadi)

(phase semigroup) with identity  $e$  and continuous map  $\pi : S \times Z \rightarrow Z$  ( $\pi(s, z) = sz, s \in S, z \in Z$ ) such that for all  $z \in Z$  and  $s, t \in S$  we have  $ez = z, (st)z = s(tz)$ . If  $S$  is a discrete topological group too, then we call the transformation semigroup  $(S, Z)$ , a *transformation group*. We say  $(x, y) \in Z \times Z$  is a *proximal pair* of  $(S, Z)$  if there exists a net  $\{s_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $S$  with

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} s_\lambda x = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} s_\lambda y.$$

We denote the collection of all proximal pairs of  $(S, Z)$  by  $P(S, Z)$  and call it *proximal relation* on  $(S, Z)$ , for more details on proximal relations we refer the interested reader to [4, 8].

In the transformation semigroup  $(S, Z)$  we call  $(x, y) \in Z \times Z$  a *regionally proximal pair* if there exists a net  $\{(s_\lambda, x_\lambda, y_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $S \times Z \times Z$  such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_\lambda = x, \quad \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} y_\lambda = y \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} s_\lambda x_\lambda = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} s_\lambda y_\lambda.$$

We denote the collection of all regionally proximal pairs of  $(S, Z)$  by  $Q(S, Z)$  and call it *regionally proximal relation* on  $(S, Z)$ . Obviously we have  $P(S, Z) \subseteq Q(S, Z)$ . In the transformation group  $(T, Z)$ , by [9] we call  $L(T, Z) = \{(x, y) \in Z \times Z : \overline{T(x, y)} \subseteq P(T, Z)\}$  the *syndetically proximal relation* of  $(T, Z)$  (for details on the interaction of  $L(T, Z), Q(T, Z)$  and  $P(T, Z)$  with uniform structure of  $Z$  see [5, 6, 9]).

### 1.1 A collection of generalized shifts as phase semigroup

For nonempty sets  $X, \Gamma$  and self-map  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  define the generalized shift  $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \rightarrow X^\Gamma$  by  $\sigma_\varphi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = (x_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  ( $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in X^\Gamma$ ). Generalized shifts have been introduced for the first time in [2], in addition dynamical and non-dynamical properties of generalized shifts have been studied in several texts like [3] and [7]. It's well-known that if  $X$  has a topological structure, then  $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \rightarrow X^\Gamma$  is continuous (when  $X^\Gamma$  equipped with product topology), in addition If  $X$  has at least two elements, then  $\sigma_\varphi : X^\Gamma \rightarrow X^\Gamma$  is a homeomorphism if and only if  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is bijective.

**Convention.** In this text suppose  $X$  is a finite discrete topological space with at least two elements,  $\Gamma$  is a nonempty set,  $\mathcal{X} := X^\Gamma$ , and:

- $\mathcal{S} := \{\sigma_\varphi : \varphi \in \Gamma^\Gamma\}$ , is the semigroup of generalized shifts on  $X^\Gamma$ ,
- $\mathcal{H} := \{\sigma_\varphi : \varphi \in \Gamma^\Gamma \text{ and } \varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \text{ is bijective}\}$ , is the group of generalized shift homeomorphisms on  $X^\Gamma$ .

Equip  $X^\Gamma$  with product (pointwise convergence) topology. Now we may consider  $\mathcal{S}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}$ ) as a subsemigroup (resp. subgroup) of continuous maps (resp. homeomorphisms) from  $\mathcal{X}$  to itself, so  $\mathcal{S}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}$ ) acts on  $\mathcal{X}$  in a natural way.

Our aim in this text is to study  $P(T, \mathcal{X}), Q(T, \mathcal{X}),$  and  $L(T, \mathcal{X})$  for  $T = \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{S}$ . Readers interested in this subject may refer to [1] too.

## 2 Proximal and regionally proximal relations of $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{X})$

In this section we prove that

$$P(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{X}) = \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \exists \beta \in \Gamma (x_\beta = y_\beta)\},$$

$$Q(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, & \Gamma \text{ is infinite,} \\ P(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{X}), & \Gamma \text{ is finite.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.1.**  $P(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{X}) = \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \exists \beta \in \Gamma (x_\beta = y_\beta)\}.$

*Proof.* First consider  $\beta \in \Gamma$  and  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in \mathcal{X}$  by  $x_\beta = y_\beta$ . Define  $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  with  $\psi(\alpha) = \beta$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then

$$\sigma_\psi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = (x_\beta)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = (y_\beta)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = \sigma_\psi((y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}),$$

$$((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in P(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{X}).$$

Conversely, suppose  $((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in P(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{X})$ . There exists a net  $\{\sigma_{\varphi_\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  with

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sigma_{\varphi_\lambda}((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sigma_{\varphi_\lambda}((y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) =: (z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}.$$

Choose arbitrary  $\theta \in \Gamma$ , then

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_{\varphi_\lambda(\theta)} = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} y_{\varphi_\lambda(\theta)} = z_\theta$$

in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is discrete, there exists  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $x_{\varphi_\lambda(\theta)} = y_{\varphi_\lambda(\theta)} = z_\theta$  for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , in particular for  $\beta = \varphi_{\lambda_0}(\theta)$  we have  $x_\beta = y_\beta$ . □

**Lemma 2.1.** For infinite  $\Gamma$  we have:  $Q(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{X}) = Q(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Gamma$  is infinite, then there exists a bijection  $\mu : \Gamma \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma$ , in particular  $\{\mu(\{\alpha\} \times \mathbb{Z}) : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is a partition of  $\Gamma$  to its infinite countable subsets. Define bijection  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  by  $\varphi(\mu(\alpha, n)) = \mu(\alpha, n + 1)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider  $p \in X$  and  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in \mathcal{X}$ . For all  $n \geq 1$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  let:

$$x_\alpha^n := \begin{cases} x_\alpha, & \alpha = \mu(\beta, k) \text{ for some } \beta \in \Gamma \text{ and } k \leq n, \\ p, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$y_\alpha^n := \begin{cases} y_\alpha, & \alpha = \mu(\beta, k) \text{ for some } \beta \in \Gamma \text{ and } k \leq n, \\ p, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (x_\alpha^n)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma},$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (y_\alpha^n)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma},$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_{\varphi^{2n}}((x_\alpha^n)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = (p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_{\varphi^{2n}}((y_\alpha^n)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}).$$

By  $\sigma_{\varphi^{2n}} \in \mathcal{H}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and using the above statements, we have  $((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in Q(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) \subseteq Q(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{X})$ . □

**Lemma 2.2.** For finite  $\Gamma$  and any subsemigroup  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  we have  $Q(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}) = P(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$ .

*Proof.* We must only prove  $Q(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}) \subseteq P(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$ . Suppose  $(x, y) \in Q(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$ , then there exists a net  $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda, t_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{T}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_\lambda &= x, & \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} y_\lambda &= y, \\ \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} t_\lambda x_\lambda &= \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} t_\lambda y_\lambda =: z. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{T}$  is finite,  $\{(x_\lambda, y_\lambda, t_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  has a constant subnet like  $\{(x_{\lambda_\mu}, y_{\lambda_\mu}, t_{\lambda_\mu})\}_{\mu \in M}$ , so there exists  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  such that for all  $\mu \in M$  we have  $x = x_{\lambda_\mu}$ ,  $y = y_{\lambda_\mu}$  and  $t = t_{\lambda_\mu}$ , therefore  $tx = ty (= z)$  and  $(x, y) \in P(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** We have:

$$Q(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, & \Gamma \text{ is infinite,} \\ P(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{X}), & \Gamma \text{ is finite.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Use Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.  $\square$

### 3 Proximal and regionally proximal relations of $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$

Note that for finite  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a finite subset of homeomorphisms on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ , also using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we have:

$$Q(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, & \Gamma \text{ is infinite,} \\ P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}, & \Gamma \text{ is finite.} \end{cases}$$

In this section we show that:

$$\{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) : \max(\text{card}(\{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta \neq y_\beta\}), \aleph_0) \leq \text{card}(\{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\})\}$$

is a subset of  $P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ , which is a subset of

$$\{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\} \text{ is infinite}\} \cup \{(x, x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$$

in its turn. In particular, for countable  $\Gamma$  we prove

$$P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\} \text{ is infinite}\} \cup \{(x, x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** For infinite  $\Gamma$ , we have:

$$P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) \subseteq \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\} \text{ is infinite}\}.$$

*Proof.* Consider  $((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ , then there exists a net  $\{\sigma_{\varphi_\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  with

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sigma_{\varphi_\lambda}((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sigma_{\varphi_\lambda}((y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) =: (z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}.$$

Choose distinct  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in \Gamma$ . For all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} x_{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_i)} = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} y_{\varphi_\lambda(\theta_i)} = z_{\theta_i} \quad \text{in } X,$$

so there exists  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$  with  $x_{\varphi_{\lambda_i}(\theta_i)} = y_{\varphi_{\lambda_i}(\theta_i)} = z_{\theta_i}$  for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_i$ . There exists  $\mu \in \Lambda$  with  $\mu \geq \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , thus  $x_{\varphi_\mu(\theta_i)} = y_{\varphi_\mu(\theta_i)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $\varphi_\mu : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is bijective and  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$  are pairwise distinct,  $\{\varphi_\mu(\theta_1), \dots, \varphi_\mu(\theta_n)\}$  has exactly  $n$  elements and  $\{\varphi_\mu(\theta_1), \dots, \varphi_\mu(\theta_n)\} \subseteq \{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\}$ . Hence  $\{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\}$  has at least  $n$  elements (for all  $n \geq 1$ ) and it is infinite.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1.** *We have:*

$$P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) \subseteq \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\} \text{ is infinite}\} \cup \{(x, x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

*Proof.* Use Lemma 3.1 and the fact that for finite  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a finite subset of homeomorphisms on  $\mathcal{X}$ . So for finite  $\Gamma$  we have  $P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \{(w, w) : w \in \mathcal{X}\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *For infinite countable  $\Gamma$ , we have*

$$P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\} \text{ is infinite}\}.$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 3.1 we must only prove:

$$P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) \supseteq \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\} \text{ is infinite}\}.$$

Consider  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in \mathcal{X}$  with infinite set  $\{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots\}$  and distinct  $\beta_i$ s. Also suppose  $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$  with distinct  $\alpha_i$ s. For all  $n \geq 1$  there exists bijection  $\varphi_n : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  with  $\varphi_n(\alpha_i) = \beta_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , there exists  $i \geq 1$  with  $\alpha = \alpha_i$ . Since for all  $n \geq i$  we have

$$x_{\varphi_n(\alpha)} = x_{\varphi_n(\alpha_i)} = x_{\beta_i} = y_{\beta_i} = y_{\varphi_n(\alpha_i)} = y_{\varphi_n(\alpha)},$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{\varphi_n(\alpha)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{\varphi_n(\alpha)}.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\varphi_n}((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_{\varphi_n(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_{\varphi_n(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\varphi_n}((y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}),$$

$$((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}).$$

Thus, we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** For countable  $\Gamma$ ,

$$P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\} \text{ is infinite}\} \cup \{(x, x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

*Proof.* First note that for finite  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite and  $P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ . Now use Lemma 3.2. □

**Lemma 3.3.** For infinite  $\Gamma$ , we have:

$$\{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) : \text{card}(\{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta \neq y_\beta\}) \leq \text{card}(\{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\})\} \subseteq P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}).$$

In particular,

$$\{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) : \{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta \neq y_\beta\} \text{ is finite}\} \subseteq P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Gamma$  is infinite. For  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in \mathcal{X}$ , let:

$$A := \{\alpha \in \Gamma : x_\alpha = y_\alpha\}, \quad B := \{\alpha \in \Gamma : x_\alpha \neq y_\alpha\}$$

with  $\text{card}(B) \leq \text{card}(A)$ . There exists a one to one map  $\lambda : B \rightarrow A$ . By  $\text{card}(\Gamma) = \text{card}(A) + \text{card}(B)$  and  $\text{card}(B) \leq \text{card}(A)$ ,  $A$  is infinite. Since  $A$  is infinite, we have  $\text{card}(A) = \text{card}(A)\aleph_0$  so there exists a bijection  $\varphi : A \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ . For all  $\theta \in A$  let  $K_\theta = \varphi(\{\theta\} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup \lambda^{-1}(\theta)$ . Thus  $K_\theta$ s are disjoint infinite countable subsets of  $\Gamma$ , as a matter of fact  $\{K_\theta : \theta \in A\}$  is a partition of  $\Gamma$  to some of its infinite countable subsets. For all  $\theta \in A$ ,  $\{\alpha \in K_\theta : x_\alpha = y_\alpha\} = \varphi(\{\theta\} \times \mathbb{N})$  is infinite and  $K_\theta$  is infinite countable. By Lemma 3.2 there exists a sequence  $\{\psi_n^\theta\}$  of permutations on  $K_\theta$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\psi_n^\theta}(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in K_\theta} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\psi_n^\theta}(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in K_\theta}.$$

For all  $n \geq 1$  let

$$\psi_n = \bigcup_{\theta \in A} \psi_n^\theta,$$

then  $\psi_n : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is bijective and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\psi_n}(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{\psi_n}(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma},$$

which completes the proof. □

**Theorem 3.3.** The collection  $\{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) : \max(\text{card}(\{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta \neq y_\beta\}), \aleph_0) \leq \text{card}(\{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\})\}$  is a subset of  $P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ .

*Proof.* If  $\Gamma$  is finite, then

$$\{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) : \max(\text{card}(\{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta \neq y_\beta\}), \aleph_0) \leq \text{card}(\{\beta \in \Gamma : x_\beta = y_\beta\})\} = \emptyset.$$

Use Lemma 3.3 to complete the proof. □

## 4 Syndetically proximal relations of $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$

In this section we prove:

$$L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \{\gamma \in \Gamma : x_\gamma \neq y_\gamma\} \text{ is finite}\}, & \Gamma \text{ is infinite,} \\ \{(x, x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}, & \Gamma \text{ is finite.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 4.1.** For  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (u_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in \mathcal{X}$ , and  $p, q \in X$  let:

$$z_\alpha := \begin{cases} q, & x_\alpha \neq y_\alpha, \\ u_\alpha, & x_\alpha = y_\alpha, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad w_\alpha := \begin{cases} p, & x_\alpha \neq y_\alpha, \\ u_\alpha, & x_\alpha = y_\alpha. \end{cases}$$

We have:

1. if  $((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ , then  $((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ ,
2. if  $((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ , then  $((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ .

*Proof.* 1) Suppose  $((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ , then there exists a net  $\{\sigma_{\varphi_\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sigma_{\varphi_\lambda}((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sigma_{\varphi_\lambda}((y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}).$$

Thus

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ((x_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ((y_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}),$$

i.e., for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  there exists  $\kappa_\alpha \in \Lambda$  such that:

$$\forall \lambda \geq \kappa_\alpha \quad (x_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} = y_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)}).$$

Hence, for all  $\lambda \geq \kappa_\alpha$  we have  $z_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} = u_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} = w_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)}$ . On the other hand the net  $\{(u_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  has a convergent subnet like  $\{(u_{\varphi_{\lambda_\theta}(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}\}_{\theta \in T}$  to a point of  $\mathcal{X}$ , say  $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ , since  $\mathcal{X}$  is compact. For all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  there exists  $\theta_\alpha \in T$  such that  $\lambda_{\theta_\alpha} \geq \kappa_\alpha$ , and moreover

$$\forall \theta \geq \theta_\alpha \quad (u_{\varphi_{\lambda_\theta}(\alpha)} = v_\alpha).$$

Note that for all  $\theta \geq \theta_\alpha$  we have  $\lambda_\theta \geq \kappa_\alpha$ , leads us to:

$$\forall \theta \geq \theta_\alpha \quad (z_{\varphi_{\lambda_\theta}(\alpha)} = v_\alpha = w_{\varphi_{\lambda_\theta}(\alpha)}).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\theta \in T} \sigma_{\varphi_{\lambda_\theta}}((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \lim_{\theta \in T} \sigma_{\varphi_{\lambda_\theta}}((w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \quad \text{and} \quad ((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}).$$

2) Now suppose  $((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$  and  $((s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})$  is an element of  $\overline{\mathcal{H}((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})}$ . There exists a net  $\{\sigma_{\varphi_\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , with

$$((s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sigma_{\varphi_\lambda}((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ((z_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (w_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}).$$

On the other hand the net  $\{((x_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  has a convergent subnet in compact space  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ , without loss of generality we may suppose  $\{((x_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  itself converges to a point of  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  like  $((m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (n_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})$ . Hence

$$((m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (n_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})} \subseteq P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}).$$

Now for  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  there exists  $\kappa \in \Lambda$  such that:

$$\forall \lambda \geq \kappa ((m_\alpha, n_\alpha) = (x_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)}, y_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})).$$

Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned} m_\alpha \neq n_\alpha &\Rightarrow (\forall \lambda \geq \kappa (x_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} \neq y_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})) \\ &\Rightarrow (\forall \lambda \geq \kappa (z_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} = q \wedge w_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} = p)) \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} z_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} = q \wedge \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} w_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} = p \\ &\Rightarrow (s_\alpha, t_\alpha) = (q, p) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} m_\alpha = n_\alpha &\Rightarrow (\forall \lambda \geq \kappa (x_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} = y_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})) \\ &\Rightarrow (\forall \lambda \geq \kappa (z_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} = w_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)})) \\ &\Rightarrow s_\alpha = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} z_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} w_{\varphi_\lambda(\alpha)} = t_\alpha \\ &\Rightarrow s_\alpha = t_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} := (s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ , we have:

$$s_\alpha = \begin{cases} q, & m_\alpha \neq n_\alpha, \\ v_\alpha, & m_\alpha = n_\alpha, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad t_\alpha = \begin{cases} p, & m_\alpha \neq n_\alpha, \\ v_\alpha, & m_\alpha = n_\alpha. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Using 1),  $((m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (n_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$  and (4.1) we have  $((s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (t_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *We have:*

$$L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) \subseteq \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \{\gamma \in \Gamma : x_\gamma \neq y_\gamma\} \text{ is finite}\}.$$

*Proof.* Consider  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $B := \{\alpha \in \Gamma : x_\alpha \neq y_\alpha\}$  is infinite. Choose distinct  $p, q \in X$  and let:

$$z_\alpha := \begin{cases} q, & \alpha \in B, \\ p, & \alpha \notin B. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.1, if  $((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ , then  $((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ . We show  $((q)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})}$ . Suppose  $U$  is an open neighbourhood of  $((q)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})$ , then there exists distinct  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma$  such that for:

$$V_\alpha = \begin{cases} \{q\}, & \alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \\ X, & \alpha \neq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad W_\alpha = \{p\}, \quad (\forall \alpha \in \Gamma),$$

we have

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} V_\alpha \times \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} W_\alpha \subseteq U.$$

Since  $B$  is infinite, we could choose distinct  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in B$  such that  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \cap \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} = \emptyset$ . Define  $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  by

$$\psi(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \alpha_i, & \alpha = \beta_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \beta_i, & \alpha = \alpha_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \alpha, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then  $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is bijective,  $\sigma_\psi \in \mathcal{H}$  and

$$\sigma_\psi((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = (\sigma_\psi((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}), \sigma_\psi((p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})) = ((z_{\psi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in U.$$

Hence  $((q)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})}$ . Since  $((q)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \notin P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ , we have  $((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (p)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \notin L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$ , which leads to  $((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \notin L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X})$  and completes the proof.  $\square$

The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 4.3.** For  $((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  if  $\{\alpha \in \Gamma : x_\alpha \neq y_\alpha\}$  is finite and  $((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{H}((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})$ , then  $\{\alpha \in \Gamma : z_\alpha \neq w_\alpha\}$  is finite satisfying  $\text{card}(\{\alpha \in \Gamma : z_\alpha \neq w_\alpha\}) \leq \text{card}(\{\alpha \in \Gamma : x_\alpha \neq y_\alpha\})$ .

*Proof.* For  $n \geq 1$ , if there exists distinct  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Gamma$  with  $z_{\alpha_i} \neq w_{\alpha_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then let:

$$U_\alpha := \begin{cases} \{z_\alpha\}, & \alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \\ X, & \alpha \neq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad V_\alpha := \begin{cases} \{w_\alpha\}, & \alpha = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \\ X, & \alpha \neq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$U := \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} U_\alpha \times \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} V_\alpha$$

is an open neighbourhood of  $((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})$ , and there exists bijection  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  with

$$(\sigma_\varphi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}), \sigma_\varphi((y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma})) = ((x_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in U.$$

Hence  $x_{\varphi(\alpha_i)} = z_{\alpha_i}$  and  $y_{\varphi(\alpha_i)} = w_{\alpha_i}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore  $x_{\varphi(\alpha_i)} \neq y_{\varphi(\alpha_i)}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , which leads to  $\{\varphi(\alpha_1), \dots, \varphi(\alpha_n)\} \subseteq \{\alpha \in \Gamma : x_\alpha \neq y_\alpha\}$ , so  $n = \text{card}(\{\varphi(\alpha_1), \dots, \varphi(\alpha_n)\}) \leq \text{card}(\{\alpha \in \Gamma : x_\alpha \neq y_\alpha\})$  (note that  $\varphi$  is one to one), which leads to the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** For infinite  $\Gamma$  we have:

$$L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) \supseteq \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \{\gamma \in \Gamma : x_\gamma \neq y_\gamma\} \text{ is finite}\}.$$

*Proof.* Use Lemmas 4.3 and 3.3. □

**Theorem 4.1.** We have:

$$L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} \{((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} : \{\gamma \in \Gamma : x_\gamma \neq y_\gamma\} \text{ is finite}\}, & \Gamma \text{ is infinite,} \\ \{(x, x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}, & \Gamma \text{ is finite.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For infinite  $\Gamma$  use Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4, also for finite  $\Gamma$  note that  $P(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{X}) = \{(x, x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ . □

## 5 More details

In transformation semigroup  $(S, W)$  we say a nonempty subset  $D$  of  $W$  is invariant if  $SD := \{sw : s \in S, w \in D\} \subseteq W$ . For closed invariant subset  $D$  of  $W$  we may consider action of  $S$  on  $D$  in a natural way. For closed invariant subset  $D$  of  $W$  one may verify easily,

$$P(S, D) \subseteq P(S, W), \quad Q(S, D) \subseteq Q(S, W), \quad \text{and} \quad L(S, D) \subseteq L(S, W).$$

Suppose  $Z$  is a compact Hausdorff topological space with at least two elements, by Tychonoff's theorem  $Z^\Gamma$  is also compact Hausdorff. Again for  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  one may consider  $\sigma_\varphi : Z^\Gamma \rightarrow Z^\Gamma$  ( $\sigma_\varphi((z_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) = (z_{\varphi(\alpha)})_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ ), also  $\mathcal{S} := \{\sigma_\varphi : Z^\Gamma \rightarrow Z^\Gamma \mid \varphi \in \Gamma^\Gamma\}$ , and  $\mathcal{H} := \{\sigma_\varphi : Z^\Gamma \rightarrow Z^\Gamma \mid \varphi \in \Gamma^\Gamma \text{ and } \varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \text{ is bijective}\}$ . Then for each finite nonempty subset  $A$  of  $Z$ ,  $A^\Gamma$  is a closed invariant subset of  $(\mathcal{S}, Z^\Gamma)$  (resp.  $(\mathcal{H}, Z^\Gamma)$ ) and  $A$  is a discrete (and finite) subset of  $Z$ . But using previous sections we know about  $P(T, A^\Gamma)$ ,  $Q(T, A^\Gamma)$ , and  $L(T, A^\Gamma)$  for  $T = \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{S}$ . Hence for  $T = \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{S}$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup \{P(T, A^\Gamma) : A \text{ is a finite subset of } Z\} &\subseteq P(T, Z^\Gamma), \\ \bigcup \{Q(T, A^\Gamma) : A \text{ is a finite subset of } Z\} &\subseteq Q(T, Z^\Gamma), \\ \bigcup \{L(T, A^\Gamma) : A \text{ is a finite subset of } Z\} &\subseteq L(T, Z^\Gamma), \end{aligned}$$

we will have more data about  $P(T, Z^\Gamma), Q(T, Z^\Gamma), L(T, Z^\Gamma)$ .

## References

- [1] F. Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi and F. Ebrahimifard, On generalized shift transformation semigroups, J. Math. Anal., 9(2) (2018), 70–77.
- [2] F. Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi, N. Karami Kabir and F. Heydari Ardi, A note on shift theory, Mathematica Pannonica, 19/2 (2008), Proceedings of ITES-2007, 187–195.

- [3] F. Ayatollah Zadeh Shirazi, J. Nazarian Sarkooh and B. Taherkhani, On Devaney chaotic generalized shift dynamical systems, *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 50(4) (2013), 509–522.
- [4] I. U. Bronstein, *Extensions of Minimal Transformation Groups*, Sitjhoff and Noordhoff, 1979.
- [5] R. Ellis, *Lectures on Topological Dynamics*, W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [6] Gherco, A. I. Ergodic sets and mixing extensions of topological transformation semigroups, Constantin Sergeevich Sibirsky (1928–1990). *Bul. Acad. Ştiinţe Repub. Mold. Mat.*, 2 (2003), 87–94.
- [7] A. Giordano Bruno, Algebraic entropy of generalized shifts on direct products, *Commun. Algebra*, 38/11 (2010), 4155–4174.
- [8] Sh. Glasner, *Proximal flows*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 517, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1976.
- [9] J. O. Yu, The regionally regular relation, *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.*, 19(4) (2006), 365–373.