

Boundedness of High Order Commutators of Riesz Transforms Associated with Schrödinger Type Operators

Yueshan Wang*

Department of Mathematics, Jiaozuo University, Jiaozuo 454003, Henan, China

Received 27 August 2017; Accepted (in revised version) 8 June 2018

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{L}_2 = (-\Delta)^2 + V^2$ be the Schrödinger type operator, where $V \neq 0$ is a nonnegative potential and belongs to the reverse Hölder class RH_{q_1} for $q_1 > n/2, n \geq 5$. The higher Riesz transform associated with \mathcal{L}_2 is denoted by $\mathcal{R} = \nabla^2 \mathcal{L}_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and its dual is denoted by $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{L}_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla^2$. In this paper, we consider the m -order commutators $[b^m, \mathcal{R}]$ and $[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]$, and establish the (L^p, L^q) -boundedness of these commutators when b belongs to the new Campanato space $\Lambda_\beta^\theta(\rho)$ and $1/q = 1/p - m\beta/n$.

Key Words: Schrödinger operator, Campanato space, Riesz transform, commutator.

AMS Subject Classifications: 42B25, 35J10, 42B35

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Schrödinger type operator

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = (-\Delta)^2 + V^2 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 5,$$

where V is nonnegative, $V \neq 0$, and belongs to the reverse Hölder class RH_q for some $q \geq n/2$, i.e., there exists a constant C such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V(y)^q dy \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{|B|} \int_B V(y) dy$$

for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

The higher Riesz transform associated with \mathcal{L}_2 is defined by $\mathcal{R} = \nabla^2 \mathcal{L}_2^{-1/2}$, and its dual is defined by $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{L}_2^{-1/2} \nabla^2$. The L^p -boundedness of the higher Riesz transforms

*Corresponding author. Email address: wangys1962@163.com (Y. S. Wang)

have been obtained in [1] by Liu and Dong: Suppose $V \in RH_{q_1}$ with $n/2 < q_1 < n$. Let $1/p_1 = 2/q_1 - 2/n$, $p'_1 = p_1/(p_1 - 1)$. If $1 < p < p_1$, then for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

If $p'_1 < p < \infty$, then for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\mathcal{R}^*f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

As in [2], for a given potential $V \in RH_q$ with $q > n/2$, we define the auxiliary function

$$\rho(x) = \sup \left\{ r > 0 : \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} V(y) dy \leq 1 \right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is well known that $0 < \rho(x) < \infty$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $\theta > 0$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, in view of [3], the new Campanato class $\Lambda_\beta^\theta(\rho)$ consists of the locally integrable functions b such that

$$\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|^{1+\beta/n}} \int_{B(x,r)} |b(y) - b_B| dy \leq C \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)}\right)^\theta$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. A seminorm of $b \in \Lambda_\beta^\theta(\rho)$, denoted by $[b]_\beta^\theta$, is given by the infimum of the constants in the inequalities above.

Note that if $\theta = 0$, $\Lambda_\beta^\theta(\rho)$ is the classical Campanato space; If $\beta = 0$, $\Lambda_\beta^\theta(\rho)$ is exactly the space $BMO_\theta(\rho)$ introduced in [4].

We denote by \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}^* the kernels of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}^* , respectively. Let b be a locally integrable function, m be a positive integer. The m -order commutators generated by higher Riesz transform and b are defined by

$$[b^m, \mathcal{R}]f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}(x,y)(b(x) - b(y))^m f(y) dy$$

and

$$[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}^*(x,y)(b(x) - b(y))^m f(y) dy.$$

In this paper, we are interested in the boundedness of $[b^m, \mathcal{R}]$ and $[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]$ on Lebesgue space when b belongs to the new Campanato class $\Lambda_\beta^\theta(\rho)$. The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $V \in RH_{q_1}$ with $n/2 < q_1 < n$, $1/p_1 = 2/q_1 - 2/n$, $p'_1 = p_1/(p_1 - 1)$. Let $0 < \beta < 1$, and let $b \in \Lambda_\beta^\theta(\rho)$. If $p'_1 < p < \infty$, then for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C([b]_\beta^\theta)^m \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $1/q = 1/p - m\beta/n$.

We immediately deduce the following result by duality.

Corollary 1.1. Suppose $V \in RH_{q_1}$ with $n/2 < q_1 < n$, $\frac{1}{p_1} = \frac{2}{q_1} - \frac{2}{n}$. Let $0 < \beta < 1$, and let $b \in \Lambda_\beta^\theta(\rho)$. If $1 < p < p_1$, then for all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|[b^m, \mathcal{R}]f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C([b]_\beta^\theta)^m \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $1/q = 1/p - m\beta/n$.

We shall use the symbol $A \lesssim B$ to indicate that there exists a universal positive constant C , independent of all important parameters, such that $A \leq CB$. $A \approx B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

2 Some preliminaries

We recall some important properties concerning the auxiliary function.

Proposition 2.1 ([2]). Let $V \in RH_{n/2}$. For the function ρ there exist C and $k_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$C^{-1}\rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-k_0} \leq \rho(y) \leq C\rho(x) \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\frac{k_0}{1+k_0}}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Assume that $Q = B(x_0, \rho(x_0))$, for $x \in Q$, Proposition 2.1 tell us that $\rho(x) \approx \rho(y)$, if $|x-y| < C\rho(x)$.

Lemma 2.1 ([5]). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in 2^{k+1}B(x_0, r) \setminus 2^kB(x_0, r)$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{2^k r}{\rho(x)}\right)^N} \lesssim \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{2^k r}{\rho(x_0)}\right)^{N/(k_0+1)}}.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([6]). Suppose $V \in RH_{q_1}$, $q_1 \geq n/2$. Then there exists a constants $l_0 > 0$, such that

$$\frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \int_{B(x, r)} V(y) dy \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)}\right)^{l_0}.$$

The following finite overlapping property given by Dziubański and Zienkiewicz in [7].

Proposition 2.2. There exists a sequence of points $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{R}^n , so that the family of critical balls $Q_k = B(x_k, \rho(x_k))$, $k \geq 1$, satisfies

(i) $\bigcup_k Q_k = \mathbb{R}^n$.

(ii) There exists $N = N(\rho)$ such that for every $k \in N$, $\text{card}\{j : 4Q_j \cap 4Q_k\} \leq N$.

For $\alpha > 0, g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we introduce the following maximal functions

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\rho,\alpha}g(x) &= \sup_{x \in B \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,\alpha}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |g(y)| dy, \\ M_{\rho,\alpha}^\sharp g(x) &= \sup_{x \in B \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,\alpha}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |g(y) - g_B| dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,\alpha} = \{B(z, r) : z \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } r \leq \alpha\rho(y)\}$.

We have the following Fefferman-Stein type inequality.

Proposition 2.3 ([4]). *For $1 < p < \infty$, there exist δ and γ such that if $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of balls as in Proposition 2.2, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{\rho,\delta}g(x)|^p dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{\rho,\gamma}^\sharp g(x)|^p dx + \sum_k |Q_k| \left(\frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{2Q_k} |g| \right)^p$$

for all $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We give an inequality for the function $b \in \Lambda_\beta^\theta(\rho)$.

Lemma 2.3 ([3]). *Let $1 \leq s < \infty$, $b \in \Lambda_\beta^\theta(\rho)$, $0 < \beta < 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $B = B(x, r)$. Then we have*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|2^k B|} \int_{2^k B} |b(y) - b_B|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \lesssim [b]_\beta^\theta (2^k r)^\beta \left(1 + \frac{2^k r}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\theta'},$$

where $\theta' = (k_0 + 1)\theta$ and k_0 is the constant appearing in Proposition 2.1.

Let K and K^* be the kernels of the Riesz transform \mathcal{R} and its dual operator \mathcal{R}^* , respectively. Then $K(x, z) = K^*(z, x)$ and we have the following estimates.

Lemma 2.4 ([8]). *Suppose $V \in RH_{q_1}$ with $n/2 < q_1 < n$.*

(i) *For every N , there exists a constant $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$|\mathcal{K}^*(x, z)| \leq \frac{C_N \left(1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{-N}}{|x-z|^{n-2}} \left(\int_{B(z, |x-z|/4)} \frac{V^2(u)}{|u-z|^{n-2}} du + \frac{1}{|x-z|^2} \right).$$

(ii) *For every N and $0 < \delta < \min\{1, 2 - n/q_1\}$, there exists a constant $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{K}^*(x, z) - \mathcal{K}^*(y, z)| \\ & \leq \frac{C_N |x-y|^\delta \left(1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{-N}}{|x-z|^{n-2+\delta}} \left(\int_{B(z, |x-z|)} \frac{V^2(u)}{|u-z|^{n-2}} du + \frac{1}{|x-z|^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $|x-y| < |x-z|/16$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose $V \in RH_{q_1}$ with $n/2 < q_1 < n$. Let $1/p_1 = 2/q_1 - 2/n$, and $b \in \Lambda_\beta^\theta(\rho)$. If $p'_1 < s < \infty$, then for all $f \in L_{loc}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and every critical ball $Q = B(x_0, \rho(x_0))$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f(y)| dy \\ & \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m \inf_{x \in Q} M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x) + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m-1} ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{m-\gamma} \inf_{x \in Q} M_{(m-\gamma)\beta,s}([b^\gamma, \mathcal{R}^*]f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \left(\frac{1}{|B|^{1-m\beta s/n}} \int_B |f(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s}.$$

Proof. By binomial theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (b(y) - b(z))^m &= \sum_{l=1}^m C_{l,m} (b(y) - \lambda)^l (\lambda - b(z))^{m-l} + (\lambda - b(z))^m \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^m C_{l,m} (b(y) - \lambda)^l (\lambda - b(y) + b(y) - b(z))^{m-l} + (\lambda - b(z))^m \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{h=0}^{m-l} C_{l,m,h} (b(y) - \lambda)^{l+h} (b(y) - b(z))^{m-l-h} + (\lambda - b(z))^m \\ &= \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m-1} C_{\gamma,m} (b(y) - \lambda)^{m-\gamma} (b(y) - b(z))^\gamma + (\lambda - b(z))^m, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f(y)| &= \int_{R^n} |\mathcal{K}^*(y, z)(b(y) - b(z))^m f(z)| dz \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m-1} |b(y) - \lambda|^{m-\gamma} |[b^\gamma, \mathcal{R}^*](f)(y)| + |\mathcal{R}^*((b - \lambda)^m f)(y)|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda = b_Q$. Then by Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m-1} |b(y) - \lambda|^{m-\gamma} |[b^\gamma, \mathcal{R}^*](f)(y)| dy \right| \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(y) - \lambda|^{m-\gamma} |[b^\gamma, \mathcal{R}^*](f)(y)| dy \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b(y) - b_Q|^{(m-\gamma)s'} dy \right)^{1/s'} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b^\gamma, \mu_j^L]f(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m-1} ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{m-\gamma} (\rho(x_0))^{\beta(m-\gamma)} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |[b^\gamma, \mathcal{R}^*]f(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m-1} ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{m-\gamma} \inf_{x \in Q} M_{(m-\gamma)\beta,s}([b^\gamma, \mathcal{R}^*]f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

To the second term, we split $f = f_1 + f_2$ with $f_1 = f\chi_{2Q}$. Let $p'_1 < \tilde{s} < s < \infty$, and $\nu = s\tilde{s}/(s-\tilde{s})$, by Hölder's inequality, the boundedness of \mathcal{R}^* on $L^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{R}^*((b - b_{2Q})^m f_1)(y)| dy \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{R}^*((b - b_Q)^m f_1)(y)|^{\tilde{s}} dy \right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2Q} |(b(y) - b_Q)^m f(y)|^{\tilde{s}} dy \right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2Q} |f(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2Q} |b(y) - b_Q|^{mv} dy \right)^{1/v} \\ &\lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m \inf_{x \in Q} M_{m\beta,s} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining term, note that $\rho(y) \approx \rho(x_0)$ for any $y \in Q$, by Lemma 2.4 and decomposing $(2Q)^c$ into annuli $2^k Q \setminus 2^{k-1} Q$, $k \geq 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{R}^*((b - b_Q)^m f_2)(y)| \\ &\leq \int_{(2Q)^c} |\mathcal{K}^*(y, z)(b(z) - b_Q)^m f(z)| dz \\ &\lesssim \int_{(2Q)^c} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{|y-z|}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N}}{|y-z|^n} |(b(z) - b_Q)^m f(z)| dz \\ &\quad + \int_{(2Q)^c} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{|y-z|}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N}}{|y-z|^{n-2}} \int_{B(z, |y-z|/4)} \frac{V^2(u)}{|u-z|^{n-2}} du |(b(z) - b_Q)^m f(z)| dz \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{2^{-kN}}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |(b(z) - b_Q)^m f(z)| dz \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{2^{-kN}}{|2^k Q|^{1-2/n}} \int_{2^k Q} |(b(z) - b_Q)^m f(z)| |I_2(V^2 \chi_{2^{k+2} Q})(z)| dz, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_2(f)(y) = \int_{R^n} \frac{f(z)}{|y-z|^{n-2}} dz$$

is the Riesz potential. By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{2^{-kN}}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |(b(z) - b_Q)^m f(z)| dz \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-kN} \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |b(z) - b_{2Q}|^{ms'} dz \right)^{1/s'} \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |f(z)|^s dz \right)^{1/s} \\ & \lesssim ([b]_{\beta}^{\theta})^m \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2^{-kN} \inf_{x \in Q} M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x) \\ & \lesssim ([b]_{\beta}^{\theta})^m \inf_{x \in Q} M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{2^{-kN}}{|2^k Q|^{1-2/n}} \int_{2^k Q} |(b(z) - b_Q)^m f(z)| \|I_2(V^2 \chi_{2^{k+3}Q})(z) dz \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k \geq 2} 2^{-kN} (2^k \rho(x_0))^{2-n/\tilde{s}'} \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |(b(z) - b_Q)^m f(z)|^{\tilde{s}} dz \right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \|I_2(V^2 \chi_{2^{k+3}Q})\|_{L^{\tilde{s}'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $v = s\tilde{s}/(s - \tilde{s})$. Then by Hölder's inequality again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |(b(z) - b_Q)^m f(z)|^{\tilde{s}} dz \right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |f(z)|^s dz \right)^{1/s} \left(\frac{1}{|2^k Q|} \int_{2^k Q} |b(z) - b_Q|^{mv} dz \right)^{1/v} \\ & \lesssim ([b]_{\beta}^{\theta})^m \inf_{x \in Q} M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $p'_1 < \tilde{s}$, we have $\tilde{s}' < p_1$, then $1/\tilde{s}' > 1/p_1 = 2/q_1 - 2/n$. We can choose $n/2 < \tilde{t} < q_1$ such that $1/\tilde{s}' = 2/\tilde{t} - 2/n$. By $(L^{\tilde{t}/2}, L^{\tilde{s}'})$ -boundedness of I_2 , $V \in RH_{\tilde{t}}$, and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|I_2(V^2 \chi_{2^{k+3}Q})\|_{L^{\tilde{s}'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|V^2 \chi_{2^{k+3}Q}\|_{L^{\tilde{t}/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim \left(\int_{2^{k+3}Q} V^{\tilde{t}} \right)^{2/\tilde{t}} \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|2^{k+3}Q|} \int_{2^{k+3}Q} V^{\tilde{t}} \right)^{2/\tilde{t}} (2^k \rho(x_0))^{\frac{2n}{\tilde{t}}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|2^{k+3}Q|} \int_{2^{k+3}Q} V \right)^2 (2^k \rho(x_0))^{\frac{2n}{\tilde{t}}} \\ & \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{2^k \rho(x_0)}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{2l_0} (2^k \rho(x_0))^{\frac{2n}{\tilde{t}} - 4} \lesssim 2^{2kl_0} (2^k \rho(x_0))^{\frac{2n}{\tilde{t}} - 4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{2^{-kN}}{|2^k Q|^{1-2/n}} \int_{2^k Q} |(b(z) - b_Q)^m f(z)| |I_2(V^2 \chi_{2^{k+3}Q})(z)| dz \\ & \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m \inf_{x \in Q} M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$|\mathcal{R}^*((b - b_Q)^m f_2)(y)| \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m \inf_{x \in Q} M_{\beta,s}(f)(x).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $V \in RH_{q_1}$ with $q_1 > n/2$. Let $b \in \Lambda_\beta^\theta$, let $B = B(x_0, r)$ with $r \leq \gamma\rho(x_0)$ and let $x \in B$, then for any $y, z \in B$ we have

$$\int_{(2B)^c} |\mathcal{K}^*(y, u) - \mathcal{K}^*(z, u)| |b(u) - b_B|^m |f(u)| du \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x),$$

where $s > p'_1$ and $\gamma > 1$.

Proof. Setting $Q = B(x_0, \gamma\rho(x_0))$, due to the fact $\rho(y) \approx \rho(z) \approx \rho(x_0)$ and $|y - u| \approx |z - u| \approx |x_0 - u|$, then by Lemma 2.4 we get

$$\int_{(2B)^c} |\mathcal{K}^*(y, u) - \mathcal{K}^*(z, u)| |b(u) - b_B|^m |f(u)| du \lesssim J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= r^\delta \int_{Q \setminus 2B} \frac{|f(u)(b(u) - b_B)^m|}{|x_0 - u|^{n+\delta}} du, \\ J_2 &= r^\delta \rho(x_0)^N \int_{Q^c} \frac{|f(u)(b(u) - b_B)^m|}{|x_0 - u|^{n+N+\delta}} du, \\ J_3 &= r^\delta \int_{Q \setminus 2B} \frac{|f(u)(b(u) - b_B)^m|}{|x_0 - u|^{n+\delta-2}} \int_{B(x_0, 4|x_0 - u|)} \frac{V^2(\omega)}{|\omega - u|^{n-2}} d\omega du, \\ J_4 &= r^\delta \rho(x_0)^N \int_{Q^c} \frac{|f(u)(b(u) - b_B)^m|}{|x_0 - u|^{n+\delta+N-2}} \int_{B(x_0, 4|x_0 - u|)} \frac{V^2(\omega)}{|\omega - u|^{n-2}} d\omega du. \end{aligned}$$

Let j_0 be the least integer such that $2^{j_0} \geq \gamma\rho(x_0)/r$. Splitting into annuli, we have

$$J_1 \leq \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} 2^{-\delta j} \frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |f(u)| |b(u) - b_B|^m du.$$

By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.3 we obtain for $j \leq j_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |f(u)| |b(u) - b_B|^m du \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |f(u)|^s du \right)^{1/s} \left(\frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |b(u) - b_B|^{ms'} du \right)^{1/s'} \\ & \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$J_1 \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x).$$

To deal with J_2 , splitting into annuli and using Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 & \lesssim \rho(x_0)^N \sum_{j \geq j_0} 2^{-j\delta} \frac{1}{(2^j r)^N} \frac{1}{|2^j B|} \int_{2^j B} |f(u)| |b(u) - b_B|^m du \\ & \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m \sum_{j \geq j_0} 2^{-j\delta} \left(\frac{2^j r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{-N} \left(1 + \frac{2^j r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{m\theta'} \left(\frac{1}{|2^j B|^{1-m\beta/n}} \int_{2^j B} |f(u)|^s du \right)^{1/s} \\ & \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m \sum_{j \geq j_0} 2^{-j\delta} \left(\frac{2^j r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{-(N-m\theta')} M_{ms\beta,s}(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{2^j r}{\rho(x_0)} \geq \gamma > 1$, taking $N \geq m\theta'$, we get

$$J_2 \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x).$$

Now we consider the term J_3 . Let $p'_1 < \tilde{s} < s$. Since $2^j < \gamma\rho(x_0)/r$ for $j < j_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 & \lesssim \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} 2^{-\delta j} \frac{1}{(2^j r)^{n-2}} \int_{2^j B} |f(u)| |b(u) - b_B| |I_2(V^2 \chi_{B(x_0, 2^{j+2}r)})(u)| du \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} 2^{-\delta j} \frac{1}{(2^j r)^{n-2}} \left(\int_{2^j B} |(b(z) - b_B)f(z)|^{\tilde{s}} dz \right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \|I_2(V^2 \chi_{B(x_0, 2^{j+2}r)})\|_{L^{\tilde{s}'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} 2^{-\delta j} (2^j r)^{n/s-n-2+2n/\tilde{t}} \left(1 + \frac{2^j r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{m\theta'+2l_0} M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x) \\ & \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Note $2^j r / \rho(x_0) > \gamma > 1$, similar to the estimates for J_3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_4 & \lesssim \sum_{j=j_0-1}^{\infty} 2^{-\delta j} \left(\frac{\rho(x_0)}{2^j r} \right)^N \frac{1}{(2^j r)^{n-2}} \int_{2^j B} |f(u)| |b(u) - b_B|^m |I_2(V^2 \chi_{B(x_0, 2^{j+2}r)})(u)| du \\ & \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m \sum_{j=j_0-1}^{\infty} 2^{-\delta j} \left(\frac{2^j r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{-(N-m\theta'-2l_0)} M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, taking $N > m\theta' + 2l_0$ we get

$$J_4 \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x).$$

Thus, we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let $p'_1 < s < \infty$, let $B = B(x_0, r)$ with $r \leq \gamma\rho(x_0)$ and let $x \in B$. Then

$$M_{\rho,\gamma}^\sharp([b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f)(x) \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m (M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x) + M_{m\beta,s}(\mathcal{R}^*f)(x)).$$

Proof. Write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f(y) - ([b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f)_B| dy \\ & \leq \frac{2}{|B|} \int_B |(b(y) - b_B)^m R^*f(y)| dy + \frac{2}{|B|} \int_B |\mathcal{R}^*((b - b_B)^m f_1)(y)| dy \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |\mathcal{R}^*((b - b_B)^m f_2)(y) - (\mathcal{R}^*((b - b_B)^m f_2))_B| dy \\ & = K_1 + K_2 + K_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $f = f_1 + f_2$ with $f_1 = f\chi_{2B}$.

Since $r \leq \gamma\rho(x_0)$ and $\rho(x) \approx \rho(x_0)$, by Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 & \leq \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b(y) - b_B|^{ms'} dy \right)^{1/s'} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |\mathcal{R}^*f(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \\ & \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m r^{m\beta} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |\mathcal{R}^*f(y)|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m M_{m\beta,s}(\mathcal{R}^*f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Select \tilde{s} so that $p'_0 < \tilde{s} < s$. Then by the L^p -boundedness of \mathcal{R}^* and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 & \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |\mathcal{R}^*((b - b_B)^m f_1)(y)|^{\tilde{s}} dy \right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{2B} |(b(y) - b_B)^f(y)|^{\tilde{s}} dy \right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \\ & \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m M_{m\beta,s}(\mathcal{R}^*f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} K_3 & \leq \frac{1}{|B|^2} \int_B \int_B \int_{(2B)^c} |\mathcal{K}^*(y, u) - \mathcal{K}^*(z, u)| |b(u) - b_B|^m |f(u)| du dz dy \\ & \lesssim \int_{(2B)^c} |\mathcal{K}^*(y, u) - \mathcal{K}^*(z, u)| |b(u) - b_B|^m |f(u)| du \\ & \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m M_{m\beta,s}(\mathcal{R}^*f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

So, we complete the proof. \square

Now let us prove Theorem 1.1.

Choose numbers t_α such that $\frac{1}{t_\alpha} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha\beta}{n}$, $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Then $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{t_\alpha} - \frac{(m-\alpha)\beta}{n}$. We need to prove the following inequality

$$\|[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{mq} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{(m-\alpha)q} \|[b^\alpha, \mathcal{R}^*](f)\|_{L^{t_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q. \quad (3.1)$$

If (3.1) holds, then Theorem 1.1 will be proved by the mathematical induction. In fact, when $m = 1$, we have $\alpha = 0$ and $p = t_\alpha$. Note that $[b^0, \mathcal{R}^*] = \mathcal{R}^*$, by the boundedness of \mathcal{R}^* on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p'_1 < p < \infty$, then $[b, \mathcal{R}^*]$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that the (L^p, L^{t_α}) -boundedness of $[b^\alpha, \mathcal{R}^*]$ hold for $\frac{1}{t_\alpha} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha\beta}{n}$, that is

$$\|[b^\alpha, \mathcal{R}^*](f)\|_{L^{t_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^\alpha \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where $\alpha = 2, 3, \dots, m-1$, then by (3.1) we get

$$\|[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*](f)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In the following, we will focus on the proof of (3.1).

Let $p'_1 < s < p < \infty, f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Proposition 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{\rho, \delta}([b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f)(x)|^q dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{\rho, \gamma}^\sharp([b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f)(x)|^q dx + \sum_k |Q_k| \left(\frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{2Q_k} |[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f(x)| dx \right)^q. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\rho, \gamma}^\sharp([b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f)(x) &\lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^m M_{m\beta, s}(f)(x) + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{m-\alpha} M_{(m-\alpha)\beta, s}([b^\alpha, \mathcal{R}^*]f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{t_\alpha} - \frac{(m-\alpha)\beta}{n},$$

and $t_\alpha = p$ when $\alpha = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{\rho, \gamma}^\sharp([b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f)(x)|^q dx \\ &\lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{mq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{m\beta, s}(f)(x)|^q dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{(m-\alpha)q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{(m-\alpha)\beta, s}([b^\alpha, \mathcal{R}^*]f)(x)|^q dx \\ &\lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{mq} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{(m-\alpha)q} \|[b^\alpha, \mathcal{R}^*](f)\|_{L^{t_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_k |Q_k| \left(\frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{2Q_k} |[b^m, \mathcal{R}^*]f(x)| dx \right)^q \\
& \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{mq} \sum_k \int_{2Q_k} |M_{m\beta,s}(f)|^q dx \\
& \quad + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{(m-\alpha)p} \sum_k \int_{2Q_k} |M_{(m-\alpha)\beta,s}([b^\alpha, \mathcal{R}^*]f)|^q dx \\
& \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{mq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{m\beta,s}(f)(x)|^q dx \\
& \quad + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{(m-\alpha)q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{(m-\alpha)\beta,s}([b^\alpha, \mathcal{R}^*]f)(x)|^q dx \\
& \lesssim ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{mq} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} ([b]_\beta^\theta)^{(m-\alpha)q} \| [b^\alpha, \mathcal{R}^*](f) \|_{L^{t_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the proof of (3.1) is finished. \square

References

- [1] Y. Liu and J. Dong, Some estimates of higher order Riesz transform related to Schrödinger type operators, *Potential Anal.*, 32 (2010), 41–55.
- [2] Z. Shen, L^p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 45 (1995), 513–546.
- [3] Y. Liu and J. Sheng, Some estimates for commutators of Riesz transforms associated with Schrödinger operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 419 (2014), 298–328.
- [4] B. Bongioanni, E. Harboure and O. Salinas, Commutators of Riesz transforms related to Schrödinger operators, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 17 (2011), 115–134.
- [5] Y. Xia and M. Chen, Hardy type estimates for commutators of fractional integrals associated with Schrödinger, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 10 (2017), 3155–3167.
- [6] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz, Hardy space H^1 associated to Schrödinger operator with potential satisfying reverse Hölder inequality, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 15 (1999), 279–296.
- [7] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz, H^p spaces associated with Schrödinger operators with potentials from reverse Hölder classes, *Colloq. Math.*, 98 (2003), 5–38.
- [8] Y. Liu, J. Zhang, J. Sheng and L. Wang, Some estimates for commutators of Riesz transform associated with Schrödinger operators, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 66 (2016), 169–191.